

3 December 2024

## Drilling Continues to Define Near-Surface Higher-Grade Zones at Briggs Copper Project, QLD

### Summary:

- Further assay results from the Briggs Copper Project in Central Queensland (Briggs) show additional wide intervals of higher copper grades from near surface, including:
  - Hole 24BRD0030
    - 220.6m @ 0.27% Cu and 55ppm Mo from 31.0m, including
    - 146.0m @ 0.30% Cu and 52ppm Mo from 44.0m, including
    - 54.0m @ 0.36% Cu and 64ppm Mo from 126.0m
  - Hole 24BRD0031
    - 185.0m @ 0.29% Cu and 88ppm Mo from surface (0.0m), including
    - 144.1m @ 0.33% Cu and 96ppm Mo from 19.0m, including
    - 17.7m @ 0.60% Cu and 77ppm Mo from 21.3m
- These holes were drilled as part of an infill program successfully targeting near-surface, higher-grade copper mineralisation to assess higher-grade starter pit options for conceptual mining operations.
- Three additional holes have been completed along the southwest margin of Briggs Central, with assays expected in Q1 2025 to inform an updated mineral resource estimate supporting Scoping Studies.
- Alma recently completed Stage 2 of the Briggs Earn-In to reach a 51% JV interest under the Joint Venture Agreement with Canterbury Resources Ltd. Alma can increase its stake to a 70% JV interest by completing Stage-3 of the Earn-In (\$10m project expenditure by 30 June 2031).
- Alma recently received a Research & Development Tax Incentive rebate of \$630,000 for activities undertaken in the 2023 tax year.

**Alma Metals Managing Director, Frazer Tabcart said:** "These results further demonstrate the presence of significant, higher-grade copper and molybdenum zones close to surface within the Briggs resource. This supports our strategy to grow the overall resource grade and identify areas within the deposit that might support higher-grade starter-pit positions, with the program continuing to validate our targeting concepts. These results will contribute to the Scoping Studies, further evaluating the potential for mining operations at Briggs. With the recent R&D Tax Incentive rebate boosting our cash reserves, Alma is fully funded to complete the current drilling program, metallurgical test work and mining studies."

For personal use only

**Alma Metals Limited** (ASX: **ALM**, “the **Company**” or “**Alma**”) provides the following update in respect of its recently completed drilling campaign at Briggs, where over one million tonnes of contained copper has been defined in Inferred resources (415Mt @ 0.25% Cu and 31pm Mo, ASX release 6 July 2023). Nine holes (2,461.5m) have been drilled at the Central Porphyry, targeting infill and extension opportunities, plus two holes testing the Southern porphyry target (494.0m). The drilling program was completed on 2 December 2024.

### Assay Results

Further assay results have been received for holes which were drilled as part of the infill program aimed at defining an Indicated Resource capable of supporting a Scoping Study.

- These holes were drilled within and close to the main granodiorite intrusion and generally intersected mineralised porphyritic granodiorite (in hole 24BRD0029) and mineralised volcanic-sediments in holes 24BRD0030 and 24BRD0031 (refer Table 1, Appendix 1 and Figures 1-4 inclusive).
- Hole 24BRD0030 intersected a broad zone of mineralisation from 31m to the end of the hole at 251.6m, averaging 0.27% Cu and 55ppm Mo. This hole was predominantly drilled into volcanic-sediments, but did intersect a significant body of porphyritic granodiorite in the lower half of the hole. The best copper mineralisation in this hole lies immediately above the granodiorite contact, including 54m @ 0.36% Cu and 64ppm Mo from 126m within a broader zone of 146m @ 0.30% Cu and 52ppm Mo (Figures 2 and 3).
- The upper part of hole 24BRD0031 was strongly mineralised, returning 185.0m @ 0.29% Cu and 88ppm Mo from surface, entirely within the volcanic-sediments (Figure 3). This broad intersection includes several higher-grade zones, including 17.7m @ 0.60% Cu and 77ppm Mo from 21.3m, and 60.1m @ 0.34% Cu and 111ppm Mo from 67.0m (e.g. Figure 1 below).



