Carnaby

MAJOR EXTENSION AT MOUNT HOPE CENTRAL

73m @ 1.3% Copper (pXRF)

Including 36m @ 2.2% Copper (pXRF)

Carnaby Resources Limited (ASX: CNB) (**Carnaby** or the **Company**) is excited to announce a major extension drill hole intersection from the Greater Duchess Copper Gold Project in Mt Isa, Queensland.

Highlights

Mount Hope Central Prospect – MHDD083:

- **MHDD083 pXRF readings;**
  - **73m @ 1.3% Cu from 537m including 36m @ 2.2% Cu from 545m**
- **The mineralisation in MHDD083 is a 270m down plunge intersection from the previous deepest drill hole MHDD060 which intersected 32m @ 2.3% Cu, 0.8g/t Au including 11m @ 5.1% Cu, 1.9g/t Au (see ASX release 2 February 2023).**
- **Results pending from several holes including exceptional pXRF readings from large step out hole MHRC077 of 73m @ 1.1% Cu including 30m @ 2.2% Cu (See ASX release 2 March 2023).**
- **Mount Hope Central is strongly open in all directions.**
- **An accelerated drilling program is underway with 40,000m of drilling in progress to define a Maiden Mineral Resource Estimate at the Greater Duchess Project.**



The Company's Managing Director, Rob Watkins commented:

"This fantastic high grade 270m down plunge extension in MHDD083 highlights the rapidly growing size of the Mount Hope Central discovery. Combined with the exceptional pXRF results from a large step out hole MHDD077 announced on 2 March 2023, this has completely opened up the Mount Hope Central discovery size potential. We are at the start of a transformational 40,000m drilling program and eagerly await assay results for the pXRF readings we have reported."

ASX Announcement

16 March 2023

Fast Facts

Shares on Issue 145.5M

Market Cap (@ $1.03) $150M

Cash $12.6M[1]

[1]As of 31 December 2022

Board and Management

Peter Bowler, Non-Exec Chairman

Rob Watkins, Managing Director

Greg Barrett, Non-Exec Director & Company Secretary

Paul Payne, Non-Exec Director

Company Highlights

- Proven and highly credentialed management team.
- Tight capital structure and strong cash position.
- Mount Hope, Nil Desperandum and Lady Fanny Iron Oxide Copper Gold discoveries within the Greater Duchess Copper Gold Project, Mt Isa inlier, Queensland.
- Greater Duchess Copper Gold Project, numerous camp scale IOCG deposits over 1,022 km^2 of tenure.
- Projects near to De Grey's Hemi gold discovery on 442 km^2 of highly prospective tenure.
- 100% ownership of the Tick Hill Gold Project (granted ML's) in Qld, historically one of Australia highest grade and most profitable gold mines producing 511 koz at 22 g/t gold.

Registered Office

78 Churchill Avenue Subiaco Western Australia 6008

T: +61 8 6500 3236

www.carnabyresources.com.au

For personal use only

For personal use only

GREATER DUCHESS COPPER GOLD PROJECT

MOUNT HOPE CENTRAL PROSPECT (CNB 100%)



Figure 1. Mount Hope Central Long Section Showing New Drill Results.

For personal use only



Figure 2. Mount Hope Central Plan Showing Location of Drill Results.

MHDD083

A major extension drill hole MHDD083 has intersected a terrific zone of high-grade copper mineralisation in the NW lode, approximately **270m below the previous deepest drill hole** MHDD060 (Figure 1, 2 & 3).

Combined with the recent large step out hole MHRC077, which recorded pXRF readings of 71m @ 1.1% Cu including 30m @ 2.2% Cu (see ASX release 2 March 2023), the overall length of the Mount Hope Central discovery is estimated to be over 200m strike, open and rapidly growing (Figure 2).

The mineralisation intersected in MHDD083 consists of a core breccia zone within a quartz lode of semi massive chalcopyrite and pyrrhotite to blebby infill and stringer disseminated outer halo zones (Figure 4).

