

4 March 2022

ASX ANNOUNCEMENT

DFS UPDATE AND HIGH GRADE ORE SWEEPED FROM NEW HISTORICAL MINES

- High-Grade assay-
 - Beverly Hills sweepings grabs 15.79g/t Au and 4.37g/t Au
 - Beverly Hills chip samples 11.79g/t Au
 - Desire sweepings grabs 14.27g/t Au and 10.79g/t Au
- Feasibility study 80% completion:
 - Metallurgical testwork nearing completion
 - Mine Designs
 - Geotechnical investigation
 - Underground investigations
- Plant demolition in progress in preparation for new plant construction
- Environmental Scoping Report submitted for MR83



TGME Plant at 70% demolition

(Note Gold Room, Elution Circuit, Mill, 3 Pre-conditioning tanks, all existing stores, and administration building will not be demolished)

Theta Gold Mines Limited ("Theta Gold" or "Company") (ASX: TGM, TGMO | OTCQB: TGMGF) is pleased to announce the progress made with its underground gold project Feasibility study ("FS"), environmental approvals, and preparation for plant construction. The Beta, Frankfort, CDM, and Rietfontein mines are collectively referred to as TGME Underground (UG) Project.

Discoveries made recently, namely Beverly Hills and Desire mines, form part of the 43 historical mines under management. Both have experienced very little modern exploration. We now know through underground plans and mine surveys, Desire is one of the larger underground mining complexes in the Goldfield with historic underground workings extending kilometers.

The Desire Mine complex is 1.5km on strike and 3.2km on dip, making this one of the largest unexplored old mines under management. The Desire Mine complex exploited the Portuguese Reef, producing approximately 170Koz Au from 563Kt, grading 9.41 g/t Au. The mine area overlaps parts of the farms Graskop 564KT, Desire 563KT (10167 MR), Ponieskrans 543KT (83 MR), and Grootfontein 562KT (341 MR).



Desire Mine Locality and Met sample

Locality Plan of Desire Mine Workings

Theta's Chairman Bill Guy commented: *"The results of the grab samples taken at both Desire and Beverly Hills Mines are very encouraging as the results indicate high grades within these Mines. The Beverly Hills Mine is currently planned and scheduled as part of the Frankfort Mines LoM.*

The Desire Mine host a large flat reef system, the results of the high grade grab samples are consistent with historical mine evaluation plans. The Desire Mine will undergo further sampling and test work as part of the continuous exploration of the 43 Historical Mines under management.

The permanent onsite team will continue with sampling and exploration work. The team is on track to complete the DFS in Q2 2022. The geotechnical investigations were conducted according to plan with encouraging results. Metallurgical test work continued with bulk samples (approx. 1.5 tons per Mine) taken from , Rietfontein and CDM Mines. These samples are currently at the Laboratory.

The team has completed the final permitting scoping phase for Mining Right 83. The final scoping report will now be assessed by the DMRE and once approved the process will move to the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) phase of the permitting process.

Summary

1. Feasibility study progress

1.1. Metallurgical test work nearing completion

The underground gold project Definitive Feasibility Study ("DFS") that started in September 2021 is progressing well, currently at 65% completion with final completion expected in Q2 2022. In support of the FS, metallurgical test work, mine designs, geotechnical studies, and underground investigations are now nearing completion.

In addition to the metallurgical test work undertaken for the Preliminary Feasibility Study ("PFS"), a further bulk sample was taken from Frankfort Mine by trenching the reef accumulation generated by the trial mining, providing a representative sample in terms of ore variability and particle size distribution. The PFS study included a single rougher flotation stage and indicated limited sensitivity to grind. It was decided to conduct additional flotation test work confirming the plant process flow. The flotation trials are complete and largely support the PFS results. The carbon and sulphide flotation products are currently submitted for analysis, which will allow for final circuit mass balancing and financial modeling. These results are expected in the first week of March 2022.



Carbon flotation indicating high flotation kinetics

The sulphide flotation kinetics are extremely rapid indicative of a largely sulphide orebody



1.2. Mine Designs

The overall mine designs are progressing well with the ventilation studies for Beta, CDM and Frankfort completed following the mine design and mining schedules. The surface infrastructure of the mining operations is in the process of being aligned and adjusted to recommended environmental layouts. The optimisation of the mining power requirements has been completed and, informing the bulk power supply infrastructure design.

1.3. Geotechnical investigation

A comprehensive gravity survey was conducted by Applied Scientific Services and Technologies at Frankfort, Beta and CDM mines, and at the central Tailings Storage Facility (TSF). The gravity surveys were carried out, generally, on a 10 meters grid. Thirty-three percussion boreholes were drilled, in accordance with SANS 1936-2 standards. The boreholes were drilled to a depth of 60 meters, with chip samples taken at 1-meter intervals and logged in accordance with the standard procedure proposed by Brink and Bruin. The results of the geotechnical investigation will be submitted to the Council of Geoscience for comments and recommendations for inclusion in final TSF and surface infrastructure designs.



Boreholes drilled at TSF and Beta Mine

1.4. Underground investigations

Underground expeditions are continuously conducted by the mining team into the four mines that form part of the phase 1 project, as well as other mines that will be included in subsequent phases of development. Multiple access points via existing adits are therefore investigated to determine safe accessibility, and where old reef development and stoping areas are accessed, the areas are made safe and sampled for grade verification, metallurgical testwork and rock engineering assessments.

Most of these workings haven't been actively mined since the pre-world-war periods, while a few were last mined around 2008. Most of the stope panels are still accessible due to the excellent ground conditions and support provided by stone packing, and more recent timber support. Several haulages, reef drives, and stope panels are found to still contain large amounts of broken ore, which provide opportunities to complement primary mining tons with cheap, immediately available ore that can be fed to the plant upon commissioning.

Apart from the continuous verification of favourable mining conditions, another positive outcome of investigations is that only limited flooding of the old mines took place. This is due

to the multiple access points and flat nature of the reef, resulting in limited water accumulation. Access ways will be rehabilitated and re-equipped before mining will commence.

Note: Historical plans can be referenced and orientated from surface openings and internal markers still present in the old mines.



Historical Plans mapping out routes during underground investigations



Top Rho reef band



Bottom Rho reef band

The Rho Reef is one of over 40 historically exploited reef systems in the Goldfield. Historic Rho Reef production was 670koz Au from 2.7mt at a head grade of 7.70 g/t Au. Originally correlated with the Lower Theta Reefs on Theta Hill, the Rho Reef is now known to locate stratigraphically higher and commonly manifests as two bedding parallel reefs with an approximate 3m parting. Historic mining stretches over a distance of 4 km northwards covering the Peach Tree Creek, Dukes Hill, Clewer, and Morgenzon workings. A largely unexplored 7km strike exploration area of interest exists north from Morgenzon to the Frankfort workings (see below).



Rho Reef exploration area of interest north from Morgenzon to Frankfort Mine

2. Plant demolition in progress in preparation for new plant construction

As part of the preparation for the installation of the first phase new gold plant, TGME has appointed the services of Jet Demolition to remove the redundant plant equipment. The process started in the 2nd week of January 2022. Specialized equipment is utilized for demolition, after which it is reduced in size and made ready for transport to scrap merchants. The project is now complete.



Plant prior to demolition



Plant at 70% demolition

As part of TGM's exploration strategy ore samples are being taken at prioritised old mines. Initial samples taken at Beta South Mine, have been delivered to Gold 1 laboratories for analysis with results expected towards the end of February 2022. Grab samples taken at Beverly Hills and Desire mine were from old broken ore (sweepings) left in the stope panels. Random samples are taken from this broken ore where safe entry permits into the old stope panels. Samples are collected at the top, middle, and bottom of the stope panel. These samples are then delivered to Gold 1 or SGS laboratories for evaluation. The more chip channel samples at Frankfort Beverly Hills Mine are taken on a 12-meter grid spacing, channels cut into the rock from top hangingwall contact to bottom footwall contact. The 25cm between the two parallel cuts is chipped out, bagged, and transported to the laboratories.

Preliminary assay results are shown below. Further sampling inclusive of bench scale amenability to gravity and flotation concentration is in progress.

- Beverly Hills sweeping grab samples 15.79 g/t Au and 4.37g/t Au (WGS84 UTM 271354E; 7255302 S)
- Beverly Hills chip samples 11.79g/t Au
- Desire sweeping grab samples 14.27g/t Au and 10.79g/t Au (WGS84 UTM 276162E; 7240496 S)



Frankfort Bevetts Reef Au g/t and locality of Berverly Hills MET Testwork Sample

Desire Mine Locality and Met sample



Desire Mine locality and site of metallurgical sample

4. Environmental Scoping Report submitted

The final scoping report for the environmental authorisation permitting process for the 83 MR underground project was submitted to the Department of Mineral Resources and Energy (DMRE) on 3 February 2022.



Final scoping report delivered to the DMRE on 3 February 2022

Various stakeholder meetings took place before the submission of the final scoping report as part of the required public participation process. A very successful open day was held in Pilgrims Rest on 15 January 2022 with a good turnout of almost 70 people from the community and various interested parties. Feedback from the open day was very positive and the community is excited about the job opportunities and economic upliftment that will be created by the project. Overall the sentiment was positive towards the proposed project. With the significant turnout at the open day, adding to numerous other consultation meetings that were held with interested and affected parties, the procedural requirement to consult widely during the scoping phase has been satisfied.



Open day consultation meeting held on 15 January 2022

The final scoping report will now be assessed by the DMRE and once approved the process will move to the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) phase of the project.

For more information please visit <u>www.thetagoldmines.com</u> or contact:

Bill Guy, Chairman Theta Gold Mines Limited T: + 61 2 8046 7584 E: <u>billg@thetagoldmines.com</u>

Webpage: www.thetagoldmines.com



https://twitter.com/ThetaGoldMines

https://www.linkedin.com/company/thetagoldmines/

COMPETENT PERSONS STATEMENT

Exploration Results

The information in this press release relating to Exploration results is based on, and fairly reflects, the information and supporting documentation compiled by Mr Phil Bentley (MSc (Geol); MSc (Minex), Pr. Sci.Nat No 400208/05, FGSSA) a consultant to the Company and a member of the South African Council for Natural Scientific Professions.

ABOUT THETA GOLD MINES LIMITED

Theta Gold Mines Limited (ASX: TGM | OTC: TGMGF | FWB: 3LM) is a gold development company that holds a range of prospective gold assets in a world-renowned South African gold mining region. These assets include several surface and near-surface high-grade gold projects which provide cost advantages relative to other gold producers in the region.

Theta Gold's core project is located next to the historical gold mining town of Pilgrim's Rest, in Mpumalanga Province, some 370km northeast of Johannesburg by road or 95km north of Nelspruit (Capital City of Mpumalanga Province). Following small scale production from 2011 – 2015, the Company is currently focussing on the construction of a new gold processing plant within its approved footprint at the TGME plant, and for the processing of the initial ore reserves.

The Company aims to build a solid production platform to over 160kozpa based primarily around shallow, open-pit or adit-entry shallow underground hard rock mining sources. Theta Gold has access to over 43 historical mines and prospect areas that can be accessed and explored, with over 6.7Moz of historical production recorded.

Theta Gold holds 100% issued capital of its South African subsidiary, Theta Gold (SA) Pty Ltd ("TGSA"). TGSA holds a 74% shareholding in both Transvaal Gold Mining Estates Limited ("TGME") and Sabie Mines (Pty) Ltd ("Sabie Mines"). The balance of shareholding is held by Black Economic Empowerment ("BEE") entities as part of the country's ESG initiatives. The BEE shareholding in TGME and Sabie Mines is comprised of a combination of local community trusts, an employee trust and a strategic entrepreneurial partner.



DISCLAIMER

This announcement has been prepared by and issued by Theta Gold Mines Limited to assist in informing interested parties about the Company and should not be considered as an offer or invitation to subscribe for or purchase any securities in the Company or as an inducement to make an offer or invitation with respect to those securities. No agreement to subscribe for securities in the Company will be entered into on the basis of this announcement.

This announcement may contain forward looking statements. Whilst Theta Gold has no reason to believe that any such statements and projections are either false, misleading or incorrect, it does not warrant or guarantee such statements. Nothing contained in this announcement constitutes investment, legal, tax or other advice. This overview of Theta Gold does not purport to be all inclusive or to contain all information which its recipients may require in order to make an informed assessment of the Company's prospects. Before making an investment decision, you should consult your professional adviser, and perform your own analysis prior to making any investment decision. To the maximum extent permitted by law, the Company makes no representation and gives no assurance, guarantee or warranty, express or implied, as to, and take no responsibility and assume no liability for, the authenticity, validity, accuracy, suitability or completeness of, or any errors in or omissions, from any information, statement or opinion contained in this announcement. This announcement contains information, ideas and analysis which are proprietary to Theta Gold.

FORWARD LOOKING AND CAUTIONARY STATEMENTS

This announcement may refer to the intention of Theta Gold regarding estimates or future events which could be considered forward looking statements. Forward looking statements are typically preceded by words such as "Forecast", "Planned", "Expected", "Intends", "Potential", "Conceptual", "Believes", "Anticipates", "Predicted", "Estimated" or similar expressions. Forward looking statements, opinions and estimates included in this announcement are based on assumptions and contingencies which are subject to change without notice, and may be influenced by such factors as funding availability, market-related forces (commodity prices, exchange rates, stock market indices and the like) and political or economic events (including government or community issues, global or systemic events). Forward looking statements are provided as a general reflection of the intention of the Company as at the date of release of the document, however are subject to change without notice, and at any time. Future events are subject to risks and uncertainties, and as such results, performance and achievements may in fact differ from those referred to in this announcement. Mining, by its nature, and related activities including mineral exploration, are subject to a large number of variables and risks, many of which cannot be adequately addressed, or be expected to be assessed, in this document. Work contained within or referenced in this report may contain incorrect statements, errors, miscalculations, omissions and other mistakes. For this reason, any conclusions, inferences, judgments, opinions, recommendations or other interpretations either contained in this announcement, or referencing this announcement, cannot be relied upon. There can be no assurance that future results or events will be consistent with any such opinions, forecasts or estimates. The Company believes it has a reasonable basis for making the forward-looking statements contained in this document, with respect to any production targets, resource statements or financial estimates, however further work to define Mineral Resources or Reserves, technical studies including feasibilities, and related investigations are required prior to commencement of mining. No liability is accepted for any loss, cost or damage suffered or incurred by the reliance on the sufficiency or completeness of the information, opinions or beliefs contained in this announcement.

The Feasibility Study referred to in this announcement is based on technical and economic assessments to support the estimation of Ore Reserves. There is no assurance that the intended development referred to will proceed as described, and will rely on access to future funding to implement. Theta Gold Mines believes it has reasonable grounds the results of the Feasibility Study. At this stage there is no guarantee that funding will be available, and investors are to be aware of any potential dilution of existing issued capital. The production targets and forward-looking statements referred to are based on information available to the Company at the time of release, and should not be solely relied upon by investors when making investment decisions. Theta Gold cautions that mining and exploration are high risk, and subject to change based on new information or interpretation, commodity prices or foreign exchange rates. Actual results may differ materially from the results or production targets contained in this release. Further evaluation is required prior to a decision to conduct mining being made. The estimated Mineral Resources quoted in this release have been prepared by Competent Persons as required under the JORC Code (2012). Material assumptions and other important information are contained in this release.

Appendix A Northern Tenement Map



APPENDIX B

Phase 1 UG Project Mine Plans







APPENDIX C

JORC Checklist – Table 1 Assessment and Reporting Criteria

		SECTION 1: S	SAMPLING TECH	NIQUES AND DATA	
Criteria	Explanation			Detail	
	Nature and quality	Sampling types dis	cussed in this sec	tion mainly pertain to historical data with the exception of	of the
	of sampling (e.g.	Theta			
	cut channels,			rilling campaign. Drilling data sampling types include diam	
	random chips, or	reverse circulation	("RC"), percussi	on and auger drilling. Other sampling data types in	clude
	specific specialised	underground			
	industry standard			ample section composite data points on plans or as develop	
	measurement tools		osite stretch value	s), grab sampling as well as trench and sample pit samplin	ng for
	appropriate to the	bulk			
	minerals under	sampling for the put	poses of size fract	ion analysis.	
	investigation, such	The table balance and	l'and the time of a	and the state of the	
	as down hole		• •	campling data collected or utilised in the Mineral Resource	or
	gamma sondes, or handheld XRF	Exploration rarget e	estimates for each	of the Project Areas.	
	instruments, etc.).	Project Area	Reef	Sampling Data Types	
	These examples			Drillhole Data	
	should not be taken	Rietfontein	Rietfontein	Channel Chip Sample Data	
	as limiting the broad	Beta	Beta	Drillhole Data	
	meaning of	Dela	Bela	Channel Chip Sample Data	
	sampling.	Frankfort	Bevetts and	Drillhole Data	
			Theta	Channel Chip Sample Data	
		Clewer, Dukes	Rho	Drillhole Data	
		Hill & Morgenzon	Olifantsgeraamt	Channel Chip Sample Data Drillhole Data	
		Olifantsgeraamte	e	Channel Chip Sample Data	
			-	Drillhole Data	
		Vaalhoek	Vaalhoek and	Channel Chip Sample Data	
			Thelma Leaders	Stretch Values	
		Glynn's		Drillhole Data	
		Lydenburg	Glynn's	Channel Chip Sample Data	
		, ,		Stretch Values	
		Theta Project	Beta, Shale, Lower Theta,	Drillhole Data Trench Sampling Data	
		(Theta Hill,	Upper Theta,		
		Browns Hills and	Lower Rho,		
Sampling		lota section of Columbia Hill)	Upper Rho and	Channel Chip Sample Data	
techniques			Bevetts		
		Columbia Hill	Rho, Shale and	Drillhole Data	
		(remaining) Hermansburg	Shale Leaders Eluvial	Channel Chip Sample Data RC Drillhole Data	
		DG1	Eluvial	RC Drillhole Data	
		DG2	Eluvial	RC Drillhole Data	
				Grab Samples	
		DG5	Eluvial	RC Drillhole Data	
		Glynn's	Tailings	Auger Drillhole Data	
		Lydenburg TSF			
		Blyde TSFs (1, 2, 3, 3a, 4, 5)	Tailings	Auger Drillhole Data	
		TGM Plant	Tailings	Auger Drillhole Data	
		Vaalhoek, South	<u> </u>	Bulk Sampling Data	
		East (DGs),		Trench Sampling Data	
		Peach Tree,	Rock Dumps		
		Ponieskrantz, Dukes Clewer		Sampling Pit Data	
		Dukes Clewel			
		a) Channal Chin	Compling Data		
			Sampling Data:- -1946) chin samp	le values were captured in 'pennyweight' (dwt) units for	hlon r
				width. The quality of the chip samples could not be ascert	
				re-of; however, it should be noted chip sampling is a	
				the underground South African mining industry. The sam	
				managed by each mine's survey department and were us	
			pecific company-w		Juany
			- some company-w		
		More recent ch	ip sample values v	vere captured as cm.g/t content values and channel widths	were
				case at Frankfort while under ownership of Simmer & Jack N	
				udited the chip sampling procedure as employed by Simr	
	1			mployed to be of industry standard.	
		Jack and found	i ile piùceuules e		
		Jack and found			
		b) Stretch Values	:-		
		b) Stretch Values In some instan	:- ces (such as at Va	aalhoek and Glynn's Lydenburg) in areas where original sa value plans recording a composite content and channel	