**Figure 1** Strongly mineralised volcaniclastic sediments at 35.9m depth in hole 24BRD0031. Sample assayed 1.16% Cu and 55ppm Mo. Note multiple quartz-chalcopyrite-pyrite-chlorite veining events. Core diameter 61.1mm.

For personal use only

- Hole 24BRD0029 was drilled within the granodiorite and intersected moderate-grade mineralisation over its entire length, with higher grades near surface (33.9m @ 0.30% Cu from 16.9m depth) and in the bottom part of the hole (72m @ 0.27% Cu from 178m to end of hole at 250m depth, refer Figure 2).

**Table 1:** Significant Intersections from drill holes 24BRD0029 to 24BRD0031 inclusive

Hole ID	Depth From (m)	Depth To (m)	Interval (m)	Cu (%)	Mo (ppm)	Cut-off (% Cu)
<b>24BRD0029</b>	6.9	250*	243.1	0.22	34	0.1
including	16.1	50	33.9	0.30	29	0.2
and	178	250*	72	0.27	50	0.2
<b>24BRD0030</b>	0.5	13.0	12.5	0.21	74	0.1
and	31.0	251.6*	220.6	0.27	55	0.1
including	44.0	190.0	146.0	0.30	52	0.2
including	126.0	180.0	54.0	0.36	64	0.3
<b>24BRD0031</b>	0.0	185.0	185.0	0.29	88	0.1
including	19.0	163.1	144.1	0.33	96	0.2
including	21.3	39.0	17.7	0.60	77	0.3
and	67.0	127.1	60.1	0.34	111	0.3
and	194.0	233.0	39.0	0.21	48	0.1

Notes:

- Downhole intersections may not reflect true widths.
- Average grades are weighted against sample interval.
- Significant results reported at 0.1% Cu, 0.2% Cu & 0.3% Cu cut-off grade.
- Significant intervals reported are >10m with a maximum internal dilution of 4m where practical.
- Minor Intervals of no core recovery assigned weighted average grade of assays either side.
- \* hole ended in mineralisation

Assays for the final batch of three holes in the infill program are expected in 4-6 weeks' time, with assays for two further holes (24BRD0035 and 24BRD0036) testing the Southern Porphyry Target also expected in Q1 2025 (for location of these two holes refer to Figure 4 and Appendix 1).

Samples from the drilling program have provided material for the metallurgical test-work program, which is commencing shortly, and which will contribute to the Scoping Study for Briggs. This includes comminution test work to evaluate grind size and power consumption profiles, and an evaluation of copper and molybdenum recoveries via conventional froth flotation into sulphide concentrates.

For personal use only



For personal use only




Figure 2. Cross-Section showing hole 24BRD0026-30 inclusive. For location of cross-section, refer to Figure 4.

### Briggs Drilling Program Summary:

The core drilling program at Briggs finished on 2 December. The program focused on testing and infill drilling of the southwest part of the large geochemical anomaly at the Briggs Central inferred resource (see Figure 4 and Appendix 1). This infill drilling will decrease the spacing to 80m over a portion of the Briggs Central resource, allowing for a revised mineral resource estimate to potentially report Indicated Resources that may support the Scoping Study.

This drilling also tested for higher grades in the top 200m (from surface) as was demonstrated by the previous round of drilling on the northeast side of the Briggs Central resource (refer ASX releases dated 29 January 2024 and 15 February 2024) and reinforced by the recent assay result of **276m @ 0.45% Cu** from surface in hole 24BRD0026 (see ASX release dated 28 August 2024).

Two holes were drilled to test the Southern porphyry target and intersected mineralised and altered felsic intrusive rocks and mafic to intermediate volcanic-sediments in line with expectations. Assays are expected in Q1, 2025.




Figure 3. Cross-Section showing hole 24BRD0030 and 24BRD0031. For location of cross-section, refer to Figure 4.