Detailed structural readings and logging are in progress however preliminary measurements and interpretation is consistent with the other shallower intersections, indicating a steeply southwest dipping and northwest striking lode (Figure 2 & 3).

For personal use only

The reported intervals are downhole lengths and further drilling and modelling is required before true width estimations can be confidently stated. However preliminary structural readings suggest true width of the mineralisation in MHDD083 is approximately one third of downhole length. MHDD083 is interpreted to have intersected the mineralisation approximately orthogonal to the overall strike of the lode and therefore the section presented in Figure 3 does constitute a likely representation of the geometry through the deposit.

pXRF readings for MHDD083 are presented in full in Appendix 1 and summarised as;

MHDD083	**73m @ 1.3% Cu from 537m**
Including	**36m @ 2.2% Cu from 545m**



Figure 3. MHDD083 Drill Section.

The mineralisation intersected in MHDD083 appears to be consistent with the high grade shallower mineralisation indicating that the Mount Hope Central discovery has a very large and down plunge component which remains completely open. As shown on the Figure 3 section, the mineralisation is tracking towards the Mount Hope mining lease boundary. Assuming the mineralisation does continue below MHDD083, it is projected to cross the mining lease boundary at approximately 1,000m below surface, however only additional future drilling will determine this.







For personal use only

For personal use only







For personal use only







For personal use only



Figure 4. MHDD083 Drill Core Showing Extensive Chalcopyrite (yellow) and Pyrrhotite (brown) mineralisation from 545m to 581m.

In consideration of the late wet season flood event that has affected several mining operations and communities in NW Queensland, there has been minimal impact on Carnaby's exploration programs. The Company has continued drilling throughout the rain period with a universal RC and Diamond drill rig. Full access has been restored and dry conditions now prevail. A second RC drill rig support truck has been delayed in reaching the site, however it is expected to arrive this Sunday enabling drilling activities to accelerate further.



Figure 8. Mount Hope, Nil Desperandum and Lady Fanny IOCG corridor plan.

For personal use only

Further information regarding the Company can be found on the Company's website:

www.carnabyresources.com.au

For further information please contact:
Robert Watkins, Managing Director
+61 8 6500 3236

Competent Person Statement

The information in this document that relates to exploration results is based upon information compiled by Mr Robert Watkins. Mr Watkins is a Director of the Company and a Member of the AUSIMM. Mr Watkins consents to the inclusion in the report of the matters based upon the information in the form and context in which it appears. Mr Watkins has sufficient experience which is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity which is undertaken to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the December 2012 edition of the "Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves" (JORC Code).

Disclaimer

References may have been made in this announcement to certain ASX announcements, including references regarding exploration results, mineral resources and ore reserves. For full details, refer to said announcement on said date. The Company is not aware of any new information or data that materially affects this information. Other than as specified in this announcement and the mentioned announcements, the Company confirms that it is not aware of any new information or data that materially affects the information included in the original market announcements and, in the case of estimates of Mineral Resources, Exploration Target(s) or Ore Reserves that all material assumptions and technical parameters underpinning the estimates in the relevant market announcement continue to apply and have not materially changed. The Company confirms that the form and context in which the Competent Person's findings are presented have not been materially modified from the original market announcement.

Recently released ASX Material References that relate to this announcement include:

New High Grade Zone Discovered At Mount Hope - 71m @ 1.1% Cu, 2 March 2023

Ministerial Approval of Mount Hope Boundary Resolution, 14 February 2023

Mount Hope Shines - 39m @ 5.2% Copper, 2 February 2023

Mount Hope Mining Lease Boundary Resolution, 9 January 2023

Greater Duchess Exploration Update – 41m @ 1.8% Copper, 13 December 2022

Mount Hope Discovery – 37m @ approx. 5% Copper, 16 November 2022

Excellent Metallurgical Results - Greater Duchess Project, 7 November 2022

Phenomenal Results From Mount Hope - 60m @ 3.1% Copper, 13 October 2022

Mount Hope Delivers – 30m @ 3.0% Copper, 28 September 2022

APPENDIX ONE

Details regarding the specific information for the drilling discussed in this news release are included below in Table 1.

