

HIGH-GRADE ANTIMONY ASSAYS CONFIRM SURFACE CONTINUITY AT DESERT ANTIMONY MINE

HIGHLIGHTS

- High-grade antimony (Sb) assays received from surface exposure grab sampling, with a peak value of 16.90% Sb
- Surface results confirm continuity of high-grade stibnite mineralisation along strike and above the historical underground workings
- Assay data provides critical validation for the current diamond drilling program, assisting in the refinement of the geological model and drill targeting
- High-grade results continue to strengthen the Project's strategic positioning advancing LKY's mine-to-market development pathway for U.S. domestic antimony supply

Locksley Resources Limited (ASX: LKY, OTCQX: LKYRF, FSE: X5L) ("Locksley" or "the Company") is pleased to announce high-grade antimony assay results from surface exposure mapping at the historical Desert Antimony Mine (DAM), located within the Company's Mojave Project in California, USA.

These samples were collected from earthworks conducted during preparation for the maiden drilling program, now underway with the diamond drill rig turning onsite¹. The work identified extensions of stibnite-bearing mineralised veins at surface, further validating the system's high-grade continuity and strike potential.

Locksley Resources Non-Executive Technical Director, Ian Stockton, commented:

"With diamond drilling now underway at DAM and the rig actively turning, these results reflect our strong technical foundation and focus on high-confidence targets. Commencing this program is a defining milestone, as it allows us to understand the structural architecture and scale of a potential significant critical minerals hub."

¹ LKY ASX Announcement dated 23 February 2026

Results Summary from Surface Grab Samples

Assay results from 4 grab samples collected during surface exposure mapping delivered consistent high grades, reinforcing the nature of the mineralisation:

- **TR01** 13.82% Sb
- **TR02** 16.90% Sb
- **TR04** 11.48% Sb
- **TR05** 11.54% Sb




Figure 1: Location of surface grab samples at DAM and %Sb assay results

These surface samples align closely with, and reinforce, the previously reported high-grade batch sampling identified in the historical underground workings², which returned weighted averages up to **25.7% Sb.**

Geological Significance and Drill Target Optimisation

The confirmation of these high-grade antimony results at surface is geologically significant, as it validates the interpretation that the stibnite vein lodes extend above and along strike to the north of the historic mine levels (Figure 1).

By observing the primary stibnite-bearing veins in surface bedrock, the geology team has confirmed the structural framework and offsets previously interpreted from detailed underground mapping.

This technical data has enabled the development of a more robust 3D geological model of the deposit. In particular, the precise location of these high-grade surface expressions has allowed for the optimisation of diamond drill hole planning for the current diamond drilling program.

Next Steps

- Ongoing diamond drilling at DAM to further refine geometry and evaluate resource potential
- Progressive reporting of assay results from diamond drilling as received and validated
- Integration of current surface assays and pending drilling results into an updated comprehensive 3D geological model
- Core sampling and analysis to support metallurgical and processing test work aligned with the U.S. Department of Energy Critical Minerals programs
- Advance of design and construct tender for the Phase 1 Pilot Processing facility

This announcement has been authorised for release by the Board of Directors of Locksley Resources.

For further information, please contact:

Kerrie Matthews
Managing Director & Chief Executive Officer
T: +61 8 9481 0389
Kerrie@locksleyresources.com.au


Melissa Temptra
Investor & Media Relations
T: +61 (0) 417 094 855
melissa@nwrcommunications.com.au

² LKY ASX Announcement dated 3 February 2026

For personal use only

ABOUT LOCKSLEY RESOURCES LIMITED

Locksley Resources Limited is focused on critical minerals in the United States of America. The Company is actively advancing the Mojave Project in California, targeting rare earth elements (REEs) and antimony. Locksley is executing a mine-to-market strategy for antimony, aimed at re-establishing domestic supply chains for critical materials, underpinned by strategic downstream technology partnerships with leading U.S. research institutions and industry partners. This integrated approach combines resource development with innovative processing and separation technologies, positioning Locksley to play a key role in advancing U.S. critical minerals independence.



Location of the Mojave Project Blocks in south-eastern California, USA

Forward-Looking Statements

This document may include forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, statements concerning Locksley Resources planned activities and other statements that are not historical facts. When used in this document, the words such as "could," "plan," "estimate," "expect," "intend," "may," "potential," "should," and similar expressions are forward-looking statements. Although Locksley Resources Limited believes that its expectations reflected in these forward-looking statements are reasonable, such statements involve risks and uncertainties and no assurance can be given that actual results will be consistent with these forward-looking statements.

Cautionary Statement

This announcement may contain visual exploration results in respect of the Mojave Project. Visual estimates of mineral abundance should never be considered a proxy or substitute for laboratory analyses where concentrations or grades are the factor of principal economic interest. Visual estimates also potentially provide no information regarding impurities or deleterious physical properties relevant to valuations.