Exploration at Briggs is being funded by Alma under an Earn-In Joint Venture (JV) agreement with Canterbury Resources Limited (ASX: CBY). Alma recently reached a majority (51%) JV interest and can increase this to 70% by spending an additional \$10 million on the project by 30 June 2031.

This announcement is authorised for release by Managing Director, Frazer Tabcart.

**For further information, please contact:**

**Alma Metals**

T: +61 8 6465 5500

E: [investors@almametals.com.au](mailto:investors@almametals.com.au)

W: [www.almametals.com.au](http://www.almametals.com.au)

**Investor and Media Contact**

Sam Macpherson


VECTOR Advisors

T: +61 401 392 925

For personal use only



For personal use only




**Figure 4.** Plan view of gridded Cu in soil geochemistry, Exploration Target outline (dashed white line) and existing Inferred Resource outline (black), plus completed drill holes in this program for which assays have been received (yellow), and drill holes for which assays are still awaited (blue). The locations of the two cross-sections in this report are depicted with dashed white lines.

**NOTE:** The potential tonnage and grade ranges of the Exploration Target is conceptual in nature and there has been insufficient exploration to estimate a Mineral Resource. It is uncertain if further exploration will result in an increase in the Mineral Resource Estimate. The Exploration Target for Briggs excludes the current Inferred Resource estimate (415Mt at 0.25% Cu, 31ppm Mo).

**ABOUT ALMA METALS LIMITED**

Alma Metals Limited (Alma) is an ASX-listed copper company focused primarily on the development of its Briggs Copper Project (Briggs or the Project) in Queensland, Australia. Briggs boasts more than 1 million tonnes of contained copper with significant potential for further expansion in tonnage and grade via ongoing drilling activities. The Project's scale, open-pit potential and location allow for substantial operational efficiencies which enhance its feasibility and potential economic viability.

Briggs benefits from its location in a tier one jurisdiction with exceptional infrastructure. The site is just 60km from the deep-water port of Gladstone, with proximity to multiple high-voltage power lines, a heavy haulage railway, multiple gas pipelines, and major roads like the Dawson Highway. This infrastructure, coupled with a local skilled workforce and straightforward land ownership offer substantial benefits to the Project's economics.



Alma also holds the East Kimberley Copper Project (East Kimberley), located north-west of Wyndham in Western Australia. While currently at an early stage, East Kimberley presents an exciting exploration opportunity for the Company in a first mover province.

For personal use only



## COMPETENT PERSONS STATEMENT

*The Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves (the 'JORC Code') sets out minimum standards, recommendations and guidelines for Public Reporting in Australasia of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves. The information contained in this announcement has been presented in accordance with the JORC Code (2012 edition) and references to "Measured, Indicated and Inferred Resources" are to those terms as defined in the JORC Code (2012 edition).*

*The information in this report that relates to Exploration Targets, Exploration Results and Mineral Resources is based on information compiled by Dr Frazer Tabearth (Managing Director of Alma Metals Limited). Dr Tabearth is a member of the Australian Institute of Geoscientists.*

*Dr Tabearth has sufficient experience which is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposits under consideration and to the activity being undertaken to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 Edition of the 'Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves'. Dr Tabearth consents to the inclusion in the report of the matters based on his information in the form and context in which it appears.*

*There is information in this announcement extracted from:*

- (i) The Mineral Resource Estimate for the Briggs Central Copper Deposit, which was previously announced on 6 July 2023, and*
- (ii) The Exploration Target, which was previously announced on 18 July 2023, and*
- (iii) Exploration results which were previously announced on 11 April 2022, 18 July 2023, 24 November 2023, 12 January 2024, 29 January 2024, 15 February 2024, 28 August 2024 and 1 October 2024.*

*The company confirms that it is not aware of any new information or data that materially affects the information included in the original market announcements and, in the case of estimates of Exploration Targets and Mineral Resources, that all material assumptions and technical parameters underpinning the estimates in the relevant market announcement continue to apply and have not materially changed. The company confirms that the form and context in which the Competent Person's findings are presented have not been materially modified from the original market announcement.*

## FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENTS:

*Any forward-looking information contained in this news release is made as of the date of this news release. Except as required under applicable securities legislation, Alma Metals does not intend, and does not assume any obligation, to update this forward-looking information. Any forward-looking information contained in this news release is based on numerous assumptions and is subject to all the risks and uncertainties inherent in the Company's business, including risks inherent in resource exploration and development. As a result, actual results may vary materially from those described in the forward-looking information. Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on forward-looking information due to the inherent uncertainty thereof.*

For personal use only



APPENDIX 1 - DRILL COLLAR INFORMATION FOR 2024 DRILLING PROGRAM

Hole ID	Easting (GDA94/Z56)	Northing (GDA94/Z56)	Elevation (m)	Final Depth (m)	Dip	Azimuth
24BRD0026	268622	7345045	234	283.9	-50	225
24BRD0027	268622	7345045	234	250.0	-90	000
24BRD0028	268622	7345045	234	249.3	-70	045
24BRD0029	268622	7345045	234	250.0	-70	115
24BRD0030	268765	7344970	243	251.6	-60	225
24BRD0031	268765	7344970	243	249.8	-60	045
24BRD0032	268443	7344878	269	349.8	-60	045
24BRD0033	268553	7344860	268	301.1	-60	045
24BRD0034	268685	7344865	269	276.0	-60	042
24BRD0035	269195	7344890	262	293.9	-50	225
24BRD0036	269195	7344890	262	200.1	-50	045

For personal use only

**APPENDIX 2 - JORC TABLES**  
**JORC Code, 2012 Edition – Table 1**

**Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data**  
(Criteria in this section apply to all succeeding sections.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Sampling techniques	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Nature and quality of sampling (e.g. cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling.</li> <li>Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used.</li> <li>Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report.</li> <li>In cases where 'industry standard' work has been done this would be relatively simple (e.g. 'reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay'). In other cases, more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (e.g. submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Drill core was photographed and logged by a company geologist to industry standard.</li> <li>Sample intervals were nominally 2m.</li> <li>Whole core was transported to ALS Laboratories in Zillmere, Brisbane for cutting, sample preparation and assay.</li> </ul>
Drilling techniques	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Drill type (e.g. core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc) and details (e.g. core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc).</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Diamond drilling is HQ3 (61.1mm diameter) from surface.</li> </ul>
Sample recovery	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed.</li> <li>Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples.</li> <li>Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Core recovery determined during logging by reference to drillers marker blocks.</li> <li>Core recovery generally exceeded 95%.</li> </ul>
Logging	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies.</li> <li>Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc) photography.</li> <li>The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>All drill core is photographed and logged to industry standard.</li> </ul>

For personal use only

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken.</li> <li>If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry.</li> <li>For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique.</li> <li>Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples.</li> <li>Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in-situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling.</li> <li>Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Core has been cut longitudinally using an Almonte type core saw.</li> <li>Samples are nominally on 2m intervals with ½ core being sampled.</li> <li>Sample were fine crushed, rotary split, 250g pulverized (ALS prep code PREP31-AY).</li> <li>¼ core duplicates were taken every 20 samples.</li> <li>Quality control was assessed as adequate for this batch.</li> </ul>
Quality of assay data and laboratory tests	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total.</li> <li>For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.</li> <li>Nature of quality control procedures adopted (e.g. standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (i.e. lack of bias) and precision have been established.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Samples were assayed for base metals at ALS Laboratories by multi-element ultra trace, 4 acid digest, ICP-MS instrumentation (ALS code MEMS61). Gold was assayed by fire assay of a 30g aliquot with an ICP-AES finish (ALS Code Au-ICP21)</li> <li>Commercial standards alternating with a blank were inserted every 25 samples.</li> <li>Duplicates were created every 20 samples.</li> <li>The QC was acceptable for these holes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Blank samples were within acceptable limits.</li> <li>The standards had all results within acceptable limits.</li> <li>Duplicate sample assays were within acceptable limits.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
Verification of sampling and assaying	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel.</li> <li>The use of twinned holes.</li> <li>Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols.</li> <li>Discuss any adjustment to assay data.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Drill hole 24BRD0032 is a scissor hole for 24BRD0026, and 24BRD0034 is a scissor hole for 24BRD0030. Assay results are awaited for 24BRD0032 and 24BRD0034.</li> <li>Data is stored electronically in a database managed by a data administrator</li> <li>No adjustments are made to any assays.</li> </ul>
Location of data points	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.</li> <li>Specification of the grid system used.</li> <li>Quality and adequacy of topographic control.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Drill collar coordinates have been determined by hand-held GPS survey. More precise DGPS surveys will be undertaken at the completion of the drill program.</li> <li>Down hole survey data was collected systematically at approximately 30m intervals using an Axis Champ Magshot 2310 digital directional survey tool.</li> <li>Grid references are provided in GDA94 MGA Zone 56</li> <li>Topographical control has been obtained</li> </ul>

