

## ASX Release

11 October 2024

# Drilling Commences at Truncheon & Highway East

### Highlights

- A 9-hole (1,780m) exploration RC drill program has commenced at Truncheon and Highway East.
- The targets are located ~2.5km from the historic Highway-Reward copper-gold mine which produced 3.9Mt at 5.4% Cu & 1.1g/t Au before closing in 2005.
- The program will be the first effective test of strong, coincident geochemical and geophysical (gravity and induced polarisation, "IP") anomalies. Limited previous drilling was shallow to the anomalies and not assayed for gold.
- Drilling will be completed in late October 2024 with assays due early December 2024.
- Geophysical surveys are planned to commence at the Coronation South Cu-Au target in late 2024.

**Sunshine Metals Limited (ASX:SHN, "Sunshine") is pleased to announce a 9-hole RC drill program (1,780m) has commenced at Truncheon and Highway East (100%), part of the Ravenswood Consolidated Project. The targets are located 2.5km from the historic Highway-Reward mine which produced 3.9Mt @ 5.4% Cu and 1.1g/t Au.**


**Sunshine Managing Director, Dr Damien Keys**, commented *"We are excited to commence exploration drilling in the vicinity of the copper-gold rich Highway-Reward mine. Highway Reward was discovered in the 1980's, mined predominantly in the 1990's and closed in 2005. The drilling intersections for Highway-Reward were eye watering, with early results including 48m @ 11.92% Cu, 1.48g/t Au (HM051, 111m), 24m @ 18.36% Cu, 3.30g/t Au (HM061, 104m) & 10.6m @ 4.69% Cu (HM061, 143m to EOH).*

*Since 2005, little exploration has occurred in the Highway district until recent IP surveys and soil sampling by Sunshine. Despite the proximity to Highway-Reward, gold was not a focus for previous explorers at Highway East and Truncheon with gold not assayed in the limited historic drilling.*


*Sunshine has completed a significant amount of reconnaissance in the Highway-Reward district and we are excited to test two fantastic targets.*

*We look forward to keeping the market up to date with the drilling progress and results."*

For personal use only



**Figure 1.** Aerial image of the Truncheon and Highway East targets.



**Figure 2.** Sunshine's Ravenswood Consolidated Project is near the mining hub of Charters Towers in Queensland. This map shows the easily accessed Highway-Reward district which includes Truncheon and Highway East.

For personal use only




### Truncheon (3 RC holes, 580m)

Truncheon is located 2.5km northeast of Highway-Reward. Truncheon presents as two strong gravity anomalies (East and West) with the western gravity feature coincident with robust geochemical anomalism in soil – notably a 200m coherent zone of Au (>50ppb), Cu (>250ppm), Pb (>300ppm) and Zn (>1,000ppm) (see Figure 3).

The gravity anomalies are separated by a magnetic break across which the soil geochemistry changes. The western anomaly has seen only limited historic drilling with the majority of drilling focussed on the eastern gravity anomaly. Historical drilling in the west returned up to 5m @ 2.20% Zn (from 18m, HT119) and was not assayed for Au.

Sunshine will drill the western gravity anomaly where it is coincident with strong geochemistry at deeper levels to the historical drilling.



**Figure 3.** Gravity and soil anomalism at the Truncheon target. Sunshine will drill the western gravity anomaly where it is coincident with strong geochemistry at deeper levels to the historical drilling.

For personal use only

### Highway East (6 RC holes, 1,200m)

Highway East is located 2.5km east of Highway-Reward and 1.5km south of Truncheon. The target is expressed as a 1km long density anomaly with coincident Pb (>200ppm) and Zn (>500ppm) in soil. Highway East is also located in an area of structural confluence interpreted from airborne magnetics.

An IP survey in early earlier 2024 returned a strong chargeability zone (>30msec) coinciding with part of the density and soil anomalism.

Historical drilling is limited with only 3 holes deeper than 55m. Results included broad zinc intercepts including 51m @ 0.30% Zn (from surface to end of hole, MWHE916) and elevated silver to 690g/t Ag (1m width, from 70m, HE129). Gold was not assayed.

The areas of coincident geochemistry, gravity and chargeability will be drilled as a priority.