			SECTION 1: SAMPLING TECHNIQUES AND DATA
Criteria	Explanation		Detail
			value for a stope length or development end were available and included in the database. The integrity of these plans as a source of grade information has been proven in other areas on the same mines where both chip sample plans and stretch value plans were available and were compared. It was found that the correlation to old sampling has been representative of the stretch values in these areas.
		c)	Drillhole Data:- Historical (pre-2007/8) drillhole data (inclusive of diamond, RC, and auger) exists on many of the operations. However very little backing data is available for many of these older holes and it must be assumed that QAQC was not included in the process. Minxcon has however reviewed the general quality of the survey data for these drillholes. For the most part, collar data has been found to agree well with local topography and is considered to be acceptable for modelling purposes.
			Downhole survey data with respect to diamond and RC drilling is also often absent from the older holes; however, it should be noted that over 98% of these holes were seldom drilled to depths in excess of 150 m and were vertically collared. Only 1.40% of all the drillholes on all the properties were drilled as inclined drillholes, thus it is Minxcon's view that the holes and their relative reef intercept points would be spatially acceptable for modelling purposes.
			The historical drillhole data has no accompanying assay QAQC, however this fact is considered in allocation of Mineral Resource classification during modelling.
			More recent drillhole data (inclusive of diamond, RC and auger) from 2008 onward is considered to be of high quality as it was conducted to updated industry standards with the incorporation of drillhole collar survey as well as assay QAQC where blanks and certified reference material were inserted for monitoring purposes, with the inclusion of coarse duplicate samples. These later drilling programmes were also either monitored, audited or managed by Minxcon personnel under Minxcon previous sister company Agere Project Management ("Agere").
		d)	Trench, Sample Pit and Bulk Sampling (Vaalhoek Rock Dump):- In order to evaluate the Vaalhoek Rock Dump, trenches and sample pits were dug. The trenches and pits were surveyed by a Mine Surveyor and were sampled in sections down to a depth 1.2 m, each sample representing a composite of 40 cm down the wall of the trench or pit. These samples were then assayed. The discard material from the trenches and pits was then composited to form a bulk sample of 50 tonnes for conducting size fraction analysis. The nature and quality of the sampling in question has been considered in the Mineral Resource classification for the Vaalhoek Dump, which is Inferred.
		e)	Bulk Sampling (South East (DGs), Peach Tree, Ponieskrantz, Dukes Clewer):- Bulk sampling was done through a triple deck screening plant (bulk samples were between 20t and maximum 520t per waste rock dump).
		f)	Trench Sampling (Theta Project Browns Hill):- Trenching was conducted on Browns Hill during the 2017-2019 drilling campaign to assist in locating the Lower Theta Reef outcrop. Trenches were dug in roughly an east-west orientation to a depth of between 1.0 m to 2.1 m. A total of 10 trenches were dug with an approximate spacing of approximately 30 to 35 m. The trenches were sampled near to vertical at 2 m intervals, due to the very shallow dip of the reef, where full side-wall composite samples were taken. Samples were dispatched to SGS Laboratory in Barberton for analysis. The trench sampling was not used in any evaluation as its only purpose was to locate reef outcrops.
	Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivi	a)	Chip Sampling:- In concordant reef underground projects chip samples were taken normal to the reef dip and calculated to give a composited value for a true reef thickness. In the case of cross-reefs such as that at Rietfontein, chip sample positions were plotted on the development centre lines indicating face sampling normal to the reef dip. Scatter plots were also generated to examine the data set for errors introduced while capturing the data. All values were converted using factors of 2.54 cm for 1 inch and 1.714285 g/t for 1 dwt.
	ty and the appropriate calibration of any measureme nt tools or systems used.		The older underground sampling took place at approximately 6 m spacing along on-reef development, whilst in newer mining areas this spacing was reduced to approximately 2 to 3 m along on-reef development. In the stoping areas a grid was targeted on an approximate 5 m by 5 m grid where applicable, which is a historical grid (Pre-1946). This grid was put in place due to the nugget effect of the reef. The minimum size of the samples was 20 cm to obtain a minimum weight of 500 g.
		b)	Trench, Sample pit and Bulk Sampling (Vaalhoek Rock Dump):- The trenches at Vaalhoek Rock Dump were located and spread as evenly as possible on the top of the dump, while pits were located on the sides of the dump and these were sampled in sections down to a depth 1.2 m, each sample representing a composite of 40 cm down the wall of the trench or pit. The discard material from the trenches and pits was then composited to form a bulk sample of 50 tonnes for conducting size fraction analysis and screened at -10 mm, +40 mm and

Criteria	Explanation	SECTION 1: SAMPLING TECHNIQUES AND DATA Detail
		-75 mm. The nature and quality of the sampling in question has been considered in the Mineral Resource classification for the Vaalhoek Dump, which is Inferred.
		c) Trench, Sample pit and Bulk Sampling (Theta Project):- The trenches were dug in roughly an east-west orientation to a depth of between 1.0 m to 2.1 m. A total of 10 trenches were dug with an approximate spacing of approximately 30 m to 35 m. The trenches were sampled near to vertical at 2 m intervals, due to the very shallow dip of the reef, where full side-wall composite samples were taken. The trench sampling was not used in any evaluation as its only purpose was to locate reef outcrops.
	Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report. In cases where 'industry standard' work has been done this would be relatively simple (e.g. 'reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay'). In other cases more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (e.g. submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information.	 evaluation as its only purpose was to locate reef outcrops. Samples presented in the historical database represent full reef composites for both diamond drilling as well as chip sampling. The historical nature of the data and the high grades encountered implies the use of fire assay as an assay technique. Sample preparation and aspects regarding sample submission for assay are not known due to the historical nature of the sampling data. Underground sampling, for metallurgical purposes, was undertaken at the northern Neck section of Vaalhoek during February, 2018. Two samples weighing approximately 4kg were taken from exposed faces of the Vaalhoek Reef, in two separate underground localities of previous mining. Two samples were also taken of Thelma Leader mineralisation located in underground exposures adjacent to the Vaalhoek Dyke. These samples also weighed approximately 4 kg each. All samples were composites of rock chipped over the reef width. The four samples were submitted for Bottle Roll testwork at SGS Barberton, which is discussed under the Metallurgical section. The smallest split drillcore sample taken was 15 cm in length. After crushing and pulverising the core sample, a 30 g cupel was utilised for analysis. Low core recoveries resulted in reverting to RC drilling for evaluation purposes. For the RC drilling conducted at the Theta Project, the mass of recovered sample obtained was recorded on a per metre drilled basis, with approximately 3 kg of sample per metre run, being split off by means of a 3 -tier riffle splitter for submission to SGS Laboratories in Barberton. Assays pertaining to the Theta Project were conducted by means of gold by fire assay with a gravimetric and/or flame atomic absorption spectrometry ("AAS") utilising a 30 g cupel.
Drilling techniques	Drill type (e.g. core, reverse circulation, open- hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc.) and details (e.g. core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face- sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc.).	 a) Underground/Hard Rock Projects:- All historic (pre 2007/2008) Mineral Resource evaluation drilling for the underground projects was conducted in the form of diamond drilling. Information regarding drilling diameter, drill tube type and core orientation is not available or discernible for the earlier 1995/1996 drilling as the core is no longer available. Only core loss, intersection length and grade (g/t) are recorded with various levels of geological lithological information. Due to the age of the data in question and the non- availability of the historical drill core, information regarding drilling diameter, drill tube type, core orientation is not available. More recent drillhole data (inclusive of diamond, RC and auger) from 2008 onward is considered to be high quality as it was conducted to updated industry standards with the incorporation of assay QAQC where blanks and certified reference material ("CRM") were inserted for monitoring purposes. Core drilling utilised an NQ (47.6 mm) drill bit. Details pertaining to earlier drilling programs' core orientation are not available. Due to poor diamond drillcore recoveries during the 2017-2019 drilling campaign, core orientation was not conducted. b) Open Pit or Eluvial Projects:- Drilling on the eluvial deposits took place under the auspices of Horizon Blue Resources and is regarded as being of high quality due to good survey control and inclusion of QAQC practices. The main drilling method (95% of drillholes) utilised to evaluate these projects was reverse circulation (4.5 inch (115 mm) and 6 inch (150 mm) diameter) drilling, vertical reverse circulation drillholes, with or without temporary casing depending on ground condition in the vicinity of the various drill sites. Rotary core drilling (NQ size with 75.7 mm outside diameter and 47.6 mm inside diameter) was utilised in 5% of the drillholes on these projects. More recent drillhole data (inclusive of diamond, RC and auger) from 2008 onward is considered to be of high quality as it was co

Criteria	Explanation	SECTION 1: SAMPLING TECHNIQUES AND DATA Detail
	Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed.	 c) Tailings Projects:- Drilling on the tailings projects was conducted by means of small diameter (45 mm and 50 mm) auger drilling. Drillhole positions have been surveyed by TGM utilising a GPS based Total station. All holes were drilled vertically. a) Diamond Drilling:- Information regarding the 1995/1996 recoveries is not available. However, during the 2008 and 2012/2013 drilling campaigns the recoveries were recorded. Diamond drill core recoveries were recorded during the 2013 drilling programmes, which was managed by Minxcon Exploration (Pty) Ltd. Core recovery percentage was calculated for each drill run. Sample recoveries were maximised through drilling techniques (diamond drilling), however drilling recoveries versus grade relationships were not assessed. During the 2017-2019 drilling campaign consistent and accurate records relating to core and RC drill sample recovery were maintained on a per sample basis. Diamond drill samples were measured on a per sample basis and related back to the recorded drill run length versus the length of drill core recovered, which was then presented as a percentage. The average drill recovery achieved during the diamond drilling campaign was approximately 65%, with at least 33.3% of samples achieving recoveries of 50% or less. This low recovery resulted in reverting to RC drilling as a means of obtaining representative drill data for evaluation purposes. b) RC Drilling:- Details regarding the chip sample recovery of the historical RC drilling for the eluvial project are not available or existent in Minxcon's data records. For the RC drilling conducted at the Theta
Drill sample recovery	Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples.	 Not available or existent in Minxcon's data records. For the RC drilling conducted at the Theta Project, the mass of recovered sample obtained was recorded on a per metre drilled basis, with approximately 3 kg of sample per metre run, being split off by means of a 3-tier riffle splitter for submission to SGS Laboratories in Barberton. Owing to the historical nature of the data in question (prior to 2005), measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure the representative nature of the samples are not known. During the 2008, 2012/2013 and 2017-2019 drilling campaign, sample recoveries were maximised through utilising appropriate drilling techniques depending on the deposit in question. In order to ensure the representative nature of the drilled intersections and due to the dip of the reefs being very shallow at between 3° to 12°, drillholes were drilled vertically in order to obtain an intersection as close to normal as possible. Owing to low core recoveries achieved in the 2017-2019 drilling campaign, RC drilling was utilised to maximise sample recovery.
	Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material.	Sample recovery versus grade was not assessed due to the lack of historical drill core and sample rejects, as well as due to the low diamond drilling sample recovery experience during the 2017-2019 drilling campaign. Sample recovery and grade relations with regard to the RC drilling was not possible due to not having a historical RC dataset to compare with. It is Minxcon's view that samples recording a core loss would result in a net negative bias, resulting in a potentially lower reported gold value. Twinning of these holes might serve to support this theory.
Logging	Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies.	Historical drillholes (pre-2007/2008) in most cases have no original drillhole logs available for review. Summary lithological strip logs or MS Excel [™] logs are available in most cases however and present lithological changes and reef positions. It is Minxcon's view that the level of detail available is still supportive and appropriate for Mineral Resource estimation. This level of detail has been considered in allocation of Mineral Resource classification. All 2008 drillholes were geologically logged including the deflections (or wedges) and the 2012/2013, as well as the 2017-2019 drilling campaign drillholes were both geologically and geotechnically logged. It is Minxcon's view that logging was done to a level of detail appropriate to support Mineral Resource estimation.
	Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc.) photography. The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged.	No detailed drillhole logs are available for the historical (pre-2007/2008) surface drilling. No core or core photography is available for review. The 2008 and 2012/2013 logging was qualitative in nature and core photos of all intersections were also taken. Logging conducted during the 2017-2019 drilling campaign was also qualitative in nature. All drill core and reference RC Chip sample trays were photographed and archived for record purposes. Historical drillholes (pre-2007/2008) in most cases have no original drillhole logs available for review. Summary lithological strip logs or MS Excel [™] logs are available in most cases however and present lithological changes and reef positions. Based on the information available it is assumed that all historical intersections represented in the Mine Resource estimation dataset were logged. All drilling

Criteria	Explanation	SECTION 1: SAMPLING TECHNIQUES AND DATA Detail
		and relevant intersections relating to 2007 through to, and including the 2017-2019 drilling programme were logged. The logging information per Project is presented in the full CPR document and described in detail.
	If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken.	It is not known how core was split in historical drilling (pre-2007/2008) campaigns. It is assumed that core was split as has been routine exploration practice. However, sampling/core records/libraries or protocols for this period are not available for review. In later drilling programmes (including the 2017-2019 drilling campaign) core was sawn in half lengthwise down the core axis. Once the core had been split the core was sampled along lithological boundaries. The smallest sample that was taken was 15 cm which was governed by the low core
		recovery, as well as the minimum weight required for a laboratory sample. Individual samples for NQ cores were 20 cm long. Reef samples were >10 cm and <40 cm.
	If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc. and whether sampled wet or dry.	Historical Protocols pertaining to the RC and auger drilling sample splitting are not available for scrutiny and thus unknown. During the 2017-2019 RC drilling programme, samples were dry sampled and riffle split through a 3-tier riffle splitter
Sub- sampling techniques and sample preparatio	For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique.	For historical diamond drilling (pre-2007/2008) no protocols pertaining to sample preparation techniques are available for scrutiny. Recent (inclusive of the 2017-2019 drilling campaign) drilling sampling preparation and its appropriateness is in line with industry practice.
n	Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples.	Historical (pre-2007/2008) historical sub-sampling techniques were not available for review. All later drilling programmes utilised blanks and certified reference materials in order to maximise representivity of samples. In the 2017-2019 drilling campaign, coarse duplicates were added to the QAQC programme to test repeatability and thus representivity of samples.
	Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second- half sampling.	Pertaining to historical (pre-2007/2008) drilling programmes, sub-sampling techniques were not available for review. In 2008, only blanks and certified reference material were used. No field duplicate/second –half or subsequent quarter sampling was conducted to Minxcon's knowledge. Later drilling programmes utilised only blanks and certified reference material. No field duplicate/second–half or subsequent quarter sampling was conducted. In the 2017-2019 drilling campaign, coarse field duplicates were added to the QAQC programme to test repeatability and thus representivity of samples. Out of 292 duplicates taken, three were identified as outliers. Once these were removed from the dataset, a correlation coefficient of 0.9683 was achieved, presenting very high correlation, thus supporting the view of sample representivity.
	Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.	Pre-2007/2008: Not known. Historical sample size taken were not recorded. Later programmes considered sample length versus core diameter together with assay laboratory techniques and protocols to ensure sample sizes were appropriate relative to the material in question being sampled. It is Minxcon's view that the sample sizes take are appropriate to the gold grain size being sampled due to the fact that out of 292 duplicates taken (2017-2019 drilling programme), three were identified as outliers. Once these were removed from the dataset, a correlation coefficient of 0.9683 was achieved, presenting very high correlation, thus supporting the view of sample representivity.
Quality of assay data and laboratory tests	The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total.	 Historical underground channel chips were reported in dwt, it is assumed that only fire assay was utilised and it is assumed that the technique represents total analysis. In 2008, all diamond core samples including blanks and certified reference material ("CRM") were dispatched to Set Point Laboratories ("Set Point") in Isando, Johannesburg, South Africa. Set Point is a SANAS certified laboratory, in accordance with the recognised international standard ISO/IES 17025:2005, with accreditation number T0223. The samples were analysed for Gold ("Au") by standard fire assay with ICP finish, and specific gravity ("SG") analysis were conducted on selected samples. It is assumed that the technique represents total analysis. Up to May 2007, all RC samples were sent to ALS Chemex Laboratory. From May 2007 onwards, RC samples were sent to Performance Laboratories (now SGS Performance Laboratories) and core samples to ALS Chemex (which is SANAS accredited) for fire assay by lead separation and AA finish. Each sample was also analysed for a spectrum of 34 metals using Inductively Coupled Plasma ("ICP") techniques. It is assumed that the technique represents total analysis.

		SECTION 1: SAMPLING TECHNIQUES AND DATA
Criteria	Explanation	
		In 2017, samples from drillholes V6 and V8 including blanks and certified reference material were dispatched to Super Laboratory Services (Pty) Ltd ("Super Labs") in Springs, South Africa. Super Labs is a SANAS certified laboratory, in accordance with the recognised international standard ISO/IES 17025:2005, with accreditation number T0494. The assay samples are 50 g samples in mass and are assayed for gold (Au) by means of fire assay with gravimetric finish. It is assumed that the technique represents total analysis.
		For the 2017-2019 drilling campaign, all drillhole samples were sent to SGS Performance Laboratories in Barberton. SGS Performance Laboratories, Barberton is a SANAS certified laboratory, in accordance with the recognised international standard FAA303, with accreditation number T0565. Assays pertaining to the Theta Project were conducted by means of gold by fire assay with a gravimetric and/or flame AAS utilising a 30 g cupel. This assay technique is viewed as being total.
	For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc., the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors	No assay methods other than those conducted by laboratories as mentioned above were utilised in the generation of any of the TGM projects sampling database.
	applied and their derivation, etc.	
	Nature of quality control procedures adopted (e.g. standards, blanks, duplicates,	No records of Assay QAQC are available for the historical data due to the age there-of (<i>i.e.</i> pre-1946 for channel chip sampling, and for drilling predating 2007/2008) and due to the accepted practices in place at the time. Drilling campaigns conducted post 2007/2008 and the accompanying sampling was conducted
	external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (i.e. lack of bias) and precision have	according to industry standards. QAQC measures were implemented by regular insertion of blanks and standards into the sampling stream. Minxcon considers that the QAQC measures, as well as data used for Mineral Resource estimation, were of adequate quality. Approximately 17% of the samples sent to the laboratory represented assay control material. Minxcon is of the opinion that an adequate number of control samples were utilised during this drilling programme. No field duplicates were however used during the 2008 drilling and sampling programmes.
	been established.	During the 2012/2013 exploration programme, the project was stopped due to budgetary constraints and the completed drillholes were not assayed at the time.
		For the 2013 drilling programme the samples were analysed in 2017 and a total of 84 samples including blanks and certified reference material were dispatched to Super Labs. Two CRMs, namely AMIS0016 and AMIS0023, and silica sand blanks were used in the sampling sequence. Roughly every fifth sample inserted in the sampling sequence was a QAQC sample. A total of two AMIS0023, two AMIS0016, five duplicates and six blank samples were used. Approximately 18% of the samples sent to the laboratory represented assay control material. Minxcon is of the opinion that an adequate number of control samples were utilised.
		During the 2017-2019 drilling programme the CRMs and blanks were inserted at predetermined positions in the sampling sequence, namely: analytical blank samples were placed at the beginning and at the end of a drillhole. With the diamond drilling control samples were placed in the sampling stream at every tenth sample, with a sequential rotation between a blank, CRM and duplicate. With the RC drilling, this was similarly done, but at every twentieth sample position. In both cases the control sample spacing was based upon the batch size utilised by the laboratory in order to ensure each tray included at least one blank and an additional control sample during sample preparation and analysis.
		Approximately 2.75% of the samples sent to the laboratory represented CRM and 4.5% represented analytical blanks and 1.3% represented coarse duplicates. These samples are in addition to the in- laboratory assay conducted by the laboratory which traditionally adds up to 20% control samples to the total sample stream, usually incorporating a CRM as well as an analytical blank and two duplicate samples to each sample batch. Minxcon is of the opinion that an adequate number of control samples were utilised during this drilling programme.
Verification of	The verification of significant intersections by	No verification of historical assay results is currently possible due to the historical nature of the data in question and the non-availability of the core.
sampling and	either independent or alternative	Minxcon verified the historically bagged samples for drillholes V6 and V8 for accuracy and representativeness before sending them to the laboratory in 2017. Those samples that were not
assaying	company personnel.	representative or missing were re-sampled from the remaining core at TGM.