For personal use only



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		by Lidar survey
Data spacing and distribution	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results.</li> <li>Whether the data spacing, and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied.</li> <li>Whether sample compositing has been applied.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Holes 24BRD0026-34 are infill holes into the Briggs Central Inferred Resource. The data spacing, and distribution of drilling to date is sufficient to establish a degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for Mineral Resource estimation.</li> </ul>
Orientation of data in relation to geological structure	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type.</li> <li>If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Drill holes 24BRD0026 to 24BRD0034 were drilled to test for potential higher-grade mineralisation straddling the geological contact between porphyritic granodiorite intrusions and the hosting volcanic sediments, and to provide infill drilling within the previously defined inferred resource (ASX release dated 6 July 2023).</li> <li>Minor historical drilling was undertaken into the Briggs Central Porphyry. Details are reported in CBY Replacement Prospectus 03/10/2018 and in ALM Release to ASX dated 18 August 2021.</li> <li>Drill holes were drilled between -50 and -90deg in mineralisation that has a sub-vertical geological grain. Minor sampling bias may have been introduced with sub-vertical holes but due to the overall stockwork and disseminated nature of the mineralisation any bias is not considered material.</li> </ul>
Sample security	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The measures taken to ensure sample security.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Core is processed on site under the supervision of a company geologist. Whole core is palletted &amp; strapped for transport by commercial carrier to ALS Zillmere preparation facility in Brisbane.</li> </ul>
Audits or reviews	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data undertaken to date.</li> </ul>

For personal use only

**Section 2 Reporting of Exploration Results**

(Criteria listed in the preceding section also apply to this section.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Mineral tenement and land tenure status	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings.</li> <li>The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a license to operate in the area.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>EPM19198 (Briggs), EPM18504 (Mannersley), EPM28588 (Don River) and EPM27317 (Fig Tree), collectively "the Canterbury EPM's" are located 50km west southwest of Gladstone in central Queensland.</li> <li>EPM 27894 (Ulam Range) and EPM27956 (Rocky Point) were recently acquired by Alma Metals as part of the JV with Canterbury and are adjacent to the Canterbury EPM's.</li> <li>EPM19198, EPM18504, EPM28588 and EPM27317 are 51% owned by Alma Metals Ltd and 49% owned by Canterbury Resources Limited (ASX: CBY). Rio Tinto holds a 1.5% NSR interest in EPM19198 and EPM 18504.</li> <li>In July 2021, Alma Metals committed to a joint venture covering the four Canterbury EPM's whereby it has the right to earn up to 70% joint venture interest by funding up to \$15.25M of assessment activity. The two EPM's recently acquired by Alma Metals form part of the JV package.</li> <li>Alma Metals Ltd reached a 51% joint venture interest in the tenements in August 2024 and has commenced funding the final stage of the earn-in, under which a further \$10M must be spent on exploration and evaluation by 30 June 2031 for Alma to reach a 70% JV interest.</li> </ul>
Exploration done by other parties	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Refer to ASX release from 18 August 2021 covering work by Noranda (1968-1972), Geopeko (early 1970s), Rio Tinto (2012-2016) and Canterbury Resources (2019-2022).</li> <li>A twelve-hole RC drilling program was completed by Alma Metals testing the Central, Northern and Southern porphyry prospects in 2021 (ASX announcement 18 February 2022).</li> <li>A four-hole core drilling program was completed by Alma Metals in May 2023.</li> <li>A nine-hole core drilling program was completed by Alma Metals in November 2023.</li> </ul>
Geology	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>At Briggs, a granodiorite porphyry stock (GDP) with dimensions in excess of 500m by 200m has been drilled to a depth of ~500m at the Central Porphyry prospect. This stock has intruded volcanoclastic sediments with a zone of hornfels along the contact. The Central Porphyry is one of at least three intrusive centers comprising the Briggs Cu ± Mo porphyry prospect. Intrusive outcrop, soil geochemistry and magnetics (depressed susceptibility) indicate the existence of at least two other centers, referred to as the Northern and Southern Porphyry, that have been comparatively poorly explored.</li> <li>Copper as chalcopyrite with accessory</li> </ul>