### Highway Reward

Highway Reward is considered a pipe-style volcanogenic massive sulphide (VMS) and is located 35km south of Charters Towers. The first massive sulphide pipe, Reward, was discovered in 1987 by City Resources following a period of extensive exploration by various companies, including Esso Australia. A second pipe, "Highway", was discovered 150m to the northwest in 1990 under ~100m of weathered and Au-barite-bearing gossanous rhyolite. The mineralisation typically comprised massive pyrite-chalcopyrite in subvertical pipe-like bodies.

Geochemical signatures were a large factor in the exploration process, particularly elevated Au, Cu, Pb, Zn and Ba which were identified above the Reward pipe prior to discovery. A third pipe, "Conviction", was discovered 80m to the southwest by RGC Exploration in 1998 through drill testing of a chargeability high and resistivity low. The Highway Reward mine completed production in 2005.



For personal use only




Figure 4. Target areas at Highway East.

### Planned activities

The Company has a busy period ahead including the following key activities and milestones:

- October 2024: Metallurgical testwork results for the Gap Zone
- October 2024: Quarterly Report
- November 2024: Remaining diamond drilling results for the Gap Zone
- November 2024: RC drilling results Highway East and Truncheon
- November 2024: Geophys surveys: Coronation South, Double Event & Bluff Creek
- November 2024: Annual General Meeting
- 13-15 November 2024: Noosa Mining Conference
- December 2024: Liontown Resource Update

---

**Sunshine's Board has authorised the release of this announcement to the market.**

For more information, please contact:

Dr Damien Keys  
Managing Director  
Phone: +61 428 717 466  
dkeys@shnmetals.com.au

Mr Alec Pismiris  
Director & Company Secretary  
Phone +61 402 212 532  
alec@lexconservices.com.au

### Competent Person's Statement

*The information in this report that relates to Exploration Results is based on, and fairly represents, information compiled by Mr Matt Price, a Competent Person who is a Member of the Australian Institute of Geoscientists (AIG) and the Australian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy (AusIMM). Mr Price has sufficient experience that is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration, and to the activity being undertaken to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 Edition of the JORC Code. Mr Price consents to the inclusion in the report of the matters based on his information in the form and context in which it appears.*



## About Sunshine Metals

### Big System Potential.

*Ravenswood Consolidated Project (Zn-Cu-Pb-Au-Ag-Mo):* Located in the Charters Towers-Ravenswood district which has produced over 20Moz Au and 14mt of VMS Zn-Cu-Pb-Au ore. The project comprises:

- a Zn-Cu-Pb-Au VMS Resource of 5.45mt @ 12.0% ZnEq (47% Indicated, 53% Inferred<sup>1</sup>);
- 26 drill ready VMS Zn-Cu-Pb-Au IP geophysical targets where testing of a similar target has already led to the Lioneast discovery (1.47mt @ 11.0% ZnEq, 100% Inferred);
- the under-drilled Lioneast Au-rich footwall with significant intersections including:
  - **5.0m @ 27.9g/t Au, 1.7% Cu** (20m, LRC018)
  - **2.0m @ 68.6g/t Au** (24m, LRC0043)
  - **20.0m @ 18.2g/t Au** (109m, 24LTRC005)
  - **17.0m @ 22.1g/t Au** (67m, 23LTRC002)
  - **8.0m @ 11.7g/t Au & 0.9% Cu** (115m, LLRC184)
  - **8.1m @ 10.7g/t Au** (154m, LTDD22055)
  - **16.2m @ 4.54g/t Au, 1.11% Cu** (from 319m, 24LTDD024)
- advanced Au-Cu VMS targets at Coronation and Highway East, analogous to the nearby Highway-Reward Mine (4mt @ 6.2% Cu & 1.0g/t Au mined);
- overlooked orogenic, epithermal and intrusion related Au potential with numerous historic gold workings and drill ready targets; and
- a Mo-Cu Exploration Target at Titov of 5-8mt @ 0.07-0.12% Mo & 0.28-0.44% Cu<sup>2</sup>.

*\*Investigator Project (Cu):* Located 100km north of the Mt Isa, home to rich copper-lead-zinc mines that have been worked for almost a century. Investigator is hosted in the same stratigraphy and similar fault architecture as the Capricorn Copper Mine, located 12km north.