		SECTION 1: SAMPLING TECHNIQUES AND DATA
Criteria	Explanation	Detail
		Minxcon reviewed all historical datasets chip sampling and the historical drilling attributed to the various historical operations, as well as digital plans (scanned DXF plans of sampling plans) and found that captured sample positions had good agreement with those in the digital dataset. In addition, different versions of the underground sampling file were found and cross validated to test for data changes or eliminations. These were corrected where applicable.
		Minxcon reviewed, verified and cross-checked captured assays relating to the 2008 drilling dataset by means of checking for transfer mistakes, gaps and overlaps in sampling intervals and also checked that all reef composites were correctly calculated for each reef intersection, before calculating the weighted mean of drillhole points with multiple intersections of wedges.
		Minxcon conducted checks on sampling during the 2017-2019 drilling programme by means of standard assay QAQC procedures and reviewing and cross-checking the .pdf assay results provided by the laboratory and those copied into the database utilised for evaluation. In addition, reviews of the sampling process were conducted by Minxcon personnel other than those managing the programme, namely the then Competent Person Mr Uwe Engelmann, and Mr Paul Obermeyer, the then Minxcon Mineral Resource Manager.
	Discuss any adjustment to assay data.	No adjustments were made to raw assay data according to Minxcon's knowledge.
	Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols.	Not known. Historical data capture and data entry procedures were not available for review. The 2007/2008 and 2013 exploration programmes were logged and captured on hardcopy. These were then transferred to MS Excel TM . Minxcon currently only has the data in this digital format for verification purposes. During the 2017-2019 drilling campaign, all logging and sampling were logged and captured on hardcopy and then captured in MS Excel TM . Assay results were received from the laboratory in MS Excel TM .csv format as well as .PDF, thus allowing verification and comparison between hardcopy, source and digital data files.
	The use of twinned holes.	No twinned holes were drilled.
	Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drillholes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and	TGM utilised a handheld GPS for the purpose of locating historical adits and mine entrances, which in turn have been utilised in conjunction with historical survey data in positioning the historical underground workings in 3D. Historical survey plans with plotted survey peg positions and elevations are available for most of the historical underground operations. These pegs were installed by mine surveyors relative to fixed local mine datum's. The survey pegs and workings have been digitised in ARCView GIS 10 [™] .
	other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.	Each data point and stretch value on the original assay plans was marked and annotated with a reef width and gold grade. Assay plan images were imported into GIS and co-ordinates converted from a local grid co-ordinate (WG31) system to a WGS84 grid system. The plans were then captured into Datamine Studio 3 [™] . The captured assay points were plotted on a plan of the underground workings to ensure that the points plotted correctly relative to development and stoping. The sampling has in turn been fixed to the underground development and stoping voids. It is Minxcon's opinion that sample positional accuracy would be within 5 to 10 m of the original sample point (within acceptable limits of a GPS). Drillhole collars were also located by means of handheld GPS co-ordinates.
Location of		Assay plan images were imported into GIS and co-ordinates converted from a local grid co-ordinate system to a WGS84 grid system. The plans were then captured into Datamine®. The captured assay points were plotted on a plan of the underground workings to ensure that the points plotted correctly relative to development and stoping.
data points		Historically, sampling points were measured by means of measuring tape and the resultant offsets plotted on the sampling and development plans.
		Information pertaining to the instrument used for downhole survey conducted before and including the 2007/2008 drilling programmes is not available During the 2012/2013 drilling programme an EZ-Trac with EZ Com was used.
		Drillholes drilled at the Theta Project did not have downhole surveys conducted due to all being drilled vertically and due to them all being under 200 m in depth. Drillhole collars were located by two means. Of the 371 holes drilled some 99 collars were surveyed utilising an RTK Trimble R8 GPS Survey Total Station, while the balance was recorded by means of handheld GPS. TGM complete a LIDAR survey over the Theta Project in March 2019 which was then used to re-elevate the collar positions to the new LIDAR surface for improved accuracy. The 3D geological model was updated in June 2019 and the Mineral Resource was adjusted accordingly.
	Specification of the grid system used.	The grid system used is Hartebeeshoek 1994, South African Zone WG31.
	Quality and adequacy of topographic control.	Minxcon utilised the GPS co-ordinates provided by TGM for the adit positions, as well as ventilation openings to assist in verifying and fixing the underground workings in 3D space. Very good correlation between the digital topography and the underground mining profiles was found. The tailings and rock dump projects were surveyed utilising standard survey methods (Survey total station) and detailed

Criteria Explanation Detail topographical data collected. This data was subsequently survey was conducted in March 2019 and was compared the reef modelling. Discrepancies were found to be small work the reef block models. The 3D geological model was readjusted accordingly. There was an overall increase of 9	d to the original digital topography utilised in with negligible impact on the geological model vised in June 2019 and the Mineral Resource
survey was conducted in March 2019 and was compare the reef modelling. Discrepancies were found to be small w or the reef block models. The 3D geological model was re adjusted accordingly. There was an overall increase of 9	d to the original digital topography utilised in with negligible impact on the geological model vised in June 2019 and the Mineral Resource
the Theta Project due to the changes in the reef elevation	
In the stoping areas, the mean channel chip sample grid grid, while on development in older areas samples were more recent areas sample sections were taken at betwee shows that diamond drillholes were drilled on an irregularOwing to the more advanced investigation stage (<i>i.e.</i> Exploration Results have been reported.In the stoping areas, the sample stretch values were space strike, while in more detailed areas sample spacing was f the development, stretch values spacing varied from 4 m spacing is seen to be as close a 3 m.Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results.Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results.Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results.Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results.Data spacing for reporting of Exploration 	taken at about 5 m to 6 m intervals, while in en 2 m to 3 m spacing. Available information r grid of between 200 m to 500 m. Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves), no ced approximately at 15 m on dip and 4 m on found to be as little as 3 m between points. In to 20 m, while in more detailed areas sample gnificantly and is considered during Mineral wo drillholes (V6 and V8) did not significantly ond the variogram range of the sample points with the stretch value data. They did however ger drilling was conducted on a 25 m x 25 m was conducted on an approximate 50 m x 50
Data spacing and the term of the Hermansburg eluvial deposit was drilled on an application of the term of term of the term of term	
and distributionWhether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied.It is Minxcon's opinion that drillhole and sample spacing meaningful Mineral Resource estimation in and around sampling data. It is Minxcon's view that the drillhole spaci during the 2017-2019 drilling programme is adequate for estimation. Spacing per reef is viewed as being appropriat for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied.	stoping areas due to the density of the chip ing pertaining to the Theta Project conducted the purpose of conducting Mineral Resource
Whether sample compositing has been applied.All channel chip sample points within the undergrour composites. Full reef composites were applied to drillhol due to the inherent narrow nature of the reefs concerne sample points were composite at fixed downhole sample 3D Mineral Resource Estimations on these types o 	les belonging to the underground operations ed. All eluvial, TSF drillholes and rock dump e intervals for the purposes of conducting full of deposits. During the 2017-2019 drilling (Upper Theta, Lower Theta and Beta Reefs) n purposes due to the minimum sample width avironments (Upper Rho, Lower Rho, Bevetts
Orientation of data in relation to geological structureWhether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type.Concordant reefs are all near horizontal and as such the strike in a north-south direction. Drillholes were drilled ver shear zones at a near perpendicular angle in order that sampling bias. Chip sampling in concordant reef environr Minxcon's view that sampling orientation has attempted to intersection. All intersections represented corrected reef 	ertically (-90° dip) to intercept the mineralised the sampling of the drill core minimises the ments was conducted normal to reef dip. It is o reduce sample bias with respect to angle of widths. to sub-vertical. Drillholes were orientated at ear a perpendicular angle in plan and acute f drill core minimises the sampling bias. Chip nxcon's view that sampling orientation has

Criteria Explanation Detail All sampling of the TSF was conducted vertically. This is normal to the orientation of deposition and is therefore achieves unbiased sampling All reactives unbiased sampling If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised Available information indicates that the drilling orientation provides reasonably unbiased sampling of the mineralisation zones. Measures taken to ensure sample security pertaining to the historical chip sampling are not available due to the historical nature of the data in question. Measures taken to ensure sample security during historical drilling programmes (1995/1996 and 2008 drilling) are not available due to the historical nature of the data in question. During 2012/2013 all core samples were stored in a locked facility prior to dispatch to the laboratory. The samples from the 2013 drilling campaign were bagged and labelled in 2013 but were not sent away to a laboratory for assayed due to the project ending prematurely. The samples were stored at the TGM Plant in Pligrims Rest and delivered to the Minxcon Exploration offices in Johannesburg in November 2017 to check and verify the proviously bagged samples. A standard chain of custody was implemented during the 2017-2019 drilling campaign, lmmediately when the core arrived in the core yard daily, the geologist or core yard manager was required to sign the core shed register (core) after inspecting the core against the reported drilled metres in acknowledgement of having received the core in good condition. On a weekly basis (or more of ehm when required) samples were despatched directly to the analytical laboratory. The Chain of Custody for the core and samples utilised by Minxcon in the 2017-2019 drilling programme was congruent with that utilised in th			SECTION 1: SAMPLING TECHNIQUES AND DATA
Sample security The relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation Measures taken to ensure sample security pertaining to the historical chip sampling are not available due to the historical nature of the data in question. Resures taken to ensure sample security pertaining to the historical drilling programmes (1995/1996 and 2008 drilling) are not available due to the historical and true of the data in question. Measures taken to ensure sample security during historical drilling programmes (1995/1996 and 2008 drilling) are not available due to the historical nature of the data in question. Sample security The measures taken to ensure sample security. Measures taken to ensure sample security during historical and the ories in Johannesburg in November 2017 to check and verify the previously bagged samples. A standard chain of custody was implemented during the 2017-2019 drilling campaign. Immediately when the core and samples were despatched directly to the analytical laboratory. The chain of Custody for the core and samples utilised by Minxcon in the 2017-2019 drilling programme was congruent with that utilised in the 2008 and 2012/2013 drilling programme was congruent with that utilised in the 2008 and 2012/2013 drilling programme was congruent with that utilised in the 2008 and 2012/2013 drilling programme was congruent with that utilised in the 2008 and 2012/2013 drilling programme was congruent with that utilised in the 2008 and 2012/2013 drilling programme was congruent with that utilised in the 2008 and 2012/2013 drilling programme was congruent with that utilised in the 2008 and 2012/2013 drilling programme was congruent with that utilised in the 2008 and 2012/2013 drilling programme was congruent with that utilised in the 2008 and 2012/2013 drilling programme was congruent with that utilised in the 2008 and 2	Criteria	Explanation	
Between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralisedthe mineralisation zones.Sample securityMeasures taken to ensure sample security pertaining to the historical chip sampling are not available due to the historical nature of the data in question.Measures taken to ensure sample security during historical drilling programmes (1995/1996 and 2008 drilling) are not available due to the historical nature of the data in question.Sample securityThe measures taken to ensure sample security.Measures taken to ensure sample security during historical drilling programmes (1995/1996 and 2008 drilling campaign were bagged and labelled in 2013 but were not sent away to a laboratory for assayed due to the project ending prematurely. The samples were stored in a locked facility prior to dispatch to the laboratory. The samples from the 2013 drilling campaign were bagged and labelled in 2013 but were not sent away to a laboratory for assayed due to the Disport to Exploration offices in Johannesburg in November 2017 to check and verify the previously bagged samples. A standard chain of custody was implemented during the 2017-2019 drilling campaign. Immediately when the core arrived in the core in specting the core against the reported drilled metres in acknowledgement of having received the core in good condition. On a weekly basis (or more often when required) samples were despatched directly to the analytical laboratory. The Chain of Custody for the core and samples tiltised by Minxcon in the 2017-2019 drilling programme was congruent with that utilised in the 2008 and 2012/2013 drilling programme was congruent with that utilised in the 2008 and 2012/2013 drilling programme was congruent with that utilised in the 2008 and 2012/2013 drilling program under the management of Agere.Audits or reviewsMinxcon rev			therefore achieves unbiased sampling
Sample securityThe measures taken to ensure sample security.Measures taken to ensure sample security during historical drilling programmes (1995/1996 and 2008 drilling) are not available due to the historical nature of the data in question. During 2012/2013 all core samples were stored in a locked facility prior to dispatch to the laboratory. The samples from the 2013 drilling campaign were bagged and labelled in 2013 but were not sent away to a laboratory for assayed due to the project ending prematurely. The samples were stored at the TGM Plant in Pilgrims Rest and delivered to the Minxcon Exploration offices in Johannesburg in November 2017 to check and verify the previously bagged samples. A standard chain of custody was implemented during the 2017-2019 drilling campaign. Immediately when the core arrived in the core yard daily, the geologist or core yard manager was required to sign the core shed register (core) after inspecting the core against the reported drilled metres in acknowledgement of having received the core in good condition. On a weekly basis (or more often when required) samples were despatched directly to the analytical laboratory. The Chain of Custody for the core and samples utilised by Minxcon in the 2017-2019 drilling programme was congruent with that utilised in the 2008 and 2012/2013 drilling programs under the management of Agere.Audits or reviewsThe results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.Minxcon reviewed all historical datasets attributed to the various projects comprising the Mineral Resources, historical plans and sections as well as digital plans (scanned DXF plans of sampling plans) and found that historically captured sample positions had good agreement with those in the digital dataset. In addition, different versions of the underground sampling files were found and cross validated to test		between the drilling orientation and the orientation	
Sample securityThe measures taken to ensure sample security.drilling) are not available due to the historical nature of the data in question. During 2012/2013 all core samples were stored in a locked facility prior to dispatch to the laboratory. The samples from the 2013 drilling campaign were bagged and labelled in 2013 but were not sent away to a laboratory for assayed due to the project ending prematurely. The samples were stored at the TGM Plant in Pilgrims Rest and 			
Audits or reviewsThe results of any audits or reviewsResources, historical plans and sections as well as digital plans (scanned DXF plans of sampling plans) and found that historically captured sample positions had good agreement with those in the digital dataset. In addition, different versions of the underground sampling files were found and cross validated to test for data changes or eliminations. Minxcon also digitised a series of plans or sampling points and stretch values which were used in the various estimations. Minxcon was not able to audit or review the sampling techniques in practice due to the historical nature of the data in question.		taken to ensure	drilling) are not available due to the historical nature of the data in question. During 2012/2013 all core samples were stored in a locked facility prior to dispatch to the laboratory. The samples from the 2013 drilling campaign were bagged and labelled in 2013 but were not sent away to a laboratory for assayed due to the project ending prematurely. The samples were stored at the TGM Plant in Pilgrims Rest and delivered to the Minxcon Exploration offices in Johannesburg in November 2017 to check and verify the previously bagged samples. A standard chain of custody was implemented during the 2017-2019 drilling campaign. Immediately when the core arrived in the core yard daily, the geologist or core yard manager was required to sign the core shed register (core) after inspecting the core against the reported drilled metres in acknowledgement of having received the core in good condition. On a weekly basis (or more often when required) samples were despatched directly to the analytical laboratory. The Chain of Custody for the core and samples utilised by Minxcon in the 2017-2019 drilling programme was congruent with that utilised in the 2008 and 2012/2013 drilling programs under the management
		audits or reviews of sampling techniques and	Resources, historical plans and sections as well as digital plans (scanned DXF plans of sampling plans) and found that historically captured sample positions had good agreement with those in the digital dataset. In addition, different versions of the underground sampling files were found and cross validated to test for data changes or eliminations. Minxcon also digitised a series of plans or sampling points and stretch values which were used in the various estimations. Minxcon was not able to audit or review the sampling techniques in practice due to the historical nature of the data in question.

		SECTION 2: REPORTING OF EXPLORATION RESULTS
Criteria	Explanation	Detail
Mineral tenement and land tenure status	Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings.	The mining rights are held under Transvaal Gold Mining Estates Limited ("TGME"), a 74% indirect subsidiary of TGM. The mineral rights 83MR, 340MR, 341MR, 358MR and 433MR have been granted, registered and executed, held over certain Mineral Resource areas. Their accompanying environmental and social permits are also executed. The mining rights 10161MR and 10167MR have been granted and are pending execution. The mining rights 330MR and 198MR are still in the approval process. A Section 102 amendment process for inclusion of Theta Project into 83MR is currently underway, with the environmental and socio-economic studies, as well as water use licence application process, following prescribed regulatory timelines. It is noted that the proposed underground operations may require revised mine work programmes to be approved, as well as environmental, social and water use licences.
	The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area.	TGM is required to comply with DMRE regulations and instructions timeously in order to receive executed rights, as well as for the currently active rights to remain in force. Minxcon notes that a few years have lapsed since the last formal DMRE communication on 330MR and 198MR, and notes that the security of these rights may be at risk. The 83MR Section 102 application is following timelines as stipulated by applicable regulations and guided by government departments and processes.
		The Mineral Resources are located within the above permit areas as per the figure below.