For personal use only

For personal use only

		<p>molybdenum as molybdenite dominate the potentially economic minerals. A relatively thin oxide zone blankets the deposit. The GDP is pervasively altered to potassic style alteration (biotite - k-feldspar) overprinted by phyllic (sericite) alteration. Distribution of copper grade is relatively consistent and predictable within the GDP and in the contact hornfels.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Banded silica bodies with UST textures have been observed at Northern, Central and Southern Porphyries. Similar quartz zones have been intersected in drilling. These siliceous bodies appear to be sub-vertical and dyke-like in character and may have formed at contacts between intrusive phases. The silica bodies are generally well mineralised. It is suggested that they represent emanations from a fertile parent intrusive at depth.</li> <li>Alma Metals' interpretation is that copper deposition at Briggs is multi-stage, with an earlier event associated with quartz - k-feldspar - chalcopyrite - molybdenite veins and a later cross-cutting event dominated by quartz - sericite - chalcopyrite. The earlier event appears related to the intrusion of the granodiorite porphyry and potassic alteration, while the later event is thought to be related to phyllic alteration and an as-yet undiscovered intrusive at depth.</li> <li>The earlier copper event is predominantly hosted within the granodiorite porphyry and the latter along the contact between the intrusive stock and volcanoclastic sediments, probably taking advantage of permeability afforded along intrusive contacts and faults with deposition controlled by brittle fracture and reaction with Fe-rich host rocks.</li> </ul>																																																																																				
<p>Drill hole Information</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>easting and northing of the drill hole collar</li> <li>elevation or RL (Reduced Level - elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar</li> <li>dip and azimuth of the hole</li> <li>down hole length and interception depth</li> <li>hole length.</li> </ul> </li> <li>If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Drill holes 24BRD0027 to 24BRD0034 are part of an infill drilling program designed to upgrade part of the inferred resource.</li> <li>Drill holes 24BRD0035 and 24BRD0036 are exploration holes testing the Southern Porphyry target.</li> <li>Hole location and orientation details are as follows:</li> </ul> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Hole ID</th> <th>Easting (GDA94/Z56)</th> <th>Northing (GDA94/Z56)</th> <th>Elevation (m)</th> <th>Final Depth (m)</th> <th>Dip</th> <th>Azimuth</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr><td>24BRD0026</td><td>268622</td><td>7345045</td><td>234</td><td>283.9</td><td>-50</td><td>225</td></tr> <tr><td>24BRD0027</td><td>268622</td><td>7345045</td><td>234</td><td>250.0</td><td>-90</td><td>000</td></tr> <tr><td>24BRD0028</td><td>268622</td><td>7345045</td><td>234</td><td>249.3</td><td>-70</td><td>045</td></tr> <tr><td>24BRD0029</td><td>268622</td><td>7345045</td><td>234</td><td>250.0</td><td>-70</td><td>115</td></tr> <tr><td>24BRD0030</td><td>268765</td><td>7344970</td><td>243</td><td>251.6</td><td>-60</td><td>225</td></tr> <tr><td>24BRD0031</td><td>268765</td><td>7344970</td><td>243</td><td>249.8</td><td>-60</td><td>045</td></tr> <tr><td>24BRD0032</td><td>268443</td><td>7344878</td><td>269</td><td>349.8</td><td>-60</td><td>045</td></tr> <tr><td>24BRD0033</td><td>268553</td><td>7344860</td><td>268</td><td>301.1</td><td>-60</td><td>045</td></tr> <tr><td>24BRD0034</td><td>268685</td><td>7344865</td><td>269</td><td>276.0</td><td>-60</td><td>042</td></tr> <tr><td>24BRD0035</td><td>269195</td><td>7344890</td><td>262</td><td>293.9</td><td>-50</td><td>225</td></tr> <tr><td>24BRD0036</td><td>269195</td><td>7344890</td><td>262</td><td>200.1</td><td>-50</td><td>045</td></tr> </tbody> </table>	Hole ID	Easting (GDA94/Z56)	Northing (GDA94/Z56)	Elevation (m)	Final Depth (m)	Dip	Azimuth	24BRD0026	268622	7345045	234	283.9	-50	225	24BRD0027	268622	7345045	234	250.0	-90	000	24BRD0028	268622	7345045	234	249.3	-70	045	24BRD0029	268622	7345045	234	250.0	-70	115	24BRD0030	268765	7344970	243	251.6	-60	225	24BRD0031	268765	7344970	243	249.8	-60	045	24BRD0032	268443	7344878	269	349.8	-60	045	24BRD0033	268553	7344860	268	301.1	-60	045	24BRD0034	268685	7344865	269	276.0	-60	042	24BRD0035	269195	7344890	262	293.9	-50	225	24BRD0036	269195	7344890	262	200.1	-50	045