Table 1. Drill Hole Details

Prospect	Hole ID	Easting	Northing	RL	Dip	Azimuth	Total Depth (m)	Depth From (m)	Interval (m)	pXRF Cu %
Mount Hope Central	MHDD083*	376658	7658277	474	-81.0	55.0	670	324 **537** **Incl 545**	10 **73** **36**	0.83 **1.30** **2.21**

**pXRF intersection, Assay Results Pending.*

For personal use only

Table 2. pXRF Results

In relation to the disclosure of pXRF results, the Company cautions that estimates of sulphide mineral abundance from pXRF results should not be considered a proxy for quantitative analysis of a laboratory assay result. Assay results are required to determine the actual widths and grade of the visible mineralisation.

Diamond Core pXRF Readings

Prospect	Hole ID	Depth From (m)	Depth To (m)	Interval (m)	Average pXRF Cu %
Mount Hope Central	MHDD083	322	323	1.0	0.01
	MHDD083	323	324	1.0	0.00
	MHDD083	324	325	1.0	0.35
	MHDD083	325	326	1.0	**0.94**
	MHDD083	326	327	1.0	**1.04**
	MHDD083	327	328	1.0	**1.29**
	MHDD083	328	329	1.0	**1.20**
	MHDD083	329	330	1.0	0.43
	MHDD083	330	331	1.0	0.10
	MHDD083	331	332	1.0	0.39
	MHDD083	332	333	1.0	**1.94**
	MHDD083	333	334	1.0	**0.60**
	MHDD083	334	335	1.0	0.00
	MHDD083	335	336	1.0	0.05
	MHDD083	528	529	1.0	0.11
	MHDD083	529	530	1.0	**0.81**
	MHDD083	530	531	1.0	0.27
	MHDD083	531	532	1.0	0.05
	MHDD083	532	533	1.0	0.01
	MHDD083	533	534	1.0	0.11
	MHDD083	534	535	1.0	0.07
	MHDD083	535	536	1.0	0.04
	MHDD083	536	537	1.0	0.01
	MHDD083	537	538	1.0	0.42
	MHDD083	538	539	1.0	0.04
	MHDD083	539	540	1.0	**0.50**
	MHDD083	540	541	1.0	0.30
	MHDD083	541	542	1.0	0.08
	MHDD083	542	543	1.0	0.42
	MHDD083	543	544	1.0	0.40
	MHDD083	544	545	1.0	0.33
	MHDD083	545	546	1.0	**1.56**
	MHDD083	546	547	1.0	**3.23**
	MHDD083	547	548	1.0	**1.23**
	MHDD083	548	549	1.0	**4.58**