		SECTION 2: REPORTING OF EXPLORATION RESULTS
Criteria	Explanation	Detail arepre arepre
		30° 40° E 30° 40° E
Exploration done by other parties	Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties.	Acknowledgement is hereby made for the historical exploration conducted from 1977 to 1982 by Placid Oil and Southern Sphere over the northern areas over the TGM holdings. From 1982 to 1992, Rand Mines conducted sporadic alluvial prospecting along the Blyde River, limited surface diamond drilling, re-opening of old workings and extensive exploration programmes around the town of Pilgrims Rest. TGME and Simmer & Jack conducted drilling, geochemical soil sampling, trenching and geological mapping.
Geology	Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation.	Epigenetic gold mineralisation in the Sabie-Pilgrims Rest Goldfield occurs as concordant and discordant (sub-vertical) veins (or reefs) in a variety of host rocks within the Transvaal Drakensberg Goldfield, and these veins have been linked to emplacement of the Bushveld Complex. Mineralisation in the region occurs principally in concordant reefs in flat, bedding parallel shears located mainly on shale partings within the Malmani Dolomites. These bodies are stratiform, and are generally stratabound, and occur near the base of these units. The discordant reefs (or cross-reefs) are characterised by a variety of gold mineralisation styles. At Rietfontein, a sub-vertical quartz-carbonate vein occurs which reaches up from the Basement Granites and passes to surface through the Transvaal. They are found throughout the Sabie-Pilgrims Rest Goldfield, and are commonly referred to as cross reefs, blows, veins, and leaders and exhibit varying assemblage of gold-quartz-sulphide mineralisation generally striking northeast to north-northeast. They vary greatly in terms of composition, depth and diameter. In addition to the above, more recent eluvial deposits occur on the sides of some of the hills and are through to represent cannibalised mineralised clastic material resulting from the erosion of underlying reefs. Gold mineralisation is accompanied by various sulphides of Fe, Cu, As and Bi.
Drillhole Information	A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drillholes: * easting and northing of the drillhole collar * elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea	A summary of the data types and the number of data attributable to each project is presented in the table below. It should be noted that all the projects listed are historical mining areas and do not constitute exploration projects in the true sense of the word. However, detailed drillhole summary tables are presented in the CPR in the appropriate sections pertaining to Exploration Targets. It should be noted that the numbers presented for drillholes in the table below represent all drillhole records, regardless of the status of the data concerned.

Explana		SECTION 2: REPORTING OF EXPLORATION RESULTS Detail			
level in metre the drillhole of	es) of			Historical datasets (Pre -	Recent Datasets
* dip and azir the hole		Project Area	Sampling Data Types	2007/2008) Quantity (Incl. Wedges)	Quantity
* down hole I and intercept	-	Diatfontain	Drillhole Data	8	-
depth * hole length		Rietfontein	Channel Chip Sample Data	2,265	-
		Data	Drillhole Data	7	20
		Beta	Channel Chip Sample Data	4,553	-
		F 14 4	Drillhole Data	15	59
		Frankfort	Channel Chip Sample Data	3,187	864
		CDM	Drillhole Data	115	-
		CDIVI	Channel Chip Sample Data	24,483	-
			Drillhole Data	1	-
		Olifantsgeraamte	Channel Chip Sample Data	316	-
			Drillhole Data	16	8
		Vaalhoek	Channel Chip Sample Data	3,836	-
			Stretch Values	1,472	-
			Drillhole Data	-	-
		Glynn's Lydenburg	Channel Chip Sample Data	26,435	-
			Stretch Values	872	-
		Theta Project (Theta Hill,	Drillhole Data	263	371
		Browns Hill & lota	Trench Sampling	-	10
		section of Columbia Hill)	Channel Chip Sample Data	7,472	-
		Columbia Hill	Drillhole Data	26	-
		(remaining)	Channel Chip Sample Data	14,478	-
		Hermansburg	RC Drillhole Data	,	79
		DG1	RC Drillhole Data	-	
		DG2	RC Drillhole Data Grab Samples	-	221 ≈100
		DG5	RC Drillhole Data	-	19
		Glynn's Lydenburg TSF	Auger Drillhole Data	-	140
		Blyde TSFs (1, 2, 3, 3a, 4, 5)	Auger Drillhole Data	-	86
		TGM Plant	Auger Drillhole Data	-	34
		Vaalhoek (Rock	Bulk Sampling Data	-	1
		dump)	Trench Sampling Data	-	13
		South East (DGs)	Sampling Pit Data Bulk Sampling Data	- 50	57
		(Rock dump) Peach Tree (Rock	Bulk Sampling Data	8	
		dump) Ponieskrantz	Bulk Sampling Data	10	
		(Rock dump) Dukes Clewer	Bulk Sampling Data	13	
If the exclusion	on of	(Rock dump)		13	-
this informati justified on th that the informati is not Materia	on is ne basis mation al and the as	say result available, v	n all projects and project vere used for Mineral Re etfontein) where out of e	esource estimation	with the exc

understanding of the

report, the **Competent Person** should clearly

well as three drillholes drilled in 2008 were only used for geological modelling due to the fact that

the project was stopped due to budget constraints and the mineralised zones were never assayed.

		SECTION 2: REPORTING OF EXPLORATION RESULTS
Criteria	Explanation explain why this is	Detail
	the case.	
	In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (e.g. cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated.	All chip samples and drillhole samples were agglomerated. Data type biases were not investigated due to the small number of drillhole intersections. Where stretch values were used in the estimation these were composited to a 3 m composite based on a minimum stretch length. These values were treated separately and not included in the chip sample database. Areas utilising stretch values were immediately relegated to Inferred Mineral Resource classification. During the 2017-2019 drilling programme, in thin reef environments with reefs of <1 m (Upper Theta, Lower Theta and Beta Reefs) diluted (to 1 m) reef composites were utilised for evaluation purposes due to the minimum sample width obtained during the RC drilling being 1 m. In thick reef environments (Upper Rho, Lower Rho, Bevetts and Shale Reefs), individual original sample widths of 1 m were maintained for utilisation in 3D estimation.
Data aggregatio n methods	intercepts incorporate short lengths of high grade results and longer lengths of low grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail.	An trip samples and drink of samples were aggiomerated. Data type blases were not investigated due to the small number of drillhole intersections. Where stretch values were used in the estimation these were composited to a 3 m composite based on a minimum stretch length. These values were treated separately and not included in the chip sample database. Areas utilising stretch values were immediately relegated to Inferred Mineral Resource classification. During the 2017-2019 drilling programme, in thin reef environments with reefs of <1 m (Upper Theta, Lower Theta and Beta Reefs) diluted (to 1 m) reef composites were utilised for evaluation purposes due to the minimum sample width obtained during the RC drilling being 1 m. In thick reef environments (Upper Rho, Lower Rho, Bevetts and Shale reefs), individual original sample widths of 1 m were maintained for utilisation in 3D estimation.
	The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated.	No metal equivalents were calculated.
Relationshi p between mineralisati on widths and intercept lengths	If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drillhole angle is known, its nature should be reported. If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (e.g. 'down hole length, true width not known').	For the historical drillhole intersections (as well as intersections pertaining to the 2017-2019 drilling campaign) no downhole lengths have been reported – only true reef widths have been recorded in the estimation database on the historical sampling plans and sections. All drilling was conducted near normal to bedding so is reef width would be very closely related to the intersection length due to the low dip of the orebody and the vertical drilling of the drillholes. Historical underground chip sampling is sampled normal to the dip of the reef so is therefore the true width. Only true width data is available. All significant grades presented in the estimation dataset represent the value attributable to the corrected sample width and not the real sampled length.
Diagrams	Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drillhole collar locations and appropriate sectional views.	The TGM Mineral Resource is not a true greenfields exploration project but rather a mature mining operation with a wealth of historical underground chip sampling and drillhole intersections which have been collated, captured and digitised. The CPR has the detail diagrams of the sampling datasets for the various operations. These include chip samples and drillhole intersections.
Balanced reporting	Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low	The various Mineral Resource estimations were conducted by Minxcon and are based upon the information provided by TGM. This Mineral Resource Report contains summary information for all historic sampling and drilling campaigns within the Project Area, as well as new data obtained during the evaluation drilling conducted at the Theta Project and provides a representative range and mean of grades intersected in the datasets.

Criteria	SECTION 2: REPORTING OF EXPLORATION RESULTS Explanation Detail									
Criteria	and high grades		De							
	and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results.									
Other substantive exploration data	Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances.	Various exploration campaigns have been conducted over the years but not all information is available or relevant to the current Mineral Resource update. No other exploration data other than that presented for the purposes of the Mineral Resource estimation is therefore presented here. TGM has undertaken additional drilling at Columbia Hill (lota), Theta Hill, Browns Hill and lota (Theta Project). This data has been incorporated in the current Mineral Resource estimate. TGM has completed and is still in the process of completing metallurgical testwork and studies for the recoveries of the various reefs. This testwork all forms part of the feasibility study that is being completed. Grab samples taken at Beverly Hills and Desire mine were from old broken ore (sweepings) left in the stope panels. Random samples are taken from this broken ore where safe entry permits into the old stope panels. Samples are collected at the top, middle, and bottom of the stope panel. These samples are then delivered to Gold 1 or SGS laboratories for evaluation. The chip samples at Frankfort Beverly Hills Mine are taken on a 12-meter grid spacing, channels cut into the rock from top hangingwall contact to bottom footwall contact. The 25cm between the two parallel cuts is chipped out, bagged, and transported to the laboratories. Preliminary assay results are shown below. Further sampling inclusive of bench scale amenability to gravity and flotation concentration is in progress. Beverly Hills weepings grabs – 15.79g/t and 4.37g/t Beverly Hills chip samples – 11.79g/t Desire sweepings grabs – 14.27g/t and 10.79g/t								
Further work	The nature and scale of planned further work (e.g. tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large- scale step-out drilling).	Resource and Ore extensions, depth below is a summa depends on the ar Project Rietfontein Beta CDM Theta Frankfort Vaalhoek Glynn's Lydenburg Columbia Hill	Reserve. These are spread over extensions as well as compiling ary of the near-term potential en- vailable budget and therefore can Type of Potential Lateral and depth extensions Lateral extension Lateral extension Lateral extension Lateral extensions Depth extensions and open- pit opportunities Shallow lateral extensions Shallow lateral extensions	CommentLateral extension is possible to the south which is untested as well as at depth below the current historical mining areasLateral extension of the main beta "Payshoot"Lateral extension to the south toward Dukes' Hill SouthLateral extension to the south toward Dukes' Hill SouthLateral extension to the south on both Theta Hill and Browns Hill once 341MR is available. Lateral extension to the west and southwest at lotaLateral extension on Bevetts Reef at Frankfort Main and Beverly Hills to the NENear surface potential (open pit) exists on the Vaalhoek Reef and Thelma Leaders ReefThe new model has identified new high-grade exploration targets for possible near surface open pit opportunitiesThe new geological interpretation has identified Columbia Hill as a potential open pit target that will be drilled in the near future	cover lateral s. The table					
	Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not	The potential area	as for the various mines have	hat have not been investigated yet. been detailed in the CPR. Detailed the unknown available budget.	exploration					

	SECTION 2: REPORTING OF EXPLORATION RESULTS	
Criteria	Explanation	Detail
	commercially	
	sensitive.	

SECT	TION 3: ESTIMATION AND REPORTING OF MINERAL RESOURCES
Explanation	Detail
M	Minxcon reviewed all historical datasets attributed to all the underground projects, as well as digital plans (scanned DXF plans of sampling plans) and found that captured sample positions had good agreement with those in the digital dataset except for a small number of chip samples (<1%), which Minxcon subsequently corrected. In addition, different versions of the underground sampling file were found and cross validated to test for data changes or eliminations over the years. Minxcon found that database integrity was maintained over time.
Measures taken to ensure that data has not been corrupted by, for example, transcription or keying errors, between its initial collection and	The chip sampling data that was captured was also verified on an ad-hoc basis by different personnel as to the personnel that captured the data. Prior to estimation a duplicate check in Datamine Studio RM [™] was carried out on the datasets to eliminate duplicate data point errors, and found that less than 2% of the population included duplicate captured sample points.
its use for Mineral Resource estimation purposes.	Minxcon reviewed existing digital drillhole logs and assay sheets for the historical drilling relative to scans of drillhole strip logs and found very good agreement. In cases were errors were encountered, these were corrected and incorporated into a date-stamped database for sign-off prior to submission for Mineral Resource estimation.
	With regards to the 2017-2019 exploration campaign, assay data integrity was maintained by cross-validating MS Excel [™] .csv assay results files from the laboratory with the .pdf files also provided by the Laboratory. Hard copy geological logs were kept as a means of referral with reference to the geological information captured in the project database.
	Minxcon reviewed all historical datasets attributed to all the underground projects, as well as digital plans (scanned DXF plans of sampling plans) and found that captured sample positions had good agreement with those in the digital dataset except for a small number of chip samples (<1%), which Minxcon subsequently corrected. In addition, different versions of the underground sampling file were found and cross validated to test for data changes or eliminations over the years. Minxcon found that database integrity was maintained over time.
Data validation procedures used.	The chip sampling data that was captured was also verified on an ad hoc basis by different personnel as to the personnel that captured the data. Prior to estimation a duplicate check in Datamine Studio RM [™] was carried out on the datasets to eliminate duplicate data point errors, and found that less than 2% of the population included duplicate captured sample points.
	Minxcon reviewed existing digital drillhole logs and assay sheets for the historical drilling relative to scans of drillhole strip logs and found very good agreement. In cases were errors were encountered, these were corrected and incorporated into a date-stamped database for sign-off prior to submission for Mineral Resource estimation.
	With regards to the 2017-2019 exploration campaign, assay data integrity was maintained by cross-validating MS Excel [™] .csv assay results files from the laboratory with the .pdf files also provided by the Laboratory. Hard copy geological logs were kept as a means of referral with reference to the geological information captured in the project database.
Comment on any site visits undertaken by the Competent Person and the outcome of those visits.	Minxcon personnel have consistently visited the gold properties in the Sabie-Pilgrims Rest area since 2007. Mr Uwe Engelmann, who is a Competent Person and who is responsible for the sign-off of the Mineral Resources, undertook a site visit to the Beta Mine on 15 December 2016, as well as on 23 November 2017 and 18 May 2018 to review the current RC and diamond drilling conducted at the Theta Project to inspect the drilling and sampling procedures. During the May visit Mr Engelmann also inspected the tailings storage facilities ("TSFs") and Vaalhoek Rock Dump for possible depletions. An additional site visit by Mr Engelmann was conducted on 10 April 2019 to review the close-out procedures associated with the protracted preceding drilling programme. The most recent site visit by Mr Uwe Engelmann was on 21 January 2020 to investigate the additional waste rock dumps for which the historical data was supplied by Mr Phil Bentley.
If no site visits have been undertaken indicate why this is the case.	Not applicable – refer to above.

SECTION 3: ESTIMATION AND REPORTING OF MINERAL RESOURCES

Detail

	If no site visits have been undertaken indicate why this is the case.	Not applicable – refer to above.	models were prosted in Determine	Studio 2TM and Datam	ing Studia DMI
		for the different types of orebodies The four types of geological mode • Sub-vertical discordant	within the TGM Projects. Is relate to the type of orebodies er (cross-reef) reef models ant (and leader) reef models eef models lels	ncountered and include	2
		Geological Model Type	Project Area	Reef	
		Sub-vertical discordant (cross-reef)		Neel	
		reef models	Rietfontein	Rietfontein	
		Sub-horizontal concordant (and	Beta (3D)	Beta	
		leader) reef models	Frenkfert (2D)	Bevetts	
			Frankfort (2D)	Theta	
			CDM (2D)	Rho	
			Olifantsgeraamte (2D)	Olifantsgeraamte	
				Vaalhoek	
			Vaalhoek (3D)	Thelma Leaders	
			Glynn's Lydenburg (3D	Glynn's	
				Shale Reefs	
	Confidence			Bevetts	
	in (or		Theta Project (Theta Hill,	Upper Rho	
	conversely,		Browns Hill & lota section of	Lower Rho	
	the		Columbia Hill) (3D)	Upper Theta	
Geological	uncertainty			Lower Theta	
interpretati	of) the			Beta	
on	geological			Rho	
	interpretation		Columbia Hill (3D)	Shale	
	of the			Shale Leaders	
	mineral	Topographical surficial reef models	Hermansburg	Eluvial	
	deposit.		DG1	Eluvial	
			DG2	Eluvial	
			DG5	Eluvial	
		Topographical TSF models	Glynn's Lydenburg	Tailings	
			Blyde 1	Tailings	
			Blyde 2	Tailings	
			Blyde 3	Tailings	
			Blyde 4	Tailings	
			Blyde 5	-	
				Tailings	
			Blyde 3a	Tailings	
			Vaalhoek	Rock Dump	
			South East (DGs), Peach Tree, Ponieskrantz and Dukes Clewer	Rock Dump (manual)	
		The geological reef wireframes for geological models were constructed historical surveyed peg files (honor not exist, Minxcon digitised the de and interpretation data (where av sampling plans. Drillholes, survey reefs for the Theta Project. The elu and are based upon surveyed cor	Ponieskrantz and Dukes Clewer or the Concordant and Disconcord ed by Minxcon geologists and are b uring the on-reef development) pro- evelopment, stoping outlines, pillar railable) and survey pegs from dig pegs and thickness modelling were uvial deposits and TSF models were	(manual) ant mineralised zones based upon mine devel vided by TGM. Where t s, chip sample data, gu jital scans of historical utilised to model the st e also constructed by N	lopment plans a his information eological mapp mine survey a tacked concord <i>l</i> inxcon geolog

geological and geographical 3D limits to the geological wireframe models.