*\*Hodgkinson Project (Au-W):* Located between the Palmer River alluvial gold field (1.35 Moz Au) and the historic Hodgkinson gold field (0.3 Moz Au) and incorporates the Elephant Creek Gold, Peninsula Gold-Copper and Campbell Creek Gold prospects.

*Dart Mining NL: The Triumph Gold Project was divested to Dart in August 2024. Upon completion, Sunshine will own ~14% of Dart's issued capital.*

*\*A number of parties have expressed interest in our other quality projects. These projects will be divested in an orderly manner in due course.*

<sup>1</sup> SHN ASX Release, 7 February 2024, "Significant Increase in Lioneast Resource".

<sup>2</sup> Cautionary statement: The Exploration Target has been prepared and reported in accordance with the 2012 edition of the JORC Code. The potential quantity and grade of the Exploration target is conceptual in nature. There has been insufficient exploration to estimate a Mineral Resource. It is uncertain if further exploration will result in the estimation of a Mineral Resource. Exploration Target for Titov based on several factors discussed in the corresponding Table 1 which can be found with the original ASX release 21 March 2023 "Shallow High Grade Titov Cu-Mo Exploration Target".



For personal use only



Table 1, Section 1 - Sampling Techniques and Data

Section 1 - Sampling Techniques and Data

Criteria	Explanation	Commentary
<p>Sampling techniques</p>	<p><i>Nature and quality of sampling (e.g. cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc.). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling.</i></p> <p><i>Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used.</i></p> <p><i>Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report. In cases where 'industry standard' work has been done this would be relatively simple (e.g. 'reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay'). In other cases, more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (e.g. submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information.</i></p>	<p><b>GEOCHEMISTRY</b></p> <p><b>Esso</b> – 1975 &amp; 1981 – Soils were taken over a 1km trend at HWE, with eleven 100m spaced lines of various lengths between 30m and 600m, with 15m sample spacings. Soils were reportedly collected from bedrock with the -80-mesh fraction assayed for Cu, Pb and Zn. In 1981, a broad grid of 1.5km by 1.5km was sampled over HWE using 20m sample spacings. At TR, the survey comprised of a 500m x 750m grid with 50m spaced lines and 10m sample spacings. The samples are believed to have been sampled as -80-mesh fractions. The survey was part of a broader survey over the Highway, Handcuff and Truncheon trends.</p> <p><b>GEOPHYSICS</b></p> <p><b>Esso</b> – 1975 &amp; 1981 – Six lines of dipole-dipole IP were surveyed at HWE using 120m dipole spacings in 1975. Three lines were subsequently resurveyed using 60m dipole spacings. In 1981, a further six lines of 50m spacing dipole-dipole IP were completed at both HWE and TR. No details are provided on the measuring or reporting of the IP effect (phase shift) tenor and as such should be used as an indicator of anomalism only.</p> <p><b>RGC</b> – 1997 – Approximately 23 sq. km were surveyed on a 100m x 100m grid using real time kinematic GPS and a Scintrex CG-3 automatic gravimeter. Some stations were infilled to 50m. Some data was reportedly used from a 1987 survey undertaken by City Resources.</p> <p><b>SHN</b> – 2024 – IP geophysics at Truncheon comprised of a Pole-Dipole array comprising five receiver lines each of 750m length using 50m spaced potential electrodes and spaced 200m apart. The array used a transmitter line offset 100m from the receiver line with current electrodes spaced at 100m. The lines were oriented at 326°. At Highway East, a pole-dipole array comprised of 50m spaced potential electrodes on eight lines up to 700m long, with current electrodes spaced at 100m along the same lines. Line spacing varied between 100m and 200m. Lines were oriented at 090°. At Liontown, a single line of Dipole-Dipole array was completed consisting of 50m dipole and receiver spacing. The line was oriented at 000° and was 700m long.</p> <p><b>DRILLING</b></p> <p><b>Esso</b> – Utilised both percussion and diamond coring at Highway East although no distinction is made between the two. Drill holes were sampled in 5ft intervals and assayed for Cu, Pb, Zn and Ag. No details on analytical methods have been located.</p> <p><b>RGC</b> – RPHY816 was drilled as a Reverse Circulation hole. No sample information has yet been located.</p> <p><b>SHN</b> – Any reference to SHN drilling is outlined in ASX:SHN 13 March 2024: 20m @ 18.21g/t Au Extends Au-Cu Rich Footwall at Liontown</p>