Competent Persons Statement

Information in this release that relates to exploration targets, exploration results, mineral resources or ore reserves is based on information compiled by Ian Stockton, a Competent Person who is a Fellow of the Australian Institute of Geosciences (FAIG), Registered Professional Geologist (RPGEO) and a Member of AusIMM (Member #112426). He has sufficient experience that is relevant to varying mineralisation styles and deposits under consideration and to the activity being undertaken to qualify as a 'Competent Person' as defined under the 2012 Edition of the 'Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves'. Mr. Stockton consents to the inclusion of the matters based on his information in the form and context in which it appears.

APPENDIX 1: Surface Grab Sample Assay Results

Sample ID	Sample Type	Northing	Easting	Elevation	Sb (%)
TR01	Surface grab sample	3929845	634892	1378	13.82
TR02	Surface grab sample	3929838	634887	1377	16.90
TR04	Surface grab sample	3929866	634907	1377	11.48
TR05	Surface grab sample	3929857	634896	1377	11.54

For personal use only

JORC Code, 2012 Edition – Table 1 report template

Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data

(Criteria in this section apply to all succeeding sections.)

For personal use only

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Sampling techniques	<i>Nature and quality of sampling (eg cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling.</i> <i>Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used.</i> <i>Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report.</i> <i>In cases where ‘industry standard’ work has been done this would be relatively simple (eg ‘reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay’). In other cases more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (eg submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information.</i>	- Surface grab samples referenced in this announcement were collected in February 2026 by a qualified geologist and experienced field technician from mineralised vein material exposed during access track earthworks in preparation for the maiden drilling program at the Desert Antimony Mine (DAM), located in the Mojave Project, San Bernardino County, California. - A total of 4 grab samples, each weighing approximately 2–3 kg, were collected by hand and analysed for a full suite of elements including antimony, gold, and base metals using the following assay techniques: - Gold fire assay: 30g, ICP-OES - Multielement 61 suite: 0.5g, 4 acid + Boric acid hot block, ICP-OES+MS - Ore Grade analysis for overrange elements: 4 acid + Boric acid ICP-OES - No specific measures were undertaken other than the visual inspection of the samples. - Visual inspection of the mineralisation undertaken to ensure that stibnite (antimony hosting mineral) was present in the grab sample and that the sample material represented that seen in stibnite-bearing veins observed in the underground workings proximal to the area sampled. - The objective of the surface grab sampling was to obtain 2-3 kg samples of the stibnite-bearing vein material for gold fire assay and multielement analysis.
Drilling techniques	<i>Drill type (eg core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc) and details (eg core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc).</i>	No drilling reported.
Drill sample recovery	<i>Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed.</i> <i>Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples.</i>	No drilling reported.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material.	
Logging	- Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies. - Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc) photography. - The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged.	- Not geologically logged. Visually inspected to ensure sample represented <i>in situ</i> mineralisation equivalent to that observed in proximal underground workings and the presence of stibnite verified. - The nature and sample occurrence were noted. - Sample descriptions were qualitative in nature.
Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation	- If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken. - If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry. - For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique. - Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples. - Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the <i>in situ</i> material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling. - Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.	- Surface grab samples, each weighing approximately 2–3 kg, were collected by hand at the geologist's discretion. - Sample sizes of 2-3 kg each is deemed appropriate for the grain size of the material being sampled. - At the laboratory, the samples were dried, crushed (>70% -2mm) and rotary split into 300g sub-samples and then pulverised (>85% -75µ). - The analytical assaying techniques meet industry standards for sulphide bearing mineral samples and comprised: - Gold fire assay: 30g, ICP-OES - Multielement 61 suite: 0.5g, 4 acid + Boric acid hot block, ICP-OES+MS - Ore Grade analysis for overrange elements: 4 acid + Boric acid ICP-OES
Quality of assay data and laboratory tests	- The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total. - For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc. - Nature of quality control procedures adopted (eg standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (ie lack of bias) and precision have been established.	- The four grab samples referred to in this announcement were systematically collected, numbered and submitted to American Assay Laboratories (AAL) - No duplicate samples were collected and submitted for analysis. No QAQC samples were collected and submitted for analysis. - The analytical laboratory employed internal QAQC procedures with analytical methods involving the use of Certified Reference Materials (CRMs), blanks and duplicate checks. No issues were reported, indicating a suitable level of accuracy and precision was attained. - No hand-held analytical or geophysical instruments, such as a portable XRF, were used in the determination of assay results regarding the surface grab samples in this announcement.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Verification of sampling and assaying	- The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel. - The use of twinned holes. - Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols. - Discuss any adjustment to assay data.	- No sample pulps containing elevated grades have been re-assayed by an independent alternative laboratory for verification purposes. - The analytical laboratory provides results in digital form to the geologist for review. Certified laboratory assay results in pdf, csv and Excel file formats are stored on Locksley's SharePoint file management system.
Location of data points	- Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation. - Specification of the grid system used. - Quality and adequacy of topographic control.	- Universal Transverse Mercator NAD83 Zone11N format. - Topographic control is high. The company uses the USGS LiDAR dataset for the area with a vertical accuracy of +/- 1m - Method used to obtain the location of grab samples was by GPS estimated to an accuracy of ±2m.
Data spacing and distribution	- Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results. - Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied. - Whether sample compositing has been applied.	- Data spacing is variable. - Sampling is not sufficient to calculate a mineral resource estimate. - No sample compositing has been applied.
Orientation of data in relation to geological structure	- Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type. - If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.	- Surface grab samples were collected from mineralised vein material exposed during access track earthworks preparation for the maiden drilling program at the Desert Antimony Mine. - The sampling was undertaken proximal to the historic underground workings at the Desert Antimony Mine.
Sample security	The measures taken to ensure sample security.	- Sample security protocols are high. The sample chain of custody has been managed by the employees of Locksley Resources Limited. - Samples were collected, placed in suitable numbered sample bags and stored at Locksley premises and then delivered by Locksley staff to American Assay Laboratories in Reno, Nevada.
Audits or reviews	The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.	Data and sampling techniques have not been reviewed or audited.