and of any assumptions made.underground geological mapping, underground survey pegs in conjunction with historical and new drillholes were used in the generation of the underground and open-pit project geological models.The effect, if any, of alternative interpretationThe geological interpretation of the Sabie-Pilgrims Rest Goldfield (as discussed in the geology section) has no been re-interpreted but what Minxcon has undertaken is a process of collating, capturing and digitising the historical datasets (chip samples, drillhole intersections and historical plans into the electronic environment (GIS and Datamine) to assist in re-investigating the undiscovered potential at the different mines and re estimation of Mineral Resources if there is potential. Due to the quality and volume of drilling conducted on the Theta Project during 2017-2019, Minxcon was able to generate a lithological model for the first time, which assisted greatly in correctly identifying and correlating individual reefs. In addition, the lithological modelling has played a significant role in the Mineral Reserving process associated with the Theta Project. The surficia or eluvial deposits utilised topographical control as opposed to geological control.The use of geology in guiding and contrain the volume and spatial estimate of the Mineral Resource.The geological ref wireframes for the various underground projects were constructed by a Minxcon geologis and are based upon mine development plans and historical surveyed peg files (honouring the on-ree development) provided by TGM. The resultant geological wireframes were then utilised as a closed volume to constrain the volume and spatial estimate of the Mineral Resource.The use of geology in guiding and contrail of drilling conducted on the Theta Project during 2017-2019, Minxcon was able to generate a li														
Nature of the and of any sumption Seamed plane ware digited to generate development stings. These were co-ordinated and inconjunction with interpolation assumption made. The effect. If The gelogical interpretation of the indeground avery pegs in conjunction with interoleal and well illude and of any sumption The effect. If The effect. If The gelogical interpretation of the indeground avery pegs in conjunction with interoleal and been re-interpreted out what Mixocon has undertaken is a process of collating, capturing and the interpretation of therait Assate (Philam Searce). The subscription of the interactions and hostical plans in time effect of the interpretation of therait Assate (Philam Searce). The subscription of therait Assates of plant assates in re-investigating the undiscovered potential at the different miss and re- solution of therait Assates (Philam Searce). The subscription of therait Assates of plant assisted in the Milane Beerling motivation in the time effect of the interpretation in the toring Beerling motivation in the time effect of the interpretation in the toring Beerling motivation is and therain setting and the interpretation is the Milane Beerling motivation is associated with the traits Physical. The suffici or eluvial deposits utilised topographical control as exposed to geological control. The use of geological or eluvial deposits utilised topographical control as exposed to geological motive interpretation in the toring of Hall in Resources. Secondaria with the order order in the stitute, which assisted orgenitic plant and hostical survey deg files (honount); or eluvial deposits utilised topographical control as explored with the miles of a of the order in the order interpretation in the toring of Hall in Resources estinintical. The order is the order in the eluviant of t										•	ports the re	elevant		
data used assumptions assumptions exercise and exercise sumptions exercise and exercise and exercis and exeris and exercise and exercise and exercise and exercise a		Nature of the									ted and re-	nositiona		
and of any and of any made. The effect, I ary, of alternative ary, ary, of alternative ary, ary, of alternative ary, of al				-	-		-							
assumptions need. were used in the generation of the underground and open-pit project geological models. The effect, if any, of air entrepretation of the Sable-Pägrms Rest Goldfield (as discussed in the geological interpretation interpretation) The geological interpretation of the Sable-Pägrms Rest Goldfield (as discussed in the geological protocol in the generation of the Sable-Pägrms Rest Goldfield (as discussed in the geological protocol interpretation) Interpretation interpretation The offect, if any, of air expretation The sable of the sable protocol interpretation of Mineral Rescript process associated with the Three Project. The surface or eluvial deposits utilised topographical control as opposed to geological control. The use of geology in and control in the Mineral Rescript process associated with the Three Project. The surface or eluvial deposits utilised topographical control as opposed to geological structures were constructed or eluvial deposits utilised topographical control as opposed to geological structures were constructed or eluvial deposits utilised topographical ording and cortealing individual researces. Geological structures were constructed or eluvial deposits utilised topographical control as opposed to geological structures were constructed or utiling conducted by TGM. The resultang and outcrop lines. With regards Restores process associated with the Thete Project. The sufficial or duvial deposits utilised topographical control as opposed to geological and free results the depth extension. The factors affecting selends The block model extents for all the digital project models are shown in the table below. The block models results the depth extension. Dimension s The block model extent			-			-								
made. The geological interpretation of the Sable-Pligtme Rest Coldieid (as discussed in the geology, section) has no been re-interpreted but what Mixcon has understeen is a process of collaring, capturing and digiting the interpretation and historical planes in the understeen into the delectoric environment into the interpretation of them and correcting individual rest. In addition, the timbogical model in the timbogical model of the geological into the interpretation is on Mineral Resource during 2017-2019. Mixcon was able to generate a tithological model in the tithological interpretation in the offen of faulting and outcrop lines. For Rietfornien, a maximum depth beous surface of 44 minor and subal set of an about a point and basic and		,												
The geological interpretation of the Sabie-Pigram Rest Godfield (s discussed in the geology esclor) has no re-interpreted to its as in the interpretation and historical plans into the identify and volume of diffuse physical plans into the identify and volume of diffuse on the restingting the understanding in diffuse interpretation in the Device during 2017-2019, Mincoro was able to generate a lithological model for the first time, which assisted greaters in correctly identifying and correlating individual rests. In addition, the lithological modelling individual rests. In addition, the interpretation in the lot of latiling and outcorp lines. For Riedontein a maximum depth balow surface of 44 m restricts the depth extension. The use of geology in geological centrol rest modelling individual rests. In addition, the interpretation in the outcome and spatial estimate of the Mineral Resources. Geological structures were constructed to restinat geological advisor the rest in addition, the intrological modelling and probabiling and constrained or the first time, which assisted greaters advisor to restructed to the hard buondaries defined in the geological advisor the rest in advisor the structures modelling. Provides diverses of Mineral Resource greaters in the outcome and spatial estimate of the Mineral Reserving proceed to geological advisor the rest in the dintha Project during 2017-2017. Mincon was able to generation the g				generation of the			in-hit hit	Ject ge	ological	models.				
Dimension Even re-interpreted but white Minxcon has undertaken is a process of collating, caputing and digiting the vertice writement (GIS and Datamine) to assist in re-investigating the undiscovered potential at the different mines and re-investigating the undiscovered potential at the different mines and re-investigating the undiscovered potential at the different mines and re-investigating the undiscovered potential at the different mines and re-investigating the undiscovered potential at the different mines and re-investigating the undiscovered potential at the different mines and re-investigating the undiscovered potential at the different mines and re-investigating the undiscovered potential at the different mines and re-investigating the undiscovered potential at the different mines and re-investigating and control ing midviokal reefs. In addition, the lithological model in the pological interpretation in the form of faulting and outcorp lines. For Reithoutein, a maximum depth below surface of 44 minor mine divergent policits. For Reithoutein, and historical surveyed pop files (honoung) the quality and volume and basing and policits in the results. The divergent policits is the divergent policits in the faulting and outcorp lines. For Reithoutein, and historical surveyed pop files (honoung) the quality and volume and uniting the surface and uniting the surface and uniting the surface and uniting the surface and uniting the regularity in units in the subality policits in the		made.	The geological int	erpretation of the	e Sabie-Pilorim	s Rest (Soldfield	l (as dis	CUSSEd	in the aer	ology sectio	n) has no		
District diabasets (cip samples, diffible intersections and historical plans into the electronic environment alternative interpretation of Mineral Resources if there is potential. Due to the quality and volume of duling conducted on the assisted greatly in correctly identifying and correlating individual refs. In addition, the isother assisted greatly in correctly identifying and correlating individual refs. In addition, the sufficient or elivial deposits utilised topographical control as opposed to geological control. The Mineral Resource estimation has been restricted to the hard boundaries defined in the geological relation of the form of faulting and outcrop lines. For Ridothetina a maximum depth below sufface of 44 m restricts the depth extension. The use of The use of the geological relation of the information of the various underground projects were constructed by a Minxcon geologic and are based upon mine development plans and historical surveyed pe files (honouring the on-teas and are based upon mine development plans and historical surveyed pe files (honouring the on-teas and are based upon mine development plans and historical surveyed pe files (honouring the on-teas and are based upon mine development plans and historical surveyed pe files (honouring the on-teas and are based upon mine development plans and historical surveyed pe files (honouring the on-teas and utilised as hard outcrop lines. Not Right and the tease and the addition, the tinhological modeling has played a significant role in the Mineral Resources. Geological surveyses of Mineral and the value and suburds is for the undol outcrop lines. With regards Rietforthesing individual refs. In addition, the tinhological modeling has played a significant role in the Mineral Resources and lowers. The factors of defining ortholic grade and subor for grade and here prediction in the ort of studing and outcrop lines. With regards hadd and there predint i														
Dimension s esource estimation GIS and Datamine) to assist in re-investigating the undiscovered potential at the different mines and re- stimation of Mineral Resources in three is potential. Due to the quality and volume of diffing conducted on the Theta Project during 2017-2019, Minxcon was able to generate a lithological model in hos played a significant role in the Mineral Reserving process associated with the Theta Project. The surficial or elivial deposits utilised topographical control as opposed to geological control. The work of the first time, which as played a significant role in the Mineral Reserving. Process associated with the Theta Project. The surficial or elivial deposits utilised topographical control as opposed to geological control. The user of geology in equiding and controlling different meritics the depth extension. The Mineral Resource. The geological wireframes were then utilised as a closed volume of evolume and spatial estimate of the Mineral Resource. Scooligical structures were constructed and utilised as hard boundaries for the purposes of Mineral Resource. The geological model interpretation in the form of faulting and outcrol interpretation in the form of faulting and outcrol bines. We repert alfecting interpretation in the form of faulting and outcrol bines. We repert and were of 440 m restricts the depth extension. Dimension s line for expressed interpretation in the form of faulting and outcrol bines. With regards Rietfortein a maximum depth below surface for otherwise), the structures modelled. The declored interpretation in the form of faulting and outcrol bines. Fixed to the hard boundaries defined in							•			•		-		
ary, of setimation of Mineral Resources if there is potential. Due to the quality and volume of duling conducted on the relational process associated with the Theta Project. The surficial sested greatly in correctly identifying and correlating individual relats. In addition, the inhibidigical modellin or elivial deposits utilised topographical control as opposed to geological control. The Mineral Resource estimation has been restricted to the hard boundaries defined in the geological relevable to the or of faulting and outcrop lines. For Rietfontein, a maximum depth below surfice of 44 m restricts the depth extension. The use of geology in guiding and the based upon mine development plans and historical surveyed pe files. (For Rietfontein, a maximum depth below surfice of 44 m restricts the depth extension. The use of estimation. The geological relevable to purposes of Mineral Resource estimation. Due to the quality and volume of drilling conducted on the Theta Project during 2017-2019. Minocon was able to generate a lithological model monostrain the volume and spatial estimate of the Mineral Resources. Geological structures vere constructes were constrain the volume and spatial estimate of the Mineral Resource associated with the Theta Project. The surfician or levable diposity in correctly during and control structures were constrain the volume and spatial estimate or the Mineral Reserving process associated with the Theta Project. The surfician or levable diposity in correctly during and control structures were constructed to the there interpretation in the form of faulting and outcrop lines. With regards Restoreting individual refers. The biock model interpretation in the form of faulting and outcrop lines. With regards Restoreting individual refers. The biock model interpretation in the form of faulting and outcrop lines. With regards Restoreting in the volumes		The effect, if												
Dimension s elements essenter estimation Theta Project Juring 2017-2019, Minxcon was able to generate a lithological modeling increpretation, so on Mineral essinated preship vio correctly identifying and correlating individual resk. In addition, the lithological modeling interpretation in the form of lauling and outcop lines. For Ridottoneia, a maximum depth below surface of 44 metrics the depth extension. The use of geology in a rebised up on mice development plans and historical surveyed peg files (honouring the on-ree development) provided by TGM. The resultant geological wireframes were then utilised as a closed volume to essentiation. In the development plans and historical surveyed peg files (honouring the on-ree development) provided by TGM. The resultant geological wireframes were then utilised as a closed volume to essentiation. In the utilised of the purposes of Mineral Resource. Sciencidate as a closed volume to essentiation. In the induced on the Theta Project Jung 2017 (2019, Mincon was able to generate a lithological model for the first time, which assisted greatly in correctly identifying and correlating individual resk. In addition, the Project. The surficial or elivinal deposits utilised topographical control as opposed to geological control and utilised or duration and busing and outcorp lines. With regards Rietfontein a maximum depth below surface of 440 m restricts the depth extension. The factors affecting and winth, and depth surface of end onterwisely. The block model extents for all the digital project models are shown in the table below. The block models cover estricts the depth extension. Surface for optimized the upper and lower expressed interpretation in the form of faulting and outcorp lines. With regards Rietfontein a maximum depth below surface for ondelis more modelis. Surface for				,	•	-		•						
Interpretation situation situation situation situation assisted greatly in correctly identifying and correlating individual refs. In addition, the lithological modelling releval deposits utilised topographical control in Mineral Resource situation. The Mineral Resource estimation has been restricted to the hard boundaries defined in the geological interpretation in the form of futuring and outcop lines. For Relefoncial surveyed peglise (hnouring the on-ree development) plans and historical surveyed peglise (hnouring the on-ree development) project. The survival and attributed boundaries for the purposes of Mineral Resources Geological informance surveyed peglise (hnouring the on-ree development) project. The survival boundaries for the purposes of Mineral Resource semination. Due to the quality and volume or the list interpretation in the horm of futuring conducted on the Theta Project during 2017-2019, Minxcon was able to generate a information of dilling conducted on the Theta Project during 2017-2019, Minxcon uses able to generate a information of dilling conducted on the Theta Project during 2017-2019, Minxcon uses able to generate a information affecting affecting affecting and beat metation in the form of futuring and outcop lines. With regards Relefontein a maximum depth below surface of a during the surveyer and peglised and geology. Dimension s The block model extents for all the digital project models are shown in the table below. The block models core raining both metation in the form of aluting and outcop lines. With regards Relefontein a maximum depth below surface to the was evention in t					•						-			
s on Mineral estimation. has played a significant tole in the Mineral Reserving process associated with the Theta Project. The sufficial or elivial deposits utilised topographical control as opposed to geological control. The securce estimation. The Mineral Resource estimation has been restricted to the hard boundaries defined in the geological interpretation in the form of faulting and outcrop lines. For Relefontein, a maximum depth below surface of 440 meatricts the depth extension. The use of geology in polding and controlling Mineral Resource estimation The geological refer wireframes for the various underground projects were constructed by a Minxcon geological and are based upon mine development plans. Geological structures were constructed onstrain the volume and spatial estimate of the Mineral Resources. Geological structures were constructed on the first time, which assisted geological wireframes were then rules in addition. The first in- stimation which assisted projects thisted organization as opposed to geological orbitological modeling has played a significant tok in the Mineral Resources estimation as opposed to geological continuity orbit hild togical modeling has played a significant tok in the Mineral Resource astimation and before restricted to the hard boundaries defined in the geological interpretation in the form of faulting and outcrop fines. With negative Resource astimation as length orbit hild togical control. The extent structures modelled. The block model extents for all the digital project models are shown in the table below. The block models cover all the structures modelled. Dimension structures and lower in the upper structure in mine and lower or watability of the upper the upper structure modelled. Sub-viricit interpretatin in the f		interpretation	assisted greatly in	n correctly ident	ifying and corre	elating i	ndividua	al reefs.	In add	ition, the	lithological	modelling		