For personal use only

Criteria	Explanation	Commentary
Drilling techniques	<i>Drill type (e.g. core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc.) and details (e.g. core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc.).</i>	<b>DRILLING</b> <b>Historic</b> – No details on drilling techniques have been located, other than that Esso drilled both percussion and diamond holes at Highway East in 1975 (MWHE916) and percussion at Truncheon in 1982 (MWHT119). RGC undertook RC drilling at Conviction in 1996 (RPHY816). No further details on the techniques have been located.
Drill sample recovery	<i>Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed.  Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples. Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material.</i>	<b>DRILLING</b> <b>Historic</b> – No records on sample recovery have been located for the historic drilling.
Logging	<i>Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies.  Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc.) photography. The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged.</i>	<b>GEOCHEMISTRY &amp; GEOPHYSICS</b> <b>Historic</b> – No known geological records of samples are believed to have been taken.  <b>DRILLING</b> <b>Historic</b> – Esso holes were logged in their entirety for lithology, alteration and mineralisation, largely qualitatively. The RGC hole referred to in this release was likely logged in its entirety (based on other holes drilled at similar times) but no report has yet been located to confirm this.
Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation	<i>If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken.  If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc. and whether sampled wet or dry.  For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique.  Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples.</i>	<b>GEOCHEMISTRY</b> <b>Historic</b> – No sub-sampling or QC procedures are reported within the historical reports.  <b>DRILLING</b> <b>Esso</b> – Drill holes from the 1975 program were sampled in 5ft intervals, with later holes (e.g. 1982 program) assayed metre by metre. It is not known how diamond core was sampled. <b>RGC</b> – Drill holes from the period by RGC were typically assayed in 2 – 4m composites, although it has not been verified on how drill hole RPHY816 was sampled.

Criteria	Explanation	Commentary
	<p><i>Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in-situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling.</i></p> <p><i>Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.</i></p>	
Quality of assay data and Laboratory tests	<p><i>The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total.</i></p> <p><i>For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc., the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.</i></p> <p><i>Nature of quality control procedures adopted (e.g. standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (i.e. lack of bias) and precision have been established.</i></p>	<p><b>GEOCHEMISTRY</b>  <b>Historic</b> – No reporting of the quality of data is available and as such all results should be considered as approximations.</p> <p><b>GEOPHYSICS</b>  <b>Esso</b> – No reporting of the data collection, quality or processing has been located as such all results should be considered as approximations.</p> <p><b>RGC</b> – Data was collected using real time kinematic GPS and a Scintrex CG-3 automatic gravimeter. Data was then processed in four stages: 1) reprocessing of historical (1987) data was undertaken, including conversion of coordinates from local to AMG grid; 2) Digital terrain data was obtained and used to produce four DTM models, with one used for the 1987 survey and one for the 1997 survey; 3) the survey area was divided into nine tiles to compute the complete Bouguer Anomaly; 4) cover thickness was obtained using a map supplied by Aberfoyle Resources (which showed logged depths from historic RAB, RC and DD holes); 5) model of the Campaspe Fm overburden was developed using the data; and 6) gravity field of the Campaspe model was computed using block modelling.</p> <p><b>SHN</b> – IP surveys utilised a GGD TX4 transmitter and 16 channel receiver. Data was reviewed daily for QAQC and processed by third party consultants. Data quality was reportedly good across each survey, with one line (Tx20100) re-read at Truncheon.</p> <p><b>DRILLING</b>  <b>Historic</b> – No reporting on assaying or laboratory procedures have been located for Esso or RGC drilling.</p>
Verification of sampling and assaying	<p><i>The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel.</i></p> <p><i>The use of twinned holes.</i></p> <p><i>Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols.</i></p>	<p><b>GEOCHEMISTRY</b>  <b>Historic</b> – Historical reports have been reviewed and utilised in the development of the geochemical anomalies. No on-ground sampling has been utilised by SHN to verify historical assay results.</p> <p><b>GEOPHYSICS</b>  <b>Historic</b> – Geophysical anomalism is considered approximate and has been located through review of historical reporting.</p>