Section 2 Reporting of Exploration Results

(Criteria listed in the preceding section also apply to this section.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Mineral tenement and land tenure status	- Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings. - The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area.	The Mojave Project combines to a total area of ~40 km² and is a Rare Earth Element (REE) and antimony project located to the east and southeast of the Mountain Pass Mine in San Bernardino County, California. The project area lies to the north and south of and adjacent to Interstate-15 (I-15), approximately 24 km southwest of the California-Nevada state line and approximately 48 km northeast of Baker, California USA. This area is part of the historic Clark Mining District established in 1865 and Mountain Pass is the only operating REE deposit identified within this district. The project is accessed via the Baily Road Interchange (Exit 281 of I-15) and the southern extensions of the project area can be accessed via Zinc Mine road.
Exploration done by other parties	Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties.	Surface grab sampling was completed by Locksley Resources staff.
Geology	Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation.	- The Mojave Project is located in the southern part of the Clark Range in the northern Mojave Desert. The Mojave Desert is situated in the southwestern part of the Great Basin province, a region extending from central Utah to eastern California. The region is characterised by intense Tertiary-age regional extensional deformation. This deformation event has resulted in broad north-south trending mountain ranges separated by gently sloping valleys, a characteristic of Basin and Range tectonic activity. The Mountain Pass Rare Earth deposit is located within an uplift block of Precambrian metamorphic and igneous rocks that are bounded on the southern and eastern margins by basin-fill formations in the Ivanpah Valley. The block is separated from Palaeozoic and Mesozoic rocks to the west by the Clark Mountain fault, which strikes north-northwest and dips steeply to the west. - The Desert Antimony Mine located in the northern portion of the North Block within the Clark Mountain District of San Bernadino, CA, contains quartz-stibnite veining hosted within a granite gneiss striking N20E and dipping 75W with a known width of 1.22m highlighted from historical reporting. The extent of the ore body is unknown.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		Historic production ranged from 100 to 1,000 tons with Sb grades ranging from 15% to 20%.
Drill hole Information	- A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes: - easting and northing of the drill hole collar - elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar - dip and azimuth of the hole - down hole length and interception depth - hole length. - If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case.	No drilling reported.
Data aggregation methods	- In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (eg cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated. - Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high grade results and longer lengths of low grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail. - The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated.	All results reported as individual sample results. All results are disclosed in the announcement.
Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept lengths	- These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results. - If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported. - If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (eg ‘down hole length, true width not known’).	- No drilling completed. - Estimation of true widths of stibnite-bearing veins exposed at surface during road access earthworks is not possible given the use of heavy machinery exposing the stibnite-bearing veins. True widths of mineralised veins are variable up to 1m where exposed in historic underground workings. - The orientation of the mineralised structures were not determined by field staff during the surface grab sampling.
Diagrams	Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views.	- No drilling completed - Locations of all samples and significant results are included in the announcement.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Balanced reporting	Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results.	All material results are included in the announcement.
Other substantive exploration data	Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances.	All relevant information and material results are included in the announcement.
Further work	- The nature and scale of planned further work (eg tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling). - Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive.	The surface grab sampling was designed to test Sb-rich mineralisation located in the historic underground development at the Desert Antimony Mine. Further work will involve completion of the maiden drilling program, inclusive of logging and sampling of mineralised intercepts in drill core for assaying.