estimation. The Vitrent Resource estimation has been restricted to the hard boundaries defined in the geological interpretation in the form of faulting and outcrop lines. For Rietfontein, a maximum depth below surface of 44 mestrics the depth extension. The use of geology in guiding and are based upon mine development plans and historical surveyed peg files (honouring the on-rise development) provided by TGM. The resultant geological wireframes were then utilised as a closed volume to constain the volume and spatial estimate of the Mineral Resources. Geological structures were constructed to the Mineral Resources associated with the Thete Project. The structures and boundaries of projects thiles of open particle and the surveyed peg files (honouring the on-rise development) provided by TGM. The resultant geological wireframes were then utilised as a closed volume of of filing conducted on the Thete Project. The structures and boundaries of project. The structures associated with the Thete Project. The structures and partiel are projects utiles of open particle. Joint as utilized are of 440 m restricts the depth extension. The factors and depth of grade and geology. The block model extents for all the digital project models are shown in the table below. The block models cover all the structures modeled. The extent and depth being and outcrop lines. Sub-horizontal Resource astination has been restricted to the hard boundaries defined in the geological and the miner and sover and the structures modeled. strand the diagong structure and depth below surface of 440 m restricts the depth extension. Sub-horizontal Resource astructures modeled. Sub-horizontal Resource. Reston the form of stuting grade and geologi a table digital project		s on Mineral												
Dimension s The Mineral Resource simulation has been restricted to the hard boundaries defined in the geological interpretation in the form of faulting and outcop lines. For Rietformation and anximum depth below surface of 440 m restricts the depth extension. The use of geologing and controlling The geological ref wireframes for the various underground projects were constructed by a Minxcon geological and are based upon mine development plans and historical surveyed peg files (honouring the on-tee development) provided by TGM. The resultant geological wireframes were then tuibled as a closed volume at controlling Mineral Resource The Mineral Resource simation. The Volume at significant role in the Mineral Resource selimation. Due to the quality and volume do rol thiological modeling has played a significant role in the Mineral Resource selimation. The Mineral Resource sistmation. The factors affecting arde and geology. The Mineral Resource sistmation has been restricted to the hard boundaries defined in the geological interpretation in the form of faulting and outcorp fines. With regards Rietfontein a maximum depth below surface of 440 m restricts the depth extension. Variability of the Mineral Resource The block model extents for all the digital project models are shown in the table below. The block models or rol wirability of the Mineral Resource Restortion in the form of faulting and outcorp fines. With regards Rietfontein a maximum depth below cover all the structures modelled. Dimension and depth below strates to the upper immits of the upper strate to the upper immits of the upper strate to the upper immits of the upper strate to the upper imodels Restort the restructant concortant temmits		Resource	or eluvial deposits	s utilised topogra	aphical control a	as oppo	sed to g	eologic	al contr	ol.				
Dimension Interpretation in the form of faulting and outcrop lines. For Rietfontein, a maximum depth below surface of 44 m restricts the depth extension. The use of geology in guiding and outcrop lines. For Rietfontein, a maximum depth below surface of 44 m restricts the depth extension. The geological ref wireframes for the various underground projects were constructed by a Mixonon geological structures were then utilised as a closed volume to constrain the volume and spatial estimate of the Mineral Resources estimation. Due to the quality and volum of drilling conducted on the Theta Project thres used functional spatial constraint development) individed graph of the project. The surface of 440 m restricts the deposits utilised to generate a lithological modelling has played a significant role in the Mineral Resource estimation. The form of multing and outcrop lines. With regards Rietfontein a maximum depth below surface of 440 m restricts the depth extension. The factors and graph and graph and the digital project models are shown in the table below. The block model extents for all the digital project models are shown in the table below. The block models cover all the structures modelled. Sub-ortical development provide the transmum depth below surface of 440 m restricts the depth extension. Sub-ortical development provide the transmum depth below surface of 440 m restricts the depth extension. Sub-ortical development provide the transmum depth below surface of 440 m restricts the depth extension. Sub-ortical development provide the transmum depth below surface of the upper prime free regenerate a lithological model model extents for all the digital project models are shown in the table below. The block models cover all the structures modeled. Sub-ortical development provide		estimation.												
Dimension The set of all sectors and equilibrium of the structures were constructed by a Minxcon geologis and are based upon mine development plans and historical surveyed peg files (honouring the on-res development) provided by TGM. The resultant geological wireframes were then utilised as a closed volume to constrain the volume and spatial estimate of the Mineral Resources. Geological structures were constructed and utilised as hard boundaries for the proposes of Mineral Resources. Geological structures were constructed on the Theta Project Uning 2017-2019. Minora was able to generate a lithological model for the first time, which assisted greatly in correctly identifying and correlating individual reefs. In addition, the The tactors affecting continuity both of grade and geology. The factors affecting continuity of the Minoral Resource stimation in the form of faulting and outcrop lines. With regards Rietfontein a maximum depth below surface of 440 m restricts the depth extension. The block model extents for all the digital project models are shown in the table below. The block models cover all the structures modelled. Dimension single provided by the structures modelled. Sub-winical discover lither Rietfontein Rietfo			The Mineral Res	ource estimatio	n has been re	estricted	I to the	hard I	ooundai	ies defin	ed in the	geologica		
Dimension The geological reef wireframes for the various underground projects were constructed by a Mixnoon geology and are based upon mine development jana and historical surveyed pet files (honouring the on-ree development) provided by TGM. The resultant geological wireframes were then utilised as a closed volume to constrain the volume and spatial estimate of the Mineral Resource estimation. Due to the quality and volum of drilling conducted on the Theta Project during 2017-2019, Mixnoon was able to generate a lithological modeling has hard boundaries for the purposes of Mineral Resource estimation. Due to the quality and volum of drilling conducted on the Theta Project during 2017-2019, Mixnoon was able to generate a lithological modeling has played a significant role in the Mineral Reserving process associated with the Theta Project. The surficial or eluvial deposits utilised topographical corrola is opposed to geological control. The factors affecting continuity project. The surficial or eluvial deposits utilised deposits utilised topographical corrol as opposed to geological control. The block model extents for all the digital project models are shown in the table below. The block models cover all the structures modelied. Wratability of the Mineral selength (along strike or metal bilow surface to the models Reistontein Resource expressed as length (along strike or metal bilow Reistontein Resource Reistontein Resource Reistontein Resource Sub-horizontal discontal (concertain (and leader) reef models Sub-horizontal node Sub-horizontal (concertain (and leader) Reistontein Resource Reiston to cover all he structures repressed as length (along strike ref models Reistontein Resource <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>interpretation in th</td><td>ne form of faultin</td><td>g and outcrop I</td><td>ines. Fo</td><td>or Rietfo</td><td>ntein, a</td><td>maxim</td><td>um depth</td><td>below surfa</td><td>ace of 440</td></t<>			interpretation in th	ne form of faultin	g and outcrop I	ines. Fo	or Rietfo	ntein, a	maxim	um depth	below surfa	ace of 440		
The use of geolog in guiding and controlling conducted on the Theta Project during 2017-2019. Minxcon was able to generate a lithological mode for the first time, which assisted greatly in correctly identifying and correlate a lithological mode for the first time, which assisted greatly in correctly identifying and correlate a lithological mode for the first time, which assisted greatly in correctly identifying and correlate a lithological mode for the first time, which assisted greatly in correctly identifying and correlate a lithological mode for the first time, which assisted greatly in correctly identifying and correlate a lithological mode for the first time, which assisted greatly in correctly identifying and correlate a lithological mode for the first time, which assisted greatly in correctly identifying and correlate a lithological mode for the first time, which assisted greatly in correctly identifying and correlate a lithological mode for the first time, which assisted greatly in correctly identifying and correlate a lithological mode for the first time, which assisted greatly in correctly identifying and correlate a lithological mode for the first time, which assisted greatly in correctly identifying and correlate a lithological mode for the first time, which assisted greatly in correctly identifying and correctly greatly in correct identifying and correctly identifying an														
geology in guiding and controlling Mineral provided by TGM. The resultant geological wireframes were then utilised as a closed volume it constraints the volume and spatial estimate of the Mineral Resource Geological structures were constructed and utilised as hard boundaries for the purposes of Mineral Resource estimation. Due to the quality and volume of diffing conducted on the Thera Project thrace ying 2017-2019, Minecon was able to generate alticholigical modelling has played a significant role in the Mineral Resource geological control. The factors affecting continuity both of grade and geological control. The Mineral Resource estimation has been restricted to the hard boundaries defined in the geological interpretation in the form of faulting and outching and correlating individual reefs. In addition, the transformation in the form of faulting and outching and correlating individual reefs. In addition, the transformation in the form of faulting and outching and correlating individual reefs. In addition, the transformation is the form of faulting and outching and correlating individual reefs. In addition, the transformation is the form of faulting and outching and correlating individual reefs. In addition, the interpretation in the form of faulting and outching and utility and volume and specific and the structures modelled. Dimension surface to the fault extension. The block model extents for all the digital project models are shown in the table below. The block model is the structures modelled. Dimension surface to the fault end in the form of table fault end in the fault						-								
puiding and controlling Mineral Resource constrain the volume and spatial estimate of the Mineral Resource estimation. Due to the quality and volume of drilling conducted on the Theta Project during 2017-2019, Minxcon was able to generate a lihological mode for the first time, which assisted greatly in correctly identifying and correlating individual reefs. In addition, the The factors The factors The factors The factors The Wineral Resource estimation in the form of faulting and outcrop lines. With regards Rietfontein a maximum depth below surface of 440 m restricts the depth extension. The strent and variability of the Mineral s length (along strike or otherwise), plan with, and depth below surface to the the upper and depth below surface to the file models The block model extents for all the digital project models are shown in the table below. The block models cover rait the structures modelled. Dimension s Sub-ortical discordant (along strike or otherwise), plan with, and depth below surface to the upper imits of the Mineral Resource. The block model extents for all the digital project models are shown in the table below. The block models cover all the structures modelled. Dimension s Sub-ortical discordant (along strike or otherwise), plan with, and depth below Beta Elock Size Block Model Dimension (model Sub-ortical and lower imits of the strike the file deepi reel models Beta 50 50 10 4350 450 10 Valinok sufface to the upper imate Sub-ortical and lower imate Beta 50 50 10 100 1 <td> </td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td>								-			-			
Controlling Mineral Resource estimation. and utilised as hard boundaries for the purposes of Mineral Resource estimation. Due to the quality and volume of drilling conducted on the Theta Project United Project Mineson was able to generate a lithological model for the first time, which assisted greatly in correctly identifying and correlating individual reefs. In addition, the lithological modeling has played as significant role in the Mineral Resource estimation has been restricted to the hard boundaries defined in the geological interpretation in the form of faulting and utcrep lines. With regards Rietfontein a maximum depth below surface of 440 m restricts the depth extension. The kineral Resource estimation has been restricted to the hard boundaries defined in the geological interpretation in the form of faulting and utcrep lines. With regards Rietfontein a maximum depth below surface of 440 m restricts the depth extension. The kineral Resource estimation has been restricted to the hard boundaries defined in the geological interpretation in the form of faulting and utcrep lines. With regards Rietfontein a maximum depth below surface in the structures modelled. Note writely as length below surface to the upper and lower initis of the Mineral Resource. The block model extents for all the digital project models are shown in the table below. The block models cover all the structures modelled. Dimension surface to the upper and lower in limits of the filter models Riefontein		• •		•	-	-								
Mineral Resource estimation. of drilling conducted on the Theta Project during 2017-2019, Minxcow was able to generate a lithological mode for the first time, which assisted greatly in correctly identifying and correlating individual refs. In addition, the Project. The sufficial or eluvial deposits utilised topographical control. The factors affecting outinuity both of grade and geology. The Mineral Resource estimation has been restricted to the hard boundaries defined in the geological interpretation in the form of faulting and outcrop lines. With regards Rietfontein a maximum depth below surface of 440 m restricts the depth extension. The starts and geology. The block model extents for all the digital project models are shown in the table below. The block models cover all the structures modelled. Variability of the Mineral s length (along strike or and depth below surface to the upper and lower imits of the Mineral Resource. Reof the gene the factor factor factor interpretation in the factor is defined in the gene table. Sub-horizontal s outcome imits of the Mineral Resource. Sub-horizontal (cose-reof) reef Reof the factor interpretation discortant (cose-reof) reef Reof the factor interpretation discortant (cose-reof) reef Beta 50 50 10 3100 7100 10 Dimension surface to the upper inmits of the Mineral Resource. Sub-horizontal (cose-reof) reef Reletonein Reletonein 20 20 10 2500 4380 10 Dimmission inities of the models Sub-hor														
Resource estimation. for the first time, which assisted greatly in correctly identifying and controlating process associated with the Thete Project. The sufficial or eluvial deposits utilised topographical control as opposed to geological control. The factors affecting continuity both of grade and geology. The Mineral Resource estimation has been restricted to the hard boundaries defined in the geological interpretation in the form of faulting and outcop lines. With regards Rietfontein a maximum depth below surface of 440 m restricts the depth extension. The extent and variability of the Mineral Resource surface to the upper otherwise), plan width, and lowph surface to the upper immised to surface to the upper and lowse The block model extents for all the digital project models are shown in the table below. The block models cover all the structures modelled. Dimension surface to the upper and lower limits of the surface to the upper immised to surface to the upper immised to surface to the upper immised the sub-horizontal (and leader) reef models Reef <u>Reef <u>X</u> <u>X</u> <u>Y</u> (<u>m</u>) <u>X</u>(<u>m</u>) Sub-horizontal (and leader) reef models <u>Beta 50 50 10 350 4550 10 Sub-horizontal (and leader) Sub-horizontal (and leader) <u>Rietfontein</u> 20 20 10 350 10 Sub-horizontal (and leader) Theta Hill & Browns Hill <u>Rietfontein</u> 20 20 11 140 1600 1820</u></u>		ů.												
estimation Bithological modeling has played a significant role in the Mineral Reserving process associated with the Thete Project. The sufficial or eluvial deposits utilised topographical control as opposed to geological control. The factors affecting continuity both of grade and geology. The Mineral Resource estimation has been restricted to the hard boundaries defined in the geological interpretation in the form of faulting and outcrop lines. With regards Riettontein a maximum depth below surface of 440 m restricts the depth extension. The extent and variability of the Mineral Resource expressed as length (along strike or otherwise), plan width, and depth below surface to the upper and lower The block model extents for all the digital project models are shown in the table below. The block models cover all the structures modelled. Dimension s The block model extents for all the digital project models are shown in the table below. The block models Sub-vertical as length (along strike or otherwise), plan width, and depth below surface to the upper and lower Rietfontein (cross-real) reef Rietfontein Rietfontein (cross-real) reef Rietfontein Rietfontein (cross-real) reef Sub-botizontal (cross-real) reef Diffantsgeraa Olifantsgeraa (closs) Olifantsgeraa 20 20 1 800 100 Dimension imits of the Mineral Resource. Sub-botizontal (cal leader) reef models Beta 50 50 10 300 000 1 Dimension (and leadeh) reef models			-							-	-			