For personal use only

Prospect	Hole ID	Depth From (m)	Depth To (m)	Interval (m)	Average pXRF Cu %
	MHDD083	549	550	1.0	**4.32**
	MHDD083	550	551	1.0	**2.16**
	MHDD083	551	552	1.0	**3.03**
	MHDD083	552	553	1.0	**0.62**
	MHDD083	553	554	1.0	0.14
	MHDD083	554	555	1.0	**0.55**
	MHDD083	555	556	1.0	0.33
	MHDD083	556	557	1.0	**0.77**
	MHDD083	557	558	1.0	**0.80**
	MHDD083	558	559	1.0	**1.22**
	MHDD083	559	560	1.0	**0.73**
	MHDD083	560	561	1.0	**2.14**
	MHDD083	561	562	1.0	**1.05**
	MHDD083	562	563	1.0	**1.01**
	MHDD083	563	564	1.0	**1.08**
	MHDD083	564	565	1.0	**2.62**
	MHDD083	565	566	1.0	**1.51**
	MHDD083	566	567	1.0	**1.55**
	MHDD083	567	568	1.0	**1.54**
	MHDD083	568	569	1.0	**1.08**
	MHDD083	569	570	1.0	**2.14**
	MHDD083	570	571	1.0	**4.84**
	MHDD083	571	572	1.0	**4.25**
	MHDD083	572	573	1.0	**3.92**
	MHDD083	573	574	1.0	**6.60**
	MHDD083	574	575	1.0	**2.96**
	MHDD083	575	576	1.0	0.42
	MHDD083	576	577	1.0	**2.86**
	MHDD083	577	578	1.0	**3.92**
	MHDD083	578	579	1.0	**3.58**
	MHDD083	579	580	1.0	**1.37**
	MHDD083	580	581	1.0	**3.80**
	MHDD083	581	582	1	0.03
	MHDD083	582	583	1	0.23
	MHDD083	583	584	1	0.01
	MHDD083	584	585	1	0.00
	MHDD083	585	586	1	0.01
	MHDD083	586	587	1	0.18
	MHDD083	587	588	1	0.24
	MHDD083	588	589	1	0.24
	MHDD083	589	590	1	**2.19**

For personal use only

Prospect	Hole ID	Depth From (m)	Depth To (m)	Interval (m)	Average pXRF Cu %
	MHDD083	590	591	1	**0.74**
	MHDD083	591	592	1	0.25
	MHDD083	592	593	1	0.46
	MHDD083	593	594	1	**0.57**
	MHDD083	594	595	1	**1.64**
	MHDD083	595	596	1	**1.02**
	MHDD083	596	597	1	**0.67**
	MHDD083	597	598	1	0.46
	MHDD083	598	599	1	0.21
	MHDD083	599	600	1	0.42
	MHDD083	600	601	1	0.15
	MHDD083	601	602	1	0.19
	MHDD083	602	603	1	0.02
	MHDD083	603	604	1	0.01
	MHDD083	604	605	1	0.19
	MHDD083	605	606	1	0.09
	MHDD083	606	607	1	0.34
	MHDD083	607	608	1	**1.68**
	MHDD083	608	609	1	0.28
	MHDD083	609	610	1	**0.56**
	MHDD083	610	611	1	0.12
	MHDD083	611	612	1	0.01

APPENDIX TWO

JORC Code, 2012 Edition | 'Table 1' Report
Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data

(Criteria in this section apply to all succeeding sections)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Sampling techniques	• Nature and quality of sampling (e.g., cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling. • Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used. • Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report.	• The RC drill chips were logged and visual abundances estimated by suitably qualified and experienced geologist. • Recent RC samples were collected via a cone splitter mounted below the cyclone. A 2-3kg sample was collected from each 1m interval. • Diamond core was half cut typically on 1m or less intervals within the mineralised zone. One half of the core sampled on the same side was submitted to the lab for analysis. • RC and diamond samples were submitted to ALS labs and pulverised to obtain a 25g charge. Ore grade analysis was conducted for Copper using an aqua regia digest and AAS/ ICP finish. Gold was analysed by aqua regia digest and ICP-MS finish. • pXRF measurements on RC chips were taken using a single reading through the calico bag for every metre.

