

About Legacy Iron Ore

Legacy Iron Ore Limited (“Legacy Iron” or the “Company”) is a Western Australian based Company, focused on iron ore, base metals, tungsten and gold development and mineral discovery.

Legacy Iron’s mission is to increase shareholder wealth through capital growth, created via the discovery, development, and operation of profitable mining assets.

The Company was listed on the Australian Securities Exchange on 8 July 2008. Since then, Legacy Iron has had a number of iron ore and gold discoveries which are now undergoing drilling and resource definition.

Board

Amitava Mukherjee, Non-Executive Chairman

Mr Rakesh Gupta, Chief Executive Officer and board member

Mr Vishwanath Suresh, Non-Executive Director

Mr Vinay Kumar, Non-Executive Director

Mr Devanathan Ramachandran, Non-Executive Director

Ben Donovan, Company Secretary

Key Projects

Mt Bevan Iron Ore Project

South Laverton Gold Project

East Kimberley Gold, Base Metals and REE Project

Enquiries

Rakesh Gupta

Chief Executive Officer

Phone: +61 8 9421 2000

ASX Codes: LCY

LEVEL 6
200 ADELAIDE TERRACE
PERTH WA 6000

PO BOX 5768
ST GEORGES TERRACE WA 6831

Phone: +61 8 9421 2000
Fax: +61 8 9421 2001
Email: info@legacyiron.com.au
Web: www.legacyiron.com.au

ASX Market Announcements
ASX Limited
Via E Lodgement

DRILLING INTERCEPTS MINERALISATION AT PATRICIA NORTH PROSPECT

Highlights:

- Analytical results received from samples drilled at the Company's Patricia North prospect.
- Drilling was designed to test five targets identified from early-stage exploration. The 2024 program totaled 20 holes for 1686 metres of reverse circulation (RC) drilling.
- Drilling intersected gold mineralisation greater than 0.50 ppm Au in 12 of the holes drilled.
- The most significant mineralised intersections are:
 - **2 m @ 3.49 g/t Au from 79 m hole depth in PNRC023**
 - **3 m @ 3.11 g/t Au from 73 m hole depth in PNRC027**
 - **3 m @ 1.92 g/t Au from 73 m hole depth in PNRC024**
 - **5 m @ 1.24 g/t Au from 15 m hole depth in PNRC030**
 - **1 m @ 3.13 g/t Au from 57 m hole depth in PNRC015**
- Drilling results will be reviewed to identify high-potential targets for the next stage of drill targeting to define the continuity of mineralisation.

Legacy Iron Ore Limited (**Legacy Iron** or the **Company**) is pleased to announce encouraging gold intersections reported from the Company's recent RC drilling program at the Patricia North Project, Figure 1.

The early-stage drilling focused on anomalous gold mineralisation from a prior phase of rotary-air-blast drilling, outcrop, traverse mapping and the drill results of maiden RC drilling campaign in October 2023. Results will be used to update the geology and mineralisation interpretations to guide future exploration activities.

A total of 12 out of 20 holes drilled intersected mineralisation with a maximum value of 5.50 g/t Au, reported in drill hole PNRC027 at 76 metres drill depth. The most continuous mineralised downhole intercept was 5 metres (15 m to 20 m) with an average grade of 1.24 g/t Au, Table 1. The results improve confidence in the prospect and justify follow-up drilling.

For personal use only

A list of all analytical results from the May 2024 drill program (≥ 0.5 g/t Au) and aggregated intercepts are shown in Table 1.




Figure 1. Map showing completed drill hole locations at Patricia North prospect

Table 1. Intersected mineralisation ≥ 0.5 g/t Au per drill metre.