Hole ID	Easting (GDA94/Z56)	Northing (GDA94/Z56)	Elevation (m)	Final Depth (m)	Dip	Azimuth																																																																																
24BRD0026	268622	7345045	234	283.9	-50	225																																																																																
24BRD0027	268622	7345045	234	250.0	-90	000																																																																																
24BRD0028	268622	7345045	234	249.3	-70	045																																																																																
24BRD0029	268622	7345045	234	250.0	-70	115																																																																																
24BRD0030	268765	7344970	243	251.6	-60	225																																																																																
24BRD0031	268765	7344970	243	249.8	-60	045																																																																																
24BRD0032	268443	7344878	269	349.8	-60	045																																																																																
24BRD0033	268553	7344860	268	301.1	-60	045																																																																																
24BRD0034	268685	7344865	269	276.0	-60	042																																																																																
24BRD0035	269195	7344890	262	293.9	-50	225																																																																																
24BRD0036	269195	7344890	262	200.1	-50	045																																																																																
<p>Data aggregation methods</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (eg cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Significant intercepts of Cu and Mo are reported at 0.1%Cu, 0.2%Cu and 0.3% Cu cut-offs.</li> <li>Maximum internal dilution is 4m and minimum significant interval is 10m.</li> </ul>																																																																																				



	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high-grade results and longer lengths of low-grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail.</li> <li>The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Refer to text for significant intercept table.</li> </ul>
Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept lengths	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results.</li> <li>If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported.</li> <li>If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (eg 'down hole length, true width not known').</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Drill holes are predominantly designed to test across the dominant NW-SE structural grain.</li> </ul>
Diagrams	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported. These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>See figures and tables in body of the report.</li> </ul>
Balanced reporting	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Comprehensive reporting of all exploration results has been practiced.</li> </ul>
Other substantive exploration data	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>All material exploration results have been reported.</li> </ul>
Further work	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The nature and scale of planned further work (eg tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling).</li> <li>Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Final assay results from the 2024 drilling will be received in 2025 and an updated Mineral Resource Estimate undertaken to inform mining studies as part of a Scoping Study.</li> <li>Further drilling is proposed in 2025 following interpretation of results from the 2024 program.</li> <li>Refer Drill Status plan in this release.</li> </ul>

For personal use only