For personal use only

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	• In cases where 'industry standard' work has been done this would be relatively simple (eg 'reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay'). In other cases more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (eg submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information.	• pXRF measurements on drill core were averaged from a minimum of 5 random readings from each meter of whole core.
Drilling techniques	• Drill type (e.g., core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc) and details (eg core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc).	• All recent RC holes were completed using a 5.5'' face sampling bit. • Diamond holes in the current announcement were completed using NQ size core. Previous diamond drilling was undertaken using a combination of HQ and NQ sized core.
Drill sample recovery	• Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed. • Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples. • Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material.	• For recent RC and diamond drilling, no significant recovery issues for samples were observed. Occasional loss of sample was observed at the changeover metre interval from RC to diamond. • For diamond any core loss is recorded with core blocks denoting the start and end depth of the core loss interval. Triple tube was used to preserve friable/broken sections of HQ core in the transitional weathering horizon. • Drill chips collected in chip trays are considered a reasonable visual representation of the entire sample interval.
Logging	• Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies. • Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc) photography. The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged.	• RC holes have been logged for lithology, weathering, mineralisation, veining, structure and alteration. • Diamond holes logged in the same categories as RC with the addition of orientated structural measurements, density, magnetic susceptibility and conductivity. • All chips have been stored in chip trays on 1m intervals and logged in the field.
Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation	• If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken. • If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry. • For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique. • Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples. • Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in-situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling.	• All RC samples are cone split at the cyclone to create a 1m sample of 2-3kg. The remaining sample is retained in a plastic bag at the drill site. • For mineralised zones, the 1m cone split sample is taken for analysis. For non-mineralised zones a 5m composite spear sample is collected and the individual 1m cone split samples over the same interval retained for later analysis if positive results are returned. • Diamond core is half-sawn and sampled from one side only. The entire mineralised zone is sampled to account for any internal dilution. • For RC chips, XRF readings were taken through the calico bag containing a representative 2-3kg split of material through the cyclone. • pXRF results of drill core were averaged from a minimum of 5 random spot readings taken directly on the core along each metre.

For personal use only

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	• Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.	• pXRF readings from both RC chips and diamond core are taken over the entire mineralised interval determined by geologist logging the drill hole. These readings extend for a few metres past the footwall and hangingwall contacts of the mineralised zone.
Quality of assay data and laboratory tests	• The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total. • For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc. • Nature of quality control procedures adopted (eg standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (ie lack of bias) and precision have been established.	• For lab assays, company inserted blanks are inserted as the first sample for every hole. A company inserted gold standard and a copper standard are inserted every 50th sample. No standard identification numbers are provided to the lab. • Standards are checked against expected lab values to ensure they are within tolerance. No issues have been identified. • pXRF results of RC chips were reported using an Olympus Vanta M Series portable XRF in Geochem mode (2 beam) and a 20 second read time for each beam. No calibration factors were applied. • Comparison data to date indicates RC assays to be more than 60% higher compared to when taking the pXRF measurement through the green bag and 30% higher compared to when taking through a calico bag. Diamond core assays have been found to be generally also higher than reported pXRF readings. Comparison test work will continue to be conducted to build a larger population of measurements to determine differences. • Base metal standards were taken on 2 different base metal standards every 50 readings.
Verification of sampling and assaying	• The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel. • The use of twinned holes. • Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols. • Discuss any adjustment to assay data.	• Historic production data has been collated from government open file reports. • A Maxgeo SQL database is currently used in house for all historic and new records. Recent results have been reported directly from lab reports and sample sheets collated in excel. • Results reported below the detection limit have been stored in the database at half the detection limit – e.g., <0.001ppm stored as 0.0005ppm
Location of data points	• Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation. • Specification of the grid system used. • Quality and adequacy of topographic control.	• All hole locations were obtained using a Trimble SP60 GPS in UTM MGA94. • Current RC and Diamond holes were downhole surveyed by Reflex True North seeking gyro. • Survey control is of high accuracy with periodic checks made between two different down-hole gyro instruments.
Data spacing and distribution	• Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results. • Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied.	• At Mt Hope further extensional and infill drilling is required to confirm the orientation and true width of the copper mineralisation intersected. At Burke & Wills outcropping historical workings and drilling show a high degree of continuity of the mineralisation.