Project. The sufficial or eluvial deposits utilised topographical component of a soposed to geological control.The factors affecting continuityThe Mineral Resource estimation has been restricted to the hard boundaries defined in the geological intepretation in the form of faulting and outcorp lines. With regards Rietfontein a maximum depth below sufface of 440 m restricts the depth extension.The extent and variability of the Mineral Resource expressed as length and lower limits of the Mineral Resource.The block model extents for all the digital project models are shown in the table below. The block models cover all the structures modelled.Dimension sThe other the dipth below surface to the upper and lower limits of the Mineral Resource.The block model extents for all the digital project models are shown in the table below. The block models to cover all the structures modelled.Dimension sThe other the upper and lower limits of the Mineral Resource.The block model extents for all the digital project models are shown in the table below. The block models to cover all the structures modelled.Dimension sThe other the upper and lower limits of the Mineral Resource.The other the upper and lower limits of the Mineral Resource.Project Area Relation in the form of all the digital project models and bower limits of the Mineral Resource.Sub-horizontal (and leader) the table belowNote other to differ the table below sub-horizontal (and leader) the table belowNote other to differ to differ to differ to differ to differ to differ to differ to differ to differ <br< td=""><td> </td><td></td><td></td><td>-</td><td>•</td><td>•</td><td></td><td></td><td>-</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></br<>				-	•	•			-					
The factors affecting continuity both of grade and geology.The Mineral Resource estimation has been restricted to the hard boundaries defined in the geological interpretation in the form of faulting and outcrop lines. With regards Rietfontein a maximum depth below surface of 440 m restricts the depth extension.The extent and variability of the Mineral ResourceThe block model extents for all the digital project models are shown in the table below. The block models cover all the structures modelled.Dimension sThe extent and depth plan width, and depth below surface to the upper and lower limits of the Mineral Resource.Project Area ReefReefXYZXY (m)Z (m)Dimension sSub-vertical discordant (conserve) reef modelsRietfonteinRietfontein20303090040201080Dimension sImineral Resource.Sub-vertical discordant (conserve) reef modelsReat Detex Morgerzon2020102100138010Olifantsgeraa meOlifantsgeraa meOlifantsgeraa me202010200438010Mineral (and leader) reef modelsSub-horizontal (and leader)Reh5050103100710010Mineral (and leader) reef modelsSub-horizontal (and leader)Nalhek LeadersRho505010200030006000Hill & Brown Hill DeburgBeta202011400182010		estimation.	-		-									
affacting continuity both of grade and geology.Interpretation in the form of faulting and outcrop lines. With regards Rietfontein a maximum depth below surface of 440 m restricts the depth extension.The extent variability of variability of the Mineral Resource expressed a length (along strike or otherwise), plan width, and depth below surface to to the upper and lower limits of the Mineral Resource.The block model extents for all the digital project models are shown in the table below. The block models cover all the structures modelled.Dimension sCeological modelsProject AreaReefBlock Size XBlock Model Dimension YV(m)Z(m)Z(m)Beta and depth below surface to the upper and lower limits of the Wineral Resource.Sub-horizontal (cross-reef) reefRietfontein20303090040201080Dimension sSub-horizontal (cross-reef) reefRietfonteinRietfontein2020102100158010Dimension sSub-horizontal (cross-reef) reefSub-horizontal (cross-reef) reefNalhoek20201025004380100Dimension sSub-horizontal (cross-reef) reefVaalhoekVaalhoek2020180003000600Differstorera (cross-reef) reefOilfantsgeraa (cross-reef) reef20201114016001820Dimension sSub-horizontal (cross-reef) reefMorigenza (cross-reef) reef2020<		The factors												
continuity both of grade and geology.surface of 440 m restricts the depth extension.The extent and variability of the Mineral Resource s under the upper and lower limits of the Mineral Resource.The block model extents for all the digital project models are shown in the table below. The block models cover all the structures modelled.Dimension sSub-vertical discontant (along strike o otherwise), plan width, and depth below surface to the modelsProject Area ReefReefXYZXXY(m)Z(m)Sub-vertical discontant (along strike o otherwise), plan width, and depth below surface to the upper and lower limits of the Mineral Resource.Rietfontein Frankfort BetaRietfontein Project AreaRietfontein Rietfontein20303090040201080Dimension sSub-horizontal (cross-reef) reef (rediscingena)Rietfontein PrankfortRietfontein Bevetts2020102500438010Offantsgeraa metOffantsgeraa The tall & Bevetts2020102500438010Otherwise), per modelsThe tall & ReadBeta2020102500438010The tall & BevettsOuter Theta Course Theta20205400030006000Sub-werk Hill BetaRower Theta Reverts20205400030006000Beta202054000														
both of grade and geology.The block model extents for all the digital project models are shown in the table below. The block models cover all the structures modelled.Variability of the Mineral ResourceThe block model extents for all the digital project models are shown in the table below. The block models cover all the structures modelled.Dimension sGeological display the or otherwise), plan width, and depth below surface to the Upper and lower limits of the Mineral Resource.Frankort Rest Restortant Restortant (cross-reef) reef (cross-reef) reef (cross-r		-			•		liniogu			amaxime				
grade and gelogy. grade and gelogy. The extent and variability of the Mineral s length (along strike or otherwise), plan width, and depth below surface to the upper and lower The block model extents for all the digital project models are shown in the table below. The block models cover all the structures modelled. Dimension s Geological Model Type Project Area Reef Xi Y Z Xi Y (m) Z (m) Sub-vertical cliscordant (along strike or otherwise), plan width, and depth below surface to the upper and lower Beta Beta Beta Sob-totical clewer; Dukes medels Rietfontein ref 20 20 10 4350 4550 10 Sub-horizontal concordant (endelser) Sub-horizontal concordant (endelser) Sub-horizontal concordant (endelser) Walhoek 20 20 10 2500 4380 10 Mineral Resource. Sub-horizontal concordant (endelser) The tar Hill & Browns Hill Beta 20 20 10 2500 4380 10 (alloader) reef models The Hill & Browns Hill Beta 20 20 10 250 4000 3000 600 (bita section of the		,												
geology. Beta BioL Size BioL Model And variability of the Mineral Resource Resource Resource Project Area Reef X Y Z X Y(m) Z(m) Geological discordant (along strike or otherwise), plan width, and depth below Nov-retical discordant (cors.reef) reef models Rietfontein Project Area Reef X Y Z X Y(m) Z(m) Sub-vertical discordant (cors.reef) reef models Rietfontein Reif Sub Sub Sub-vertical discordant (cors.reef) reef models Rietfontein 20 30 30 900 4020 1080 Dimension sufface to the upper and lower inmits of the Mineral Resource. Sub-horizontal concordant (and leader) reef models Beta 50 50 10 3100 7100 10 Olifantsgeraa me 02 20 10 2500 4380 10 Immeral source. Sub-horizontal concordant (and leader) The Immits 20 20 10 2500 4300 3000 6000 Immits		grade and												
Bit of the Mineral Resource expressed as length (along strike or otherwise), plan width, and depth below sufface to the upper and lower limits of the Mineral Resource (arrow otherwise), plan width, and depth below sufface to the upper and lower limits of the Mineral Resource (arrow otherwise), plan width, and depth below sufface to the upper and lower limits of the Mineral Resource. Beta Beta Sto Sto Sto-horizontal (and leader) Difference on and leader) Walhoek Zo Zo 10 2100 1080 Sub-horizontal sufface to the upper and lower limits of the Mineral Resource. Sub-horizontal (and leader) Ried Mineral (and leader) Beta 50 50 10 3100 7100 10 Sub-horizontal sufface to the upper and lower limits of the Mineral Resource. Sub-horizontal (and leader) Olifantsgeraa mite 20 20 10 2500 4380 100 Theta Hill & Browns Hill Yualhoek 20 20 10 2500 4380 10 Glymn's Lydenburg Theta Hill & Browns Hill Maloek Theta 20 20 10 2500 4380 10 Glymn's Lydenburg Theta Hill & Browns Hill Maloek Zo 20 20		-												
Variability of the Mineral Resource Ceological Model Type Project Area Reef X U Z X V (m) Z (m) Sub-vertical discordant (along strike or otherwise), plan with, and depth below surface to the upper and lower limits of the source. Sub-vertical discordant (cross-reef) reef Rietfontein Rietfontein Rietfontein Rietfontein Z X Y (m) Z(m) Dimension surface to the upper and lower limits of the source. Sub-horizontal (and leader) reef models Rietfontein Rietfontein Rietfontein Rietfontein Rietfontein 20 20 10 4350 4550 10 Vallhoek Mineral Resource. Sub-horizontal (and leader) reef models Beta 50 50 10 4350 4380 10 Vallhoek Sub-horizontal (and leader) reef models Sub-horizontal (and leader) Rho 50 50 10 2500 4380 10 Vallhoek Theta Hill & Brown Hill Mineral Brown Hill 20 20 5 4000 3000 6000 Upper Theta 20 20 5 4000 3000 6000 Upper Thet		The extent	The block model	extents for all the	e digital project	models	are sho	wn in t	ne table	below. T	he block m	odolo		
Bineral Resource expressed as length (along strike or otherwise), plan width, and depth below surface to the upper and lower Geological Model Type Project Area Ref Ref Block Size (m) Block Model Dimension Dimension s Sub-vertical (along strike or wodels Sub-vertical discordant (cross-ref) reef models Rietfontein Rietfontein Ref V Y Z X Y (m) Z (m) Dimension s Ub-vertical (cross-ref) reef models Rietfontein Rietfontein Rietfontein Rietfontein 20 30 30 900 4020 1080 Dimension s Ub-horizontal Resource. Beta Beta 50 50 10 4350 4550 10 Ub-horizontal (and leader) reef models Sub-horizontal (and leader) reef models Waalhoek Vaalhoek 20 20 10 2500 4380 10 Lower Theta 20 20 5 4000 3000 6000 Upper Theta 20 20 5 4000 3000 6000 Upper Theta 20 20 5 4000 3000		and												
Beta Beta Beta Souties Soutis Soutis Souties </td <td></td> <td></td> <td>cover all the struc</td> <td>tures modelled.</td> <td>0 1 2</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>JUEIS</td>			cover all the struc	tures modelled.	0 1 2							JUEIS		
Bernessed as length (along strike or otherwise), plan width, and depth below surface to the upper and lower limits of the sessurce. Mode i type Mode i type methonen (ross-reef) reef models Rietfontein Reefontein (m) (m)<		variability of	cover all the struc	tures modelled.								1		
Sub-vertical discordant (cross-reef) reef or otherwise), plan width, and depth below surface to the upper and lower imits of the Mineral Resource. Sub-vertical discordant (cross-reef) reef models Rietfontein Reietfontein Rietfontein 20 20 10 4350 4550 10 Dimension s Jamis of the models Beta Beta Beta 50 50 10 4350 4550 10 Dimension s Sub-horizontal Resource. Sub-horizontal (and leader) reef models Sub-horizontal concordant (and leader) reef models Olifantsgeraa mte 20 20 10 2500 4380 10 Vaalhoek 20 20 10 2500 4380 10 Vaalhoek Vaalhoek 20 20 10 2500 4380 10 Uper Theta 20 20 10 2500 4380 10 Uper Theta 20 20 10 2500 4380 10 Uper Theta 20 20 5 4000 3000 600 Upper Theta 20 20 5		variability of the Mineral	Geological			E	Block Siz	e		k Model D				
Beta Beta Solution Sol		variability of the Mineral Resource	Geological			E X	Block Siz	e Z	Х		imension			
or otherwise), plan width, and depth below surface to the upper and lower limits of the Mineral Resource. Sub-horizontal concordant (and leader) ref models Bata Frankfort Clewer, Dukes Hill & Norgenzon Beta 50 50 10 4350 4550 10 Dimension s Sub-horizontal mite Sub-horizontal concordant (and leader) Sub-horizontal concordant (and leader) Olifantsgeraa mte 20 20 10 2500 4380 10 Vaalhoek Vaalhoek Vaalhoek Vaalhoek Vaalhoek 20 20 10 2500 4380 10 Upper Theta 20 20 10 2500 4380 10 Vaalhoek Theta Hill & Browns Hill Waalhoek Upper Theta 20 20 5 4000 3000 600 Bevetts 20 20 5 4000 3000 600 Iota section of Columbia Hill Rho Upper 20 20 1 1140 1600 1820 Upper Theta 20 20 1 1140 1600 1820		variability of the Mineral Resource expressed	Geological Model Type			E X	Block Siz	e Z	Х		imension			
otherwise), plan width, and depth below surface to the upper and lower imits of the Mineral Resource. Beta Beta 50 50 10 4350 4550 10 S Below Sub-horizontal concordant (and leader) reef models Sub-horizontal concordant (and leader) Beta Beta 50 50 10 4350 4550 10 Below Sub-horizontal concordant (and leader) Olifantsgeraa mte 20 20 1 800 1000 1 Beta 20 20 10 2500 4380 10 Vaalhoek 20 20 10 2500 4380 10 Imme Vaalhoek 20 20 10 2500 4380 10 Leaders 20 20 5 4000 3000 600 Upper Theta 20 20 5 4000 3000 600 Upper Theta 20 20 5 4000 3000 600 Upper Theta 20 20 1 <td></td> <td>variability of the Mineral Resource expressed as length</td> <td>Geological Model Type Sub-vertical discordant</td> <td>Project Area</td> <td>Reef</td> <td>E X (m)</td> <td>Block Siz Y (m)</td> <td>ze Z (m)</td> <td>X (m)</td> <td>Y (m)</td> <td>imension Z (m)</td> <td></td>		variability of the Mineral Resource expressed as length	Geological Model Type Sub-vertical discordant	Project Area	Reef	E X (m)	Block Siz Y (m)	ze Z (m)	X (m)	Y (m)	imension Z (m)			
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		variability of the Mineral Resource expressed as length (along strike	Geological Model Type Sub-vertical discordant (cross-reef) reef	Project Area	Reef	E X (m)	Block Siz Y (m)	ze Z (m)	X (m)	Y (m)	imension Z (m)			
Dimension s and depth below sufface to the upper and lower Sub-horizontal concordant (and leader) reef models Numeral Resource. Sub-horizontal concordant (and leader) reef models Olifantsgeraa mte 20 20 10 3100 7100 10 0 Mineral Resource. Sub-horizontal concordant (and leader) reef models Sub-horizontal concordant (and leader) reef models Sub-horizontal concordant (and leader) reef models Vaalhoek 20 20 10 2500 4380 10 10 Theta Hill & Browns Hill Beta 20 20 10 2500 4380 10 10 Intervent Mineral Resource. Theta Hill & Browns Hill Beta 20 20 10 2500 4380 10 10 Intervent Mineral Resource. Theta Hill & Browns Hill Theta Hill & Browns Hill Beta 20 20 5 4000 3000 600 10 Intervent Mineral Resource. Togographical Stress Resource Rho Lower Resource 20 20 5 4000 3000 600 10 Intervent Mineral Resource. Togographical Stress Resource Rho Lower Resource 20 20 1 1140 1600 1820 10 Glynn's Lydenburg Glynn's 20		variability of the Mineral Resource expressed as length (along strike or	Geological Model Type Sub-vertical discordant (cross-reef) reef	Project Area	Reef Rietfontein	E X (m) 20	Block Siz Y (m) 30	z (m) 30	X (m) 900	Y (m) 4020	imension Z (m) 1080			
Dimension s below surface to the upper and lower limits of the Mineral Resource. Sub-horizontal oncordant (and leader) ref models Hill & Morgenzon Rho 50 50 10 3100 7100 10 Dimension s Sub-horizontal Mineral Resource. Sub-horizontal (and leader) Sub-horizontal (and leader) Sub-horizontal (and leader) Vaalhoek 20 20 10 2500 4380 10 Theta Hill & Browns Hill Beta 20 20 5 4000 3000 600 Upper Theta 20 20 5 4000 3000 600 Ibreaction of Columbia Hill Theta Hill & Browns Hill Rho Upper 20 20 5 4000 3000 600 Browns Hill Males 20 20 1 1140 1600 1820 Browns Hill Iota section of Columbia Hill Glynn's 20 20 1 1140 1600 1820 Browns Hill Glynn's 20 20 1 1140 1600 1820 <tr< td=""><td></td><td>variability of the Mineral Resource expressed as length (along strike or otherwise),</td><td>Geological Model Type Sub-vertical discordant (cross-reef) reef</td><td>Project Area Rietfontein Beta</td><td>Reef Rietfontein Beta</td><td>E X (m) 20 50</td><td>Block Siz Y (m) 30</td><td>z (m) 30</td><td>X (m) 900 4350</td><td>Y (m) 4020 4550</td><td>imension Z (m) 1080</td><td></td></tr<>		variability of the Mineral Resource expressed as length (along strike or otherwise),	Geological Model Type Sub-vertical discordant (cross-reef) reef	Project Area Rietfontein Beta	Reef Rietfontein Beta	E X (m) 20 50	Block Siz Y (m) 30	z (m) 30	X (m) 900 4350	Y (m) 4020 4550	imension Z (m) 1080			
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		variability of the Mineral Resource expressed as length (along strike or otherwise), plan width,	Geological Model Type Sub-vertical discordant (cross-reef) reef	Project Area Rietfontein Beta Frankfort	Reef Rietfontein Beta	E X (m) 20 50	Block Siz Y (m) 30	z (m) 30	X (m) 900 4350	Y (m) 4020 4550	imension Z (m) 1080			
Dimension s Interval upper and lower limits of the source. Numeral Resource. Numeral concordant (and leader) reef models Numeral value Vaalhoek 20 20 10 2500 4380 10 Dimension s Sub-horizontal concordant (and leader) reef models Sub-horizontal concordant (and leader) reef models Numeral Preter models Numeral Preter Prete Preter Prete Preter Preter Preter Prete Preter Pretere		variability of the Mineral Resource expressed as length (along strike or otherwise), plan width, and depth	Geological Model Type Sub-vertical discordant (cross-reef) reef	Project Area Rietfontein Beta Frankfort Clewer, Dukes	Reef Rietfontein Beta Bevetts	E X (m) 20 50 20	Block Siz Y (m) 30 50 20	z (m) 30 10 10	X (m) 900 4350 2100	Y (m) 4020 4550 1580	imension Z (m) 1080 10 10			
Dimension s and lower limits of the Mineral Resource. Sub-horizontal concordant (and leader) reef models mite mite mite Valhoek 20 20 10 2500 4380 10 Valhoek 20 20 10 2500 4380 10 Valhoek 20 20 10 2500 4380 10 Valhoek 20 20 5 4000 3000 600 Uper Theta 20 20 5 4000 3000 600 Itats section of Columbia Hill Itats section of Lydenburg Rho Uper 20 20 1 1140 1600 1820 Uper Theta 20 20 1 1140 1600 1820 Uper Theta<		variability of the Mineral Resource expressed as length (along strike or otherwise), plan width, and depth below	Geological Model Type Sub-vertical discordant (cross-reef) reef	Project Area Rietfontein Beta Frankfort Clewer, Dukes Hill & Morgenzon	Reef Rietfontein Beta Bevetts Rho	E X (m) 20 50 20	Block Siz Y (m) 30 50 20	z (m) 30 10 10	X (m) 900 4350 2100	Y (m) 4020 4550 1580	imension Z (m) 1080 10 10			
Dimension s limits of the Mineral Resource. Sub-horizontal concordant (and leader) reef models Vaalhoek Thelma Leaders 20 20 10 2500 4380 10 Sub-horizontal (and leader) Sub-horizontal (and leader) Sub-horizontal (and leader) Mineral File 20 20 5 4000 3000 600 Leaders 20 20 5 4000 3000 600 Upper Theta 20 20 5 4000 3000 600 Browns Hill Browns Hill Bevetts 20 20 5 4000 3000 600 Browns Hill Iota section of Columbia Hill Rho Upper 20 20 1 1140 1600 1820 Bevetts 20 20 1 1140 1600 1820 Upper Theta 20 20 1 1140 1600 1820 Bevetts 20 20 1 1140 1600 1820 Upper Theta <t< td=""><td></td><td>variability of the Mineral Resource expressed as length (along strike or otherwise), plan width, and depth below surface to</td><td>Geological Model Type Sub-vertical discordant (cross-reef) reef</td><td>Project Area Rietfontein Beta Frankfort Clewer, Dukes Hill & Morgenzon Olifantsgeraa</td><td>Reef Rietfontein Beta Bevetts Rho Olifantsgeraa</td><td>E X (m) 20 50 20 50</td><td>Block Siz Y (m) 30 50 20 50</td><td>z (m) 30 10 10</td><td>X (m) 900 4350 2100 3100</td><td>Y (m) 4020 4550 1580 7100</td><td>imension Z (m) 1080 10 10 10</td><td></td></t<>		variability of the Mineral Resource expressed as length (along strike or otherwise), plan width, and depth below surface to	Geological Model Type Sub-vertical discordant (cross-reef) reef	Project Area Rietfontein Beta Frankfort Clewer, Dukes Hill & Morgenzon Olifantsgeraa	Reef Rietfontein Beta Bevetts Rho Olifantsgeraa	E X (m) 20 50 20 50	Block Siz Y (m) 30 50 20 50	z (m) 30 10 10	X (m) 900 4350 2100 3100	Y (m) 4020 4550 1580 7100	imension Z (m) 1080 10 10 10			
S Mineral Resource. Monormation (and leader) reef models Leaders 20 20 10 2500 4380 10 Theta Hill & Browns Hill Theta Hill & Browns Hill Beta 20 20 5 4000 3000 6000 Upper Theta 20 20 5 4000 3000 600 Bevetts 20 20 5 4000 3000 600 Bevetts 20 20 5 4000 3000 600 Rho Upper 20 20 5 4000 3000 600 Bevetts 20 20 1 1140 1600 1820 Rho Lower 20 20 1 1140 1600 1820 Upper Theta 20 20 1 1140 1600 1820 Upper Theta 20 20 1 1140 1600 1820 Upper Theta 20 20 1 1140 1600 1		variability of the Mineral Resource expressed as length (along strike or otherwise), plan width, and depth below surface to the upper	Geological Model Type Sub-vertical discordant (cross-reef) reef	Project Area Rietfontein Beta Frankfort Clewer, Dukes Hill & Morgenzon Olifantsgeraa	Reef Rietfontein Beta Bevetts Rho Olifantsgeraa mte	E X (m) 20 50 20 50 20	Slock Siz Y (m) 30 50 20 50 20 20	re Z (m) 30 10 10 10 10 1	X (m) 900 4350 2100 3100 800	Y (m) 4020 4550 1580 7100 1000	imension Z (m) 1080 10 10 10 10 1			