Hole_ID	Easting	Northing	Hole Depth	Azimuth	Dip	From	To	Au_ppm	Intercepts
PNRC015	463354	6698268	72	260	-60	12	13	0.66	1 m @ 0.66 g/t
						55	56	0.83	1 m @ 0.83 g/t
						57	58	3.13	1 m @ 3.13 g/t
PNRC018	463345	6698327	102	260	-60	85	86	0.62	2 m at 0.67 g/t
						86	87	0.73	
						99	100	1.04	1 m @ 1.04 g/t
PNRC021	463294	6698384	102	260	-60	73	74	0.95	2 m @ 1.22 g/t
						74	75	1.49	
PNRC022	463264	6698413	102	260	-60	70	71	0.98	1 m @ 0.98 g/t
						86	87	0.66	1 m @ 0.66 g/t
PNRC023	463239	6698446	102	260	-60	79	80	2.17	2 m @ 3.49 g/t
						80	81	4.8	
PNRC024	463214	6698479	102	260	-60	25	26	2.95	1 m @ 2.95 g/t
						57	58	0.56	1 m @ 0.56 g/t
						65	66	0.64	1 m @ 0.64 g/t
						69	70	1.35	1 m @ 1.35 g/t
						73	74	1.83	3 m @ 1.92 g/t
						74	75	2.26	
						75	76	1.67	
95	96	0.5	1 m @ 0.5 g/t						
PNRC025	463151	6698549	54	260	-60	34	35	1.54	2 m @ 1.25 g/t
						35	36	0.95	
PNRC027	463152	6698575	90	260	-60	75	76	2.99	3 m @ 3.11 g/t
						76	77	5.5	
						77	78	0.84	
						80	81	0.67	1 m @ 0.67 g/t
PNRC028	463121	6698587	54	260	-60	6	7	0.74	1 m @ 0.74 g/t
						9	10	0.74	1 m @ 0.74 g/t
						12	13	0.65	2 m @ 0.89 g/t
						13	14	1.13	
						19	20	0.95	2 m @ 0.77 g/t
						20	21	0.58	
						22	23	1.98	2 m @ 1.77 g/t
						23	24	1.56	
						26	27	1.49	2 m @ 1.4 g/t
27	28	1.31							
PNRC029	463140	6698597	96	260	-60	71	72	0.51	1 m @ 0.51 g/t
						73	74	0.89	1 m @ 0.89 g/t
						83	84	0.58	1 m @ 0.58 g/t
PNRC030	463090	6698627	54	260	-60	13	14	1.15	1 m @ 1.15 g/t

For personal use only

						15	16	0.68	
						16	17	1.53	
						17	18	0.53	5 m @ 1.24 g/t
						18	19	0.77	
						19	20	2.7	
						21	22	0.92	1 m @ 0.92 g/t
						25	26	0.5	1 m @ 0.5 g/t
PNRC032	463096	6698657	84	260	-60	47	48	0.62	1 m @ 0.62 g/t

Next Step

The Company will consider further drill testing to establish the strike and dip continuity of gold mineralisation.

Competent Person's Statement:

Information in this report that relates to Exploration results is based on information reviewed or compiled by Joe Fabrizio, BSc (Hons), who is a member of the Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy. Joe Fabrizio is the Technical Services Manager of Legacy Iron Ore Ltd and an employee of the Company. He has sufficient experience which is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity which he is undertaking to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 edition of the 'Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results and Mineral Resources'. Joe Fabrizio consents to the inclusion of this information in the form and context in which it appears in this report.

Yours faithfully,

Rakesh Gupta

Chief Executive Officer

This announcement has been authorised for release by the Board of Legacy Iron Ore Ltd.

Background Patricia North (E31/1034)

The Patricia North prospect is part of the South Laverton Gold Project, which includes Mt Celia, Yilgangi, Yerilla, Patricia North and Sunrise Bore tenements (Figure 2) and is held within exploration licence E31/1034 (Patricia North). The tenement is 150 kilometres northeast of Kalgoorlie and is considered highly prospective for gold mineralisation. It lies one kilometre north of the abandoned Patricia Gold Mine.

Regionally, the tenement is situated on the eastern margin of the Norseman-Wiluna Archaean Greenstone Belt within the Kurnalpi Terrane of the Yilgarn Craton. The tenement overlies part of the north-northwest trending stratigraphy comprising mafic and felsic volcanics and metasediments of the Mulgabbie formation. Several northwest and northeast trending mafic dykes cross-cut the regional stratigraphy. In addition, the NNW trending Mt. Celia Tectonic Lineament passes through the project area.




Figure 2. Location of Patricia North prospect within the South Laverton project region.