For personal use only

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	• Whether sample compositing has been applied.	
Orientation of data in relation to geological structure	• Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type. • If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.	• Previous holes at Mt Hope are considered to intersect the mineralisation at a reasonable angle, being drilled at an orthogonal angle to the principal vein strike. Further drilling and structural work is required to determine the orientation of the vein in MHDD083. Due to the steep dip of MHDD083, the true width of the mineralised intersection is likely to be approximately one third of the down hole width.
Sample security	• The measures taken to ensure sample security.	• Recent RC drilling has had all samples immediately taken following drilling and submitted for assay by supervising Carnaby geology personnel.
Audits or reviews	• The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.	• Not conducted

Section 2 Reporting of Exploration Results

(Criteria listed in the preceding section also apply to this section).

Criteria	Explanation	Commentary
Mineral tenement and land tenure status	• Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings. • The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area.	• The Lady Fanny Prospect area encompassed by historical expired mining leases have been amalgamated into EPM14366 and is 100% owned by Carnaby. • The Nil Desperandum, Shamrock, Burke & Wills and Lady Fanny South Prospects are located on EPM14366 (82.5% interest acquired from Discovex Resources Limited (**Discovex, ASX: DCX**). • Discovex retain a 17.5% free carried interest in the project through to a Decision to Mine. • At a Decision to Mine, Carnaby has the first right of refusal to acquire the remaining interest for fair market value. • The Mount Hope Mining Lease ML90240 is 100% owned by Carnaby Resources.
Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties.	• Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties.	• There has been exploration work conducted over the Queensland project regions for over a century by previous explorers. The project comes with significant geoscientific information which covers the tenements and general region, including: a compiled database of 6658 drill hole (exploration and near-mine), 60,300 drilling assays and over 50,000 soils and stream sediment geochemistry results. This previous exploration work is understood to have been undertaken to an industry accepted standard and will be assessed in further detail as the projects are developed.
Geology	• Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation.	• The prospects mentioned in this announcement are located in the Mary Kathleen domain of the eastern Fold Belt, Mount Isa Inlier. The Eastern Fold Belt is well known for copper, gold and copper-gold deposits; generally considered

For personal use only

Criteria	Explanation	Commentary
		variants of IOCG deposits. The region hosts several long-lived mines and numerous historical workings. Deposits are structurally controlled, forming proximal to district-scale structures which are observable in mapped geology and geophysical images. Local controls on the distribution of mineralisation at the prospect scale can be more variable and is understood to be dependent on lithological domains present at the local-scale, and orientation with respect to structures and the stress-field during D3/D4 deformation, associated with mineralisation. • Consolidation of the ground position around the mining centres of Tick Hill and Duchess and planned structural geology analysis enables Carnaby to effectively explore the area for gold and copper-gold deposits.
Drill hole Information	• A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes: o easting and northing of the drill hole collar o elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar o dip and azimuth of the hole o down hole length and interception depth o hole length. If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case.	• Included in report Refer to Appendix 1, Table 1.
Data aggregation methods	• In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (e.g., cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated. • Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high grade results and longer lengths of low-grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail. • The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated.	• No metal equivalent values have been reported
Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept lengths	• These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results. • If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported.	• Mt Hope intervals are reported as downhole width and true widths are not definitively known. • Drill holes at Mt Hope are typically orientated orthogonal to the vein strike. MHDD083 is intersecting orthogonal to strike and acute to the interpreted vein dip and therefore the true width is expected to be significantly less than the down

Criteria	Explanation	Commentary
	• If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (e.g., 'down hole length, true width not known').	hole width. Current structural work indicates a true with approximately 1/3 of the downhole width for MHDD083. • At Burke & Wills down hole intervals generally approximate true widths as the holes are drilled orthogonal to the mineralisation.
Diagrams	• Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views.	• See the body of the announcement.
Balanced reporting	• Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results.	• As discussed in the announcement
Other substantive exploration data	• Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances.	• As discussed in the announcement
Further work	• The nature and scale of planned further work (e.g., tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling). • Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive.	• Planned exploration works are detailed in the announcement.

For personal use only