Criteria	Explanation	Commentary
	<p><i>Discuss any adjustment to assay data</i></p>	<p><b>SHN</b> – The Liantown dipole-dipole survey has confirmed the validity of a previous IP survey conducted in 2017. Historical chargeability anomalism at Highway East has been confirmed on the western side of the grid, however high chargeability which appeared to coincide with historical workings was not replicated in the recent SHN survey, although a line did not directly pass over the peak of this historical anomaly. The Truncheon survey roughly validated historical surveying over the area from several piecemeal historical surveys within the 1980s.</p> <p><b>DRILLING</b></p> <p><b>Historic</b> – No drill hole assays reported in this document have been verified by SHN and are quoted as per the containing report or using the assays provided by the report.</p>
<p>Location of data points</p>	<p><i>Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.</i></p> <p><i>Specification of the grid system used.</i></p> <p><i>Quality and adequacy of topographic control.</i></p>	<p><b>GEOCHEMISTRY, GEOPHYSICS &amp; DRILLING</b></p> <p><b>Historic</b> – All survey anomalies, points and drill collars within are from historical sources are considered approximate only. No on-ground validation of collar or other points has been undertaken by SHN.</p> <p><b>SHN</b> – All transmitter and receiver locations were accurately surveyed using DGPS.</p>
<p>Data spacing and distribution</p>	<p><i>Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results.</i></p> <p><i>Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied.</i></p> <p><i>Whether sample compositing has been applied.</i></p>	<p><b>GEOCHEMISTRY</b></p> <p><b>Esso</b> – Soil samples collected between 1975 &amp; 1981 were subject to 15m and 20m spaced samples on 100m spaced lines at HWE respectively, and by 10m spaced samples and 50m spaced lines at TR.</p> <p><b>GEOPHYSICS</b></p> <p><b>Esso</b> – Undertook DDIP using 120m dipole spacings on six lines in 1975 and a further six lines of DDIP using 50m spacings in 1981.</p> <p><b>RGC</b> – Gravity was surveyed using 100m x 100m station spacings in an area covering 23 sq km. Some infill to 50m was undertaken.</p> <p><b>SHN</b> – Truncheon used an offset PDIP array comprising 50m spaced receivers on 200m spaced lines with transmitter lines offset 100m and spaced 100m along the line. HWE used a PDIP array comprising of 50m spaced receivers with transmitters spaced at 100m along the same lines. Line spacing varied between 100 and 200m. One line of Dipole-Dipole IP was undertaken at Liantown using 50m dipole and receiver spacing. The line was oriented at 000° and was 700m long.</p> <p><b>DRILLING</b></p> <p><b>Historic</b> – All drilling referred to within this report is of exploratory nature and as such no consistent spacing applied at Truncheon or Highway East. Liantown drilling is considered at Resource stage and comprises of drill holes spaced typically between 20 – 80m.</p>

Criteria	Explanation	Commentary
Orientation of data in relation to geological structure	<p><i>Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type.</i></p> <p><i>If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.</i></p>	<p><b>GEOCHEMISTRY &amp; GEOPHYSICS</b></p> <p><b>Historic</b> – Geochemical and geophysical surveys were designed to be perpendicular to known/interpreted geology, such as major stratigraphy and structures.</p> <p><b>SHN</b> – Truncheon, Highway East and Liontown IP surveys were oriented at 326°, 090° and 000° respectively and were designed to be perpendicular to known/interpreted geology, such as major stratigraphy and structures.</p> <p><b>DRILLING</b></p> <p><b>Historic</b> – It is understood that drill holes were oriented perpendicular to the perceived strike of the target. Drill holes were drilled at a dip based on the logistics and dip of target to be tested.</p>
Sample security	<p><i>The measures taken to ensure sample security.</i></p>	<p><b>GEOCHEMISTRY &amp; DRILLING</b></p> <p><b>Historic</b> – No sample security measures were reported during the historic campaigns.</p> <p><b>GEOPHYSICS</b></p> <p><b>SHN</b> – Data was collected on site by the geophysical contractor and is reviewed on site for data quality. The collected data is then sent digitally to SHN and the Geophysical Consultant who will undertake further data review, quality control and processing.</p>
Audits or reviews	<p><i>The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.</i></p>	<p>SHN has undertaken data validation of the historical geochemical surveying through check sampling of one line each at Truncheon and Highway East. The results of these check lines showed were comparable to the historical survey and as such the historical surveys were determined to be reliable for targeting purposes. No audits were taken on the historical geophysical or drilling campaigns mentioned within this report. No third-party audit has been undertaken on the raw data or inversion modelling of the geophysical programs undertaken by SHN in 2024.</p>

## Section 2 - Reporting of Exploration Results

(Criteria listed in the preceding section also apply to this section.)