JORC CODE 2012 TABLE 1

SECTION 1 SAMPLING TECHNIQUES AND DATA

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Sampling techniques	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Nature and quality of sampling (eg cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling. Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representativity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used. Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report. In cases where 'industry standard' work has been done this would be relatively simple (eg 'reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay'). In other cases more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (eg submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Reverse circulation (RC) samples were collected as 1 m samples at the rig using a rig-mounted cone splitter and an approximate 3 kg sample was submitted to Bureau Veritas laboratory, Kalgoorlie, which were dried, crushed and pulverized to produce 40 g charge fire assay and MP/AES analysis for Au. Quality control procedures include submission of Certified Reference Materials (standards), duplicates and blanks with each sample batch. QAQC results are reviewed to identify and resolve any issues. Field duplicates were taken at a minimum rate of 1 every 100 m (every 100 samples). Standards were inserted at a minimum rate of 1 for every 25 samples. Blanks were inserted at a minimum rate of 1 for every 33 samples. Geological logging of RC chips is completed at the site, with representative chips being stored in drill chip trays.
Drilling techniques	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Drill type (eg core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc) and details (eg core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc). 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> RC drilling was conducted using a face sampling hammer with a 140 mm bit.
Drill sample recovery	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed. Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples. Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> RC sample recovery was based on visual estimates and recorded in the drilling database. Recovery was generally good. Sample weights were recorded at the laboratory during sample preparation. The results of this RC drilling have not been compared with any drill core (diamond twin hole etc.) however, it is not expected that there would be any bias due to preferential loss/gain of material.
Logging	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies. Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc) photography. The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Geological logging was completed using field log sheets and a company geological coding system based on industry standards. Data on lithology, colour, deformation, weathering, alteration, veining, and mineralisation were recorded. Field data is then transferred to digital format. The drill chips were logged in sufficient detail to support a mineral resource estimation, mining and metallurgical studies. Logging is both qualitative and semi-quantitative in nature. Each hole is logged in full.

For personal use only

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken. • If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry. • For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique. • Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representativity of samples. • Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling. • Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • RC samples were split at the rig using a rig-mounted cone splitter to obtain one-metre samples for laboratory analysis. Nearly all samples were oven dried. • An approximate 3 kg sample was submitted to Bureau Veritas, Kalgoorlie, for analysis. All samples were dried, crushed, and pulverised. This sample preparation is appropriate for the sample type. • Quality control procedures include submission of Certified Reference Materials (standards), duplicates and blanks with each sample batch. QAQC results are reviewed to identify and resolve any issues. • The sample size is appropriate for the targeted mineralisation style and grain size.
Quality of assay data and laboratory tests	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total.</i> • <i>For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.</i> • <i>Nature of quality control procedures adopted (eg standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (ie lack of bias) and precision have been established.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The assaying was completed by Bureau Veritas, for gold using the fire assay method which has a 0.01 ppm lower detection limit. • Laboratory QAQC involves the use of internal laboratory standards using certified reference material (CRMs), blanks and pulp duplicates as part of in-house procedures. The Company also submitted a suite of CRMs, and blanks and selects appropriate samples for field duplicates.
Verification of sampling and assaying	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel.</i> • <i>The use of twinned holes.</i> • <i>Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols.</i> • <i>Discuss any adjustment to assay data.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Significant intersections are verified by the Senior Geologist. • No twin holes have been drilled to date at Patricia North prospect. • Primary data collected on paper logs in the field with transfer to digital format in the office. Manually validated. Assay data are imported directly from digital assay files supplied direct from the laboratory and merged in the database with sample data. Normal in-house data storage and daily backup of all data. • No adjustments to assay data made.
Location of data points	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.</i> • <i>Specification of the grid system used.</i> • <i>Quality and adequacy of topographic control.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Drill holes have been located and pegged using handheld GPS – accuracy to nominal +/- 2 m for easting, northing and elevation. • Grid system – GDA1994, MGA Zone 51 • Downhole in-rod surveys were conducted using an industry-standard probe with readings taken approximately every rod length to record any deviations from the planned dip and azimuth.
Data spacing and distribution	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results.</i> • <i>Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The drill testing RC drilling is in the early stages, and as such, data spacing is still high. Follow-up programs will attempt to reduce the data spacing to the required limit before taking up resource estimation work. • The 20 drill holes drilled in May 2024 and discussed in this announcement have not been used for any mineral resource

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Whether sample compositing has been applied. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> estimate. No sample compositing has been applied to the data
Orientation of data in relation to geological structure	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type. If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Drill holes were planned perpendicular to the conceptualised mineralised structures. However, the orientations of it may vary at a local scale. No orientation-based sampling bias in sampling.
Sample security	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The measures taken to ensure sample security. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Samples are sealed in calico bags and placed in large, durable plastic bags for transport. The bags are directly taken to the dispatch depot and plastic wrapped on pallets for direct transport to the laboratory. Documentation is via a sample submission form and consignment note. The laboratory checks the samples received against the consignment and submission documentation and notifies Legacy Iron of any missing or additional samples. Upon completion of the analysis, the pulp packets, residues and coarse rejects are held in their secure warehouse. On request, the pulp packets (and other materials if desired) are returned to Legacy for secure storage. Chip trays of RC cuttings are taken on a 1m sample basis and independently securely stored by Legacy Iron.
Audits or reviews	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> There has been no review of sampling techniques or data at this stage.

Section 2 Reporting of Exploration Results

(Criteria listed in the preceding section also apply to this section.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Mineral tenement and land tenure status	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings. The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sampling was conducted within Exploration Licence E31/1034. The tenement is currently owned 100% by Legacy Iron. At the time of reporting, there are no known impediments to the tenement, and it is in good standing.
Exploration done by other parties	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Prior to Legacy's ownership of the Patricia North tenement, Jackson Minerals Limited (Jackson) conducted an extensive literature search across the South Laverton area, and all open file reports relating to E31/1034 were reviewed. The Patricia North and the surrounding areas have been extensively explored since the late 1960s, initially for nickel and volcanogenic massive sulfides, and more recently for gold and base metals. Patricia North and surrounding areas not

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		<p>underlain by granite or covered by salt lakes have been comprehensively covered by soil sampling programs. Jackson compiled the soil sample data from open file reports for Patricia North and adjacent areas.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The majority of historic drilling in the area was undertaken for base metals exploration, and as a result, samples were not analysed for gold. • Gold exploration drilling was generally confined to shallow RAB drilling to follow up on soil anomalies. • Legacy previously held the area that is now E31/1034 and conducted two phases of RAB drilling in 2009-2010. The first phase was on a grid spacing of approximately 100 m x 400 m across soil anomalies. A total of 37 holes were completed for 904 m. Assays returned several anomalous gold results. • A follow-up RAB program in 2010 targeted the anomalous areas identified by the first phase of RAB drilling. The target zone was the harder saprolite-recognisable bedrock material. Holes were drilled at approximately 25 m spacing across several fences; 50 holes were completed for 1,222 m. • Drilling intersected a mixed sequence of steeply dipping, sheared lithologies, including mafic schists, sediments, and possibly porphyritic intrusive. Gold mineralisation appears to be associated with or without quartz veining or with variably oxidised pyrite. • Exploration completed by Legacy includes data compilation and evaluation, field mapping, and rock chip sampling, which assisted with defining drill targets. First RC campaign was conducted in October 2023, when 14 RC holes with 970 meters were drilled.
<p>Geology</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Patricia North tenement is situated on the eastern margin of the Norseman-Wiluna Archaean Greenstone Belt within the Kurnalpi Terrane of the Yilgarn craton. • It lies on the boundary of the Edjudina Domain, which is bounded by the Claypan Fault and the Pinjin Fault. The Edjudina domain of the Kurnalpi Terrane is dominated by calc-alkaline andesitic volcanic complexes and their epiclastic debris, with only minor volumes of tholeiitic basalt and komatiite. • The tenement overlies part of a north-northwest trending stratigraphy comprising mafic and felsic volcanics and metasediments of the Mulgabbie Formation. Several northwest and northeast

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		trending mafic dykes cross-cut the regional stratigraphy. The NNW trending Mt Celia Tectonic Lineament passes through the project area.
Drill hole Information	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes: eastings and northing of the drill hole collar elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar dip and azimuth of the hole down hole length and interception depth hole length. If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Details of the drill holes from this recent program are shown in the included Figure 1, within the main body.
Data aggregation methods	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (eg cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated. Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high grade results and longer lengths of low grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail. The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> This is a preliminary interpretation. All the analytical results greater than 0.5 ppm Au from the recent program have been reported in this announcement. Any high-grade gold assay intervals internal to broader zones of gold mineralisation are reported as included intervals. Low-grade results (<0.5 ppm Au) have not been included. No metal equivalent reported.
Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept lengths	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results. If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported. If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (e.g. 'down hole length, true width not known'). 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Assay intersections are reported as downhole lengths. Drill holes were planned as perpendicularly as possible to interpret projections (geometry) of mineralisation, so the downhole lengths are an indication only of near true width (true width is not known at this stage). Results from recent drill programs will be reviewed further to confirm the relationship between downhole lengths and true widths. Not applicable to the sampling method used.
Diagrams	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported These should include, but not be limited to, a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Refer to Figure 1 and Table 1 included in the text for the location and lengths of intercepts in each of the holes. The detailed cross-sections and interpretation will be reported once this data is interpreted along with other data sets.
Balanced reporting	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> All results greater than 0.5 ppm Au are reported in this announcement.
Other substantive exploration data	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported, including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No other exploration data collected to date is considered material or meaningful at this stage.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<i>characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances.</i>	
Further work	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• <i>The nature and scale of planned further work (e.g. tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions, or large-scale step-out drilling).</i>• <i>Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• The Company is planning to further drill test the area for strike and depth continuity of the intercepted mineralisation.