Criteria	Explanation	Commentary
Mineral tenement and	<p><i>Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships,</i></p>	<p>Greater Liontown Exploration Permits are: EPMs 10582, 12766, 14161, 16929, 26718, 27168, 27221, 27223, 27357, 27520 and 27731 and Mining Lease Applications 100221, 100290 and 100302 (previously Cromarty) for a total of 463km<sup>2</sup>; and EPMs 18470, 18471, 18713, 25815 and 25895 (previously Hebrides) for a total of 221km<sup>2</sup>. The tenements are in believed</p>

Criteria	Explanation	Commentary
land tenure status	<p><i>overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings.</i></p> <p><i>The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area.</i></p>	<p>to be in good standing and no known impediments exist. These leases are now held in their entirety by Sunshine (Ravenswood) Pty Ltd, a 100% owned subsidiary of Sunshine Metals Ltd.</p> <p>The Thalanga mill and mining operation was abandoned by administrators to Red River Resources. A restricted area has been placed over the mill, dumps and tailings facilities. The Queensland Department of Environment is now responsible for the rehabilitation of the aforementioned facilities. There are no known other Restricted Areas located within the tenure.</p> <p>Five third-party Mining Leases are present exist on these Exploration Permits – named MLs 1571, 1734, 1739 and 10028 (Thalanga Copper Mines Pty Ltd) and 100021 (Clyde Ian Doxford).</p> <p>Liontown, Waterloo and the majority of tenure exist on the native land of the Jangga People #2 claim, with northwestern tenure located on the native land of the Gudjala People.</p> <p>A 0.8% Net Smelter Return (NSR) royalty is payable to Osisko Ventures Ltd and a 0.7% NSR royalty payable to the Guandong Guangxin Mine Resources Group Co Ltd (GMRG) on sale proceeds of product extracted form EPM 14161.</p> <p>The Ravenswood West area consists of EPMs 26041, 26152, 26303, 26404, 27824 and 27825, owned by wholly owned subsidiaries of Sunshine Metals Limited. The tenements are in good standing and no known impediments exist.</p> <p>Two current, third party Mining Leases exist on EPM 26041 – named ML 10243 (Delour) and ML 10315 (Podosky). One further current, third party Mining Lease exists partially on EPM 26152 – named ML 1529 (Waterloo). All of EPM 26303 and part of EPM 26041 are situated within the Burdekin Falls Dam catchment area.</p> <p>The Lighthouse Project consists of EPMs 25617 and 26705. All EPMs are owned 100% by BGM Investments Pty Ltd, a wholly owned subsidiary of Rockfire Resources Limited. No current Mining Leases exist on the tenure. South-eastern blocks on EPM 26705 are situated within the Burdekin Falls Dam catchment area. Sunshine Metals has the option to earn 75% of the project.</p>
Exploration done by other parties	<p><i>Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties.</i></p>	<p>Exploration activities have been carried out within the target areas by Carpentaria Exploration (1967 – 1969, 1978), Jododex (1972 – 1974), Esso (1972 – 1986), City Resources (1987 – 1988), Barrack Mine Management (1988 – 1991), Aberfoyle (1991 – 1996), RGC Exploration (1996 – 1998), Thalanga Copper (1998 – 2010), Natural Resources Exploration (2013 – 2014) and Red River Resources (2015 – 2023).</p> <p>Data pertinent to this release has been referenced in the text and in the JORC Table 1.</p>
Geology	<p><i>Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation.</i></p>	<p><b>TRUNCHEON AND HIGHWAY EAST</b></p> <p>The Truncheon and Highway East prospects are located within the Cambro-Ordovician marine volcanic and volcano-sedimentary sequences of the Mt Windsor Volcanic sub-province, namely the Trooper Creek Formation. The prospects are considered volcanogenic massive sulphide (VMS) base metal style targets, either exhibited as lens-like massive sulphides and stringers (e.g. Liontown, Thalanga) or as pipe-like massive pyrite-chalcocopyrite bodies (e.g. Highway-Reward). The two prospects are considered to share similar stratigraphy as part of the general Highway syncline geology in which Trooper Creek sediments fold from a northeast-southwest trend at Truncheon to a north-south trend at Highway East. Alteration on</p>



Criteria	Explanation	Commentary																																																								
		the southeastern flank of Truncheon has historically been described as Advanced Argillic style, indicating potential for high-sulphidation epithermal mineralisation, although no such mineralisation has yet been identified.																																																								
Drill hole Information	<p><i>A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>easting and northing of the drill hole collar</i></li> <li><i>elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar</i></li> <li><i>dip and azimuth of the hole</i></li> <li><i>down hole length and interception depth</i></li> <li><i>hole length.</i></li> </ul> <p><i>If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case</i></p>	<p>All drill hole information pertaining to this release is as follows (GDA94, Z55).</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse; text-align: center;"> <thead> <tr> <th>Hole_ID</th> <th>Hole_Type</th> <th>Max_Depth (m)</th> <th>NAT_East</th> <th>NAT_North</th> <th>Dip</th> <th>MGA Azi</th> <th>Area</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>HM051</td> <td>PC/DD</td> <td>202.6</td> <td>416840</td> <td>7747633</td> <td>-65</td> <td>327</td> <td>Reward</td> </tr> <tr> <td>HM061</td> <td>PC/DD</td> <td>153.6</td> <td>416844</td> <td>7747667</td> <td>-90</td> <td>000</td> <td>Reward</td> </tr> <tr> <td>MWHE129</td> <td>PC</td> <td>196</td> <td>419072</td> <td>7748167</td> <td>-60</td> <td>097</td> <td>Highway East</td> </tr> <tr> <td>MWHE916</td> <td>PC/DD</td> <td>51.8</td> <td>419131</td> <td>7748212</td> <td>-60</td> <td>090</td> <td>Highway East</td> </tr> <tr> <td>MWHT119</td> <td>PC</td> <td>150</td> <td>418556</td> <td>7749477</td> <td>-60</td> <td>320</td> <td>Truncheon</td> </tr> <tr> <td>RPHY816</td> <td>RC</td> <td></td> <td colspan="3">*exact coords unknown – located at Conviction pipe, Highway-Reward</td> <td></td> <td>Conviction</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Due to the historic nature of some collars, coordinates reported are considered approximations only as no ground verification of these collars has yet occurred.</p>	Hole_ID	Hole_Type	Max_Depth (m)	NAT_East	NAT_North	Dip	MGA Azi	Area	HM051	PC/DD	202.6	416840	7747633	-65	327	Reward	HM061	PC/DD	153.6	416844	7747667	-90	000	Reward	MWHE129	PC	196	419072	7748167	-60	097	Highway East	MWHE916	PC/DD	51.8	419131	7748212	-60	090	Highway East	MWHT119	PC	150	418556	7749477	-60	320	Truncheon	RPHY816	RC		*exact coords unknown – located at Conviction pipe, Highway-Reward				Conviction
Hole_ID	Hole_Type	Max_Depth (m)	NAT_East	NAT_North	Dip	MGA Azi	Area																																																			
HM051	PC/DD	202.6	416840	7747633	-65	327	Reward																																																			
HM061	PC/DD	153.6	416844	7747667	-90	000	Reward																																																			
MWHE129	PC	196	419072	7748167	-60	097	Highway East																																																			
MWHE916	PC/DD	51.8	419131	7748212	-60	090	Highway East																																																			
MWHT119	PC	150	418556	7749477	-60	320	Truncheon																																																			
RPHY816	RC		*exact coords unknown – located at Conviction pipe, Highway-Reward				Conviction																																																			
Data aggregation methods	<p><i>In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (e.g. cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated.</i></p> <p><i>Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high grade results and longer lengths of low grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail.</i></p> <p><i>The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated.</i></p>	<p>All grades and intercepts referred to in this document are as reported in their associated historical documents. No further adjustments or assumptions have been made.</p> <p>The zinc equivalent grades for Greater Liantown (Zn Eq) are based on zinc, copper, lead, gold and silver prices of US\$2500/t Zinc, US\$8500/t Copper, US\$2000/t Lead, US\$1900/oz Gold and US\$20/oz Silver with metallurgical metal recoveries of 88.8% Zn, 80% Cu, 70% Pb, 65% Au and 65% Ag and are supported by metallurgical test work undertaken.</p> <p>The zinc equivalent calculation is as follows: Zn Eq = Zn grade% * Zn recovery + (Cu grade % * Cu recovery % * (Cu price \$/t / Zn price \$/t)) + (Pb grade % * Pb recovery % * (Pb price \$/t / Zn price \$/t)) + (Au grade g/t /31.103 * Au recovery % * (Au price \$/oz / Zn price \$/t * 0.01)) + (Ag grade g/t /31.103 * Ag recovery % * (Ag price \$/oz / Zn price \$/t * 0.01)).</p> <p>It is the opinion of Sunshine Metals and the Competent Person that all elements and products included in the metal equivalent formula have a reasonable potential to be recovered and sold.</p>																																																								

Criteria	Explanation	Commentary
Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept length	<p><i>These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results.</i></p> <p><i>If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported. • If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (e.g. ‘down hole length, true width not known’).</i></p>	<p>Mineralisation orientations and Truncheon and Highway East are not yet understood and as such no true widths can be reported.</p> <p>At Liontown, the mineralisation is largely stratabound and interpreted to be dipping at ~70 degrees south within the main Liontown area and steepening to the east. The exact orientation of any feeder structures to the VMS lenses remain under interpretation, but are proposed to originate north of the main lenses and potentially strike NNE-SSW. Geological and structural understanding is an ongoing process and observations and interpretations within may be modified over time.</p>
Diagrams	<p><i>Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views.</i></p>	<p>All diagrams are located within the body of this report</p>
Balanced reporting	<p><i>Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results.</i></p>	<p>All drill intercepts recorded within the body of this report are as historically reported unless stated otherwise</p>
Other substantive exploration data	<p><i>Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances.</i></p>	<p>ASX:SHN releases pertinent to this release are as per below:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 13 March 2024: 20m @ 18.21g/t Au Extends Au-Cu Rich Footwall at Liontown</li> <li>• 19 February 2024: Au-Cu Focussed Geophysical Surveys Commence</li> <li>• 7 February 2024: Significant Increase in Liontown Resource</li> </ul> <p>Historical and Technical Reports referenced within this release are as per below:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• CR_5601 – Esso, 1975 – AtoP 1352M, 1402M, 1403M, Annual Report for period ending Dec 31, 1975</li> <li>• CR_9859 – Esso, 1981 – AtoP 1352M, Project 348, Mt Windsor, Annual Report for period ending Dec 31, 1981</li> <li>• CR_11661 – Esso, 1982 – AtoP 1352M, Project 348, Mt Windsor, Annual and Conditional Surrender Report for period ending 16<sup>th</sup> December 1982</li> <li>• CR_30385 – RGC Exploration, 1998, Annual Report, EPM 3380, 17 Dec 1996 to 16 Dec 1997</li> <li>• CR_30836 – RGC Exploration, 1999, Annual Report, EPM 3380, 17 Dec 1997 to 16 Dec 1998</li> <li>• Doyle, M &amp; Huston, D., 1999, Subsea Floor Replacement Origin of the Ordovician Highway-Reward Volcanic-Associated Massive Sulphide Deposit, Mount Windsor Sub-province, Australia, Economic Geology, vol. 94, pp. 825-844</li> </ul>

Criteria	Explanation	Commentary
Further work	<p><i>The nature and scale of planned further work (e.g. tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling).</i></p> <p><i>Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive.</i></p>	<p>Data collected within the geophysical surveys will be reviewed by the geophysical contractors and consultant as the surveys progress. Upon completion of the surveys, the data will be fully processed and analysed by the consultant and subsequently interpreted by SHN. Any areas considered of interest will be followed up on ground through field visits and potential drill targeting.</p>