Resource. Beta 20 5 4000 3000 600 (and leader) reef models Theta Hill & Browns Hill Beta 20 20 5 4000 3000 600 Lower Theta 20 20 5 4000 3000 600 Upper Theta 20 20 5 4000 3000 600 Bevetts 20 20 1 1140 1600 1820 Rho Lower 20 20 1 1140 1600 1820 Upper Theta 20 20 1 1140 1600 1820 Upper Theta 20 20 1 1140 1600 1820 Upper Theta 20 20 10 7840 7440 10 Uper Theta 20 2	Dimension	variability of the Mineral Resource expressed as length (along strike or otherwise), plan width, and depth below surface to the upper and lower	Geological Model Type Sub-vertical discordant (cross-reef) reef models	Project Area Rietfontein Beta Frankfort Clewer, Dukes Hill & Morgenzon Olifantsgeraa mte	ReefRietfonteinBetaBevettsRhoOlifantsgeraamteVaalhoek	E X (m) 20 50 20 50 20	Slock Siz Y (m) 30 50 20 50 20 20	re Z (m) 30 10 10 10 10 1	X (m) 900 4350 2100 3100 800	Y (m) 4020 4550 1580 7100 1000	imension Z (m) 1080 10 10 10 10 1			
Interview Theta Hill & Browns Hill Theta Hill & Browns Hill Lower Theta 20 20 5 4000 3000 600 Upper Theta 20 20 5 4000 3000 600 Browns Hill Browns Hill Events 20 20 5 4000 3000 600 Bevetts 20 20 5 4000 3000 600 Iota section of Columbia Hill No Shales 20 20 1 1140 1600 1820 Bevetts 20 20 1 1140 1600 1820 Bevetts 20 20 1 1140 1600 1820 Bevetts 20 20 1 1140 1600 1820 Upper Theta 20 20 1 1140 1600 1820 Upper Theta 20 20 1 1140 1600 1820 Upper Theta 20 20 3 <td< td=""><td></td><td>variability of the Mineral Resource expressed as length (along strike or otherwise), plan width, and depth below surface to the upper and lower limits of the</td><td>Geological Model Type Sub-vertical discordant (cross-reef) reef models Sub-horizontal</td><td>Project Area Rietfontein Beta Frankfort Clewer, Dukes Hill & Morgenzon Olifantsgeraa mte</td><td>ReefRietfonteinBetaBevettsRhoOlifantsgeraamteVaalhoekThelma</td><td>E X (m) 20 50 20 50 20 20 20</td><td>Block Siz Y (m) 30 50 20 50 20 20 20 20</td><td>e Z (m) 30 10 10 10 10 10 10 10</td><td>X (m) 900 4350 2100 3100 800 2500</td><td>Y (m) 4020 4550 1580 7100 1000 4380</td><td>imension Z (m) 1080 10 10 10 10 10 10 10</td><td></td></td<>		variability of the Mineral Resource expressed as length (along strike or otherwise), plan width, and depth below surface to the upper and lower limits of the	Geological Model Type Sub-vertical discordant (cross-reef) reef models Sub-horizontal	Project Area Rietfontein Beta Frankfort Clewer, Dukes Hill & Morgenzon Olifantsgeraa mte	ReefRietfonteinBetaBevettsRhoOlifantsgeraamteVaalhoekThelma	E X (m) 20 50 20 50 20 20 20	Block Siz Y (m) 30 50 20 50 20 20 20 20	e Z (m) 30 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	X (m) 900 4350 2100 3100 800 2500	Y (m) 4020 4550 1580 7100 1000 4380	imension Z (m) 1080 10 10 10 10 10 10 10			
Browns Hill Upper Theta 20 20 5 4000 3000 600 Bevetts 20 20 5 4000 3000 600 Bevetts 20 20 5 4000 3000 600 Shales 20 20 5 4000 3000 600 Shales 20 20 5 4000 3000 600 Shales 20 20 1 1140 1600 1820 Iota section of Columbia Hill Rho Lower 20 20 1 1140 1600 1820 Bevetts 20 20 1 1140 1600 1820 Bevetts 20 20 1 1140 1600 1820 Upper Theta 20 20 10 7840 7440 10 Sufficial reef models DG1 Eluvial 20 20 3 28 560 213 <td></td> <td>variability of the Mineral Resource expressed as length (along strike or otherwise), plan width, and depth below surface to the upper and lower limits of the Mineral</td> <td>Geological Model Type Sub-vertical discordant (cross-reef) reef models Sub-horizontal concordant</td> <td>Project Area Rietfontein Beta Frankfort Clewer, Dukes Hill & Morgenzon Olifantsgeraa mte</td> <td>Reef Rietfontein Beta Bevetts Rho Olifantsgeraa mte Vaalhoek Thelma Leaders</td> <td>E X (m) 20 50 20 50 20 20 20 20 20</td> <td>Block Siz Y (m) 30 50 20 50 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20</td> <td>re Z (m) 30 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10</td> <td>X (m) 900 4350 2100 3100 800 2500 2500</td> <td>Y (m) 4020 4550 1580 7100 1000 4380 4380</td> <td>imension Z (m) 1080 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10</td> <td></td>		variability of the Mineral Resource expressed as length (along strike or otherwise), plan width, and depth below surface to the upper and lower limits of the Mineral	Geological Model Type Sub-vertical discordant (cross-reef) reef models Sub-horizontal concordant	Project Area Rietfontein Beta Frankfort Clewer, Dukes Hill & Morgenzon Olifantsgeraa mte	Reef Rietfontein Beta Bevetts Rho Olifantsgeraa mte Vaalhoek Thelma Leaders	E X (m) 20 50 20 50 20 20 20 20 20	Block Siz Y (m) 30 50 20 50 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	re Z (m) 30 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	X (m) 900 4350 2100 3100 800 2500 2500	Y (m) 4020 4550 1580 7100 1000 4380 4380	imension Z (m) 1080 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10			
Bevetts 20 20 5 4000 3000 600 Shales 20 20 5 4000 3000 600 Shales 20 20 5 4000 3000 600 Iota section of Columbia Hill Rho Upper 20 20 1 1140 1600 1820 Bevetts 20 20 1 1140 1600 1820 Bevetts 20 20 1 1140 1600 1820 Bevetts 20 20 1 1140 1600 1820 Upper Theta 20 20 1 1140 1600 1820 Upper Theta 20 20 1 1140 1600 1820 Upper Theta 20 20 10 7840 7440 10 Sufficial reef DG1 Eluvial 20 20 3 28 103 DG2 Eluvial 20 20 3 58 560 213 Topographical ISE models Glynn's Lydenburg <td></td> <td>variability of the Mineral Resource expressed as length (along strike or otherwise), plan width, and depth below surface to the upper and lower limits of the Mineral</td> <td>Geological Model Type Sub-vertical discordant (cross-reef) reef models Sub-horizontal concordant (and leader)</td> <td>Project Area Rietfontein Beta Frankfort Clewer, Dukes Hill & Morgenzon Olifantsgeraa mte Vaalhoek</td> <td>Reef Rietfontein Beta Bevetts Rho Olifantsgeraa mte Vaalhoek Thelma Leaders Beta</td> <td>E X (m) 20 50 20 50 20 20 20 20 20 20 20</td> <td>Block Siz Y (m) 30 50 20 50 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 2</td> <td>re Z (m) 30 10 10 10 10 10 10 5</td> <td>X (m) 900 4350 2100 3100 800 2500 2500 4000</td> <td>Y (m) 4020 4550 1580 7100 1000 4380 4380 3000</td> <td>imension Z (m) 1080 10 10 10 10 10 10 600</td> <td></td>		variability of the Mineral Resource expressed as length (along strike or otherwise), plan width, and depth below surface to the upper and lower limits of the Mineral	Geological Model Type Sub-vertical discordant (cross-reef) reef models Sub-horizontal concordant (and leader)	Project Area Rietfontein Beta Frankfort Clewer, Dukes Hill & Morgenzon Olifantsgeraa mte Vaalhoek	Reef Rietfontein Beta Bevetts Rho Olifantsgeraa mte Vaalhoek Thelma Leaders Beta	E X (m) 20 50 20 50 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	Block Siz Y (m) 30 50 20 50 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 2	re Z (m) 30 10 10 10 10 10 10 5	X (m) 900 4350 2100 3100 800 2500 2500 4000	Y (m) 4020 4550 1580 7100 1000 4380 4380 3000	imension Z (m) 1080 10 10 10 10 10 10 600			
Index Rho Upper Columbia Hill Rho Upper Rho Lower 20 20 1 1140 1600 1820 Rho Lower 20 20 1 1140 1600 1820 Bevetts 20 20 1 1140 1600 1820 Upper Theta 20 20 1 1140 1600 1820 Glynn's Lydenburg Glynn's 20 20 1 1140 1600 1820 Topographical surficial reef models Hermansburg Eluvial 20 20 1 1140 1600 1820 DG1 Eluvial 20 20 10 7840 7440 10 DG2 Eluvial 20 20 3 292 432 103 DG2 Eluvial 20 20 3 58 560 213 Topographical TSE models Glynn's Lydenburg Tailings 25 25 3 360 485 19		variability of the Mineral Resource expressed as length (along strike or otherwise), plan width, and depth below surface to the upper and lower limits of the Mineral	Geological Model Type Sub-vertical discordant (cross-reef) reef models Sub-horizontal concordant (and leader)	Project Area Rietfontein Beta Frankfort Clewer, Dukes Hill & Morgenzon Olifantsgeraa mte Vaalhoek Theta Hill &	ReefRietfonteinBetaBevettsRhoOlifantsgeraa mteVaalhoekThelma LeadersBetaLower ThetaUpper Theta	E X (m) 20 50 20 50 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	Block Siz Y (m) 30 50 20 50 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 2	re Z (m) 30 10 10 10 10 10 10 5 5 5 5	X (m) 900 4350 2100 3100 800 2500 2500 2500 4000 4000 4000	Y (m) 4020 4550 1580 7100 1000 4380 4380 3000 3000 3000	imension Z (m) 1080 10 10 10 10 10 10 600 600 600			
Iota section of Columbia Hill Rho Lower 20 20 1 1140 1600 1820 Bevetts 20 20 1 1140 1600 1820 Upper Theta 20 20 1 1140 1600 1820 Glynn's Lydenburg Glynn's 20 20 1 1140 1600 1820 Topographical surficial reef models Hermansburg Glynn's 20 20 10 7840 7440 10 Topographical surficial reef models Hermansburg Eluvial 20 20 3 240 360 87 DG2 Eluvial 20 20 3 292 432 103 Topographical Topographical TSE models Glynn's Lydenburg Tailings 25 25 3 360 485 19		variability of the Mineral Resource expressed as length (along strike or otherwise), plan width, and depth below surface to the upper and lower limits of the Mineral	Geological Model Type Sub-vertical discordant (cross-reef) reef models Sub-horizontal concordant (and leader)	Project Area Rietfontein Beta Frankfort Clewer, Dukes Hill & Morgenzon Olifantsgeraa mte Vaalhoek Theta Hill &	ReefRietfonteinBetaBevettsRhoOlifantsgeraa mteVaalhoekThelma LeadersBetaLower ThetaUpper Theta Bevetts	E X (m) 20 50 20 50 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	Block Siz Y (m) 30 50 20 50 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 2	e Z (m) 30 10 10 10 10 10 10 5 5 5 5 5	X (m) 900 4350 2100 3100 800 2500 2500 2500 4000 4000 4000	Y (m) 4020 4550 1580 7100 1000 4380 4380 4380 3000 3000 3000	imension Z (m) 1080 10 10 10 10 10 600 600 600 60			
Columbia Hill Bevetts 20 20 1 1140 1600 1820 Upper Theta 20 20 1 1140 1600 1820 Glynn's Lydenburg Glynn's 20 20 1 1140 1600 1820 Topographical surficial reef models Hermansburg Eluvial 20 20 10 7840 7440 10 DG1 Eluvial 20 20 3 240 360 87 DG2 Eluvial 20 20 3 58 560 213 Topographical ISE models Glynn's Lydenburg Tailings 25 25 3 360 485 19		variability of the Mineral Resource expressed as length (along strike or otherwise), plan width, and depth below surface to the upper and lower limits of the Mineral	Geological Model Type Sub-vertical discordant (cross-reef) reef models Sub-horizontal concordant (and leader)	Project Area Rietfontein Beta Frankfort Clewer, Dukes Hill & Morgenzon Olifantsgeraa mte Vaalhoek Theta Hill &	ReefRietfonteinBetaBevettsRhoOlifantsgeraamteVaalhoekThelmaLeadersBetaLower ThetaUpper ThetaBevettsShales	E X (m) 20 50 20 50 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	Block Siz Y (m) 30 50 20 50 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 2	e Z (m) 30 10 10 10 10 10 10 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	X (m) 900 4350 2100 3100 800 2500 2500 2500 4000 4000 4000 4000 40	Y (m) 4020 4550 1580 7100 1000 4380 4380 4380 3000 3000 3000 3000 3	imension Z (m) 1080 10 10 10 10 10 10 600 600 600			
Upper Theta 20 20 1 1140 1600 1820 Glynn's Lydenburg Glynn's Glynn's 20 20 10 7840 7440 10 Topographical surficial reef models Hermansburg Eluvial 20 20 3 240 360 87 DG1 Eluvial 20 20 3 292 432 103 DG2 Eluvial 20 20 3 58 560 213 Topographical ISE models Glynn's Lydenburg Tailings 25 25 3 360 485 19		variability of the Mineral Resource expressed as length (along strike or otherwise), plan width, and depth below surface to the upper and lower limits of the Mineral	Geological Model Type Sub-vertical discordant (cross-reef) reef models Sub-horizontal concordant (and leader)	Project Area Rietfontein Beta Frankfort Clewer, Dukes Hill & Morgenzon Olifantsgeraa mte Vaalhoek Theta Hill & Browns Hill Iota section of	ReefRietfonteinBetaBevettsRhoOlifantsgeraa mteVaalhoekThelma LeadersBetaLower Theta Upper Theta BevettsShalesRho Upper	E X (m) 20 50 20 50 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	Block Siz Y (m) 30 50 20 50 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 2	e Z (m) 30 10 10 10 10 10 10 5 5 5 5 5 5 1	X (m) 900 4350 2100 3100 3100 800 2500 2500 2500 4000 4000 4000 4000 1140	Y (m) 4020 4550 1580 7100 1000 4380 4380 3000 3000 3000 3000 3000 1600	imension Z (m) 1080 10 10 10 10 10 10 600 600 600			
Lydenburg Glynn's 20 20 10 7840 7440 10 Topographical surficial reef models Hermansburg Eluvial 20 20 3 240 360 87 DG1 Eluvial 20 20 3 292 432 103 DG2 Eluvial 20 20 3 58 560 213 Topographical ISE models Glynn's Lydenburg Tailings 25 25 3 360 485 19		variability of the Mineral Resource expressed as length (along strike or otherwise), plan width, and depth below surface to the upper and lower limits of the Mineral	Geological Model Type Sub-vertical discordant (cross-reef) reef models Sub-horizontal concordant (and leader)	Project Area Rietfontein Beta Frankfort Clewer, Dukes Hill & Morgenzon Olifantsgeraa mte Vaalhoek Theta Hill & Browns Hill Iota section of	ReefRietfonteinBetaBevettsRhoOlifantsgeraa mteVaalhoekThelma LeadersBetaLower Theta Upper Theta BevettsShalesRho Upper Rho Lower	E X (m) 20 50 20 50 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	Block Siz Y (m) 30 50 20 50 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 2	e Z (m) 30 10 10 10 10 10 10 55 55 55 5 1 1 1	X (m) 900 4350 2100 3100 3100 2500 2500 2500 4000 4000 4000 4000 1140 1140	Y (m) 4020 4550 1580 7100 1000 4380 4380 3000 3000 3000 3000 3000 1600	imension Z (m) 1080 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 600 600			
Topographical surficial reef modelsHermansburgEluvial2020324036087DG1Eluvial20203292432103Topographical modelsDG2Eluvial2020358560213Topographical TSE modelsGlynn's LydenburgTailings2525336048519		variability of the Mineral Resource expressed as length (along strike or otherwise), plan width, and depth below surface to the upper and lower limits of the Mineral	Geological Model Type Sub-vertical discordant (cross-reef) reef models Sub-horizontal concordant (and leader)	Project Area Rietfontein Beta Frankfort Clewer, Dukes Hill & Morgenzon Olifantsgeraa mte Vaalhoek Theta Hill & Browns Hill Iota section of	ReefRietfonteinBetaBevettsRhoOlifantsgeraa mteVaalhoekThelma LeadersBetaLower Theta BevettsShalesRho Upper Rho LowerBevetts	E X (m) 20 50 20 50 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	Block Siz Y (m) 30 50 20 50 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 2	e Z (m) 30 10 10 10 10 10 10 55 55 55 55 1 1 1 1 1	X (m) 900 4350 2100 3100 800 2500 2500 4000 4000 4000 4000 1140 1140	Y (m) 4020 4550 1580 7100 1000 4380 4380 3000 3000 3000 3000 3000 1600 1600	imension Z (m) 1080 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 600 600			
surficial reef models DG1 Eluvial 20 20 3 292 432 103 Topographical TSE models DG2 Eluvial 20 20 3 58 560 213		variability of the Mineral Resource expressed as length (along strike or otherwise), plan width, and depth below surface to the upper and lower limits of the Mineral	Geological Model Type Sub-vertical discordant (cross-reef) reef models Sub-horizontal concordant (and leader)	Project Area Rietfontein Beta Frankfort Clewer, Dukes Hill & Morgenzon Olifantsgeraa mte Vaalhoek Theta Hill & Browns Hill Iota section of Columbia Hill Glynn's	ReefRietfonteinBetaBevettsRhoOlifantsgeraamteVaalhoekThelmaLeadersBetaLower ThetaUpper ThetaBevettsShalesRho LowerBevettsUpper Theta	E X 20 50 20 50 20 50 20 <	Slock Siz Y (m) 30 50 20 50 20	re Z (m) 30 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 55 55 55 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	X (m) 900 4350 2100 3100 3100 2500 2500 2500 4000 4000 4000 4000 40	Y (m) 4020 4550 1580 7100 1000 4380 4380 3000 3000 3000 3000 3000 1600 1600 1600 1600	imension Z (m) 1080 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 600 60			
modelsDG2Eluvial2020358560213Topographical TSE modelsGlynn's LydenburgTailings2525336048519		variability of the Mineral Resource expressed as length (along strike or otherwise), plan width, and depth below surface to the upper and lower limits of the Mineral	Geological Model Type Sub-vertical discordant (cross-reef) reef models Sub-horizontal concordant (and leader) reef models	Project Area Rietfontein Beta Frankfort Clewer, Dukes Hill & Morgenzon Olifantsgeraa mte Vaalhoek Theta Hill & Browns Hill Iota section of Columbia Hill Glynn's Lydenburg	ReefRietfonteinBetaBevettsRhoOlifantsgeraa mteVaalhoekThelma LeadersBetaLower Theta Upper ThetaBevettsShalesRho Lower BevettsUpper Theta Glynn's	x x 20 50 20 50 20 50 20	Slock Siz Y 30 50 20 50 20	re Z (m) 30 10 10 10 10 10 10 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 1 1 1 1 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	X (m) 900 4350 2100 3100 3100 2500 2500 2500 4000 4000 4000 1140 1140 1140 7840 7840	Y (m) 4020 4550 1580 7100 1000 4380 4380 4380 3000 3000 3000 3000 3000 1600 1600 1600 1600 7440	imension Z (m) 1080 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 600 600			
Topographical TSE modelsGlynn's LydenburgTailings2525336048519		variability of the Mineral Resource expressed as length (along strike or otherwise), plan width, and depth below surface to the upper and lower limits of the Mineral	Geological Model Type Sub-vertical discordant (cross-reef) reef models Sub-horizontal concordant (and leader) reef models	Project Area Rietfontein Beta Frankfort Clewer, Dukes Hill & Morgenzon Olifantsgeraa mte Vaalhoek Theta Hill & Browns Hill Iota section of Columbia Hill Glynn's Lydenburg Hermansburg	ReefRietfonteinBetaBevettsRhoOlifantsgeraa mteVaalhoekThelma LeadersBetaLower ThetaUpper ThetaBevettsShalesRho Upper Rho LowerBevettsUpper ThetaGlynn'sEluvial	x x 20 50 20 50 20	Slock Siz Y 30 50 20 50 20	re Z (m) 30 10 10 10 10 10 10 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 1 1 1 10 3 3 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	X (m) 900 4350 2100 3100 3100 2500 2500 2500 4000 4000 4000 4000 4000 1140 1140 1140 7840 240	Y (m) 4020 4550 1580 7100 1000 4380 4380 3000 3000 3000 3000 3000 1600 1600 1600 1600 7440 360	imension Z (m) 1080 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 600 600			
Topographical Lydenburg Tailings 25 25 3 360 485 19		variability of the Mineral Resource expressed as length (along strike or otherwise), plan width, and depth below surface to the upper and lower limits of the Mineral	Geological Model Type Sub-vertical discordant (cross-reef) reef models Sub-horizontal concordant (and leader) reef models Topographical surficial reef	Project Area Rietfontein Beta Frankfort Clewer, Dukes Hill & Morgenzon Olifantsgeraa mte Vaalhoek Theta Hill & Browns Hill Iota section of Columbia Hill Glynn's Lydenburg Hermansburg DG1	ReefRietfonteinBetaBevettsRhoOlifantsgeraa mteVaalhoekThelma LeadersBetaLower ThetaUpper ThetaBevettsShalesRho Upper Rho LowerBevettsUpper ThetaGlynn'sEluvial	x x 20 50 20 50 20	Slock Siz Y 30 50 20 50 20	re Z (m) 30 10 10 10 10 10 10 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 1 1 1 10 3 3 3 3	X (m) 900 4350 2100 3100 3100 2500 2500 2500 4000 4000 4000 4000 4000 1140 1140 1140 7840 240 292 292	Y (m) 4020 4550 1580 7100 1000 4380 4380 3000 3000 3000 3000 3000 1600	imension Z (m) 1080 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 600 600			
Blyde 1 Tailings 25 25 3 340 260 20		variability of the Mineral Resource expressed as length (along strike or otherwise), plan width, and depth below surface to the upper and lower limits of the Mineral	Geological Model Type Sub-vertical discordant (cross-reef) reef models Sub-horizontal concordant (and leader) reef models Topographical surficial reef models	Project Area Rietfontein Beta Frankfort Clewer, Dukes Hill & Morgenzon Olifantsgeraa mte Vaalhoek Theta Hill & Browns Hill lota section of Columbia Hill Glynn's Lydenburg Hermansburg DG1 DG2	Reef Rietfontein Beta Bevetts Rho Olifantsgeraa mte Vaalhoek Thelma Leaders Beta Lower Theta Upper Theta Bevetts Shales Rho Lower Bevetts Upper Theta Glynn's Eluvial Eluvial	E X 20 50 20 50 20	Stock Siz Y (m) 30 50 20 50 20	re Z (m) 30 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 55 55 55 55 55 55 11 11 10 3 3 3 3	X (m) 900 4350 2100 3100 800 2500 2500 2500 4000 4000 4000 4000 40	Y (m) 4020 4550 1580 7100 1000 4380 4380 3000 3000 3000 3000 3000 1600	imension Z (m) 1080 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 600 60			
		variability of the Mineral Resource expressed as length (along strike or otherwise), plan width, and depth below surface to the upper and lower limits of the Mineral	Geological Model Type Sub-vertical discordant (cross-reef) reef models Sub-horizontal concordant (and leader) reef models Topographical surficial reef models Topographical	Project Area Rietfontein Beta Frankfort Clewer, Dukes Hill & Morgenzon Olifantsgeraa mte Vaalhoek Theta Hill & Browns Hill lota section of Columbia Hill Glynn's Lydenburg Hermansburg DG1 DG2 Glynn's	Reef Rietfontein Beta Bevetts Rho Olifantsgeraa mte Vaalhoek Thelma Leaders Beta Lower Theta Upper Theta Bevetts Shales Rho Lower Bevetts Upper Theta Glynn's Eluvial Eluvial	E X 20 50 20 50 20	Stock Siz Y (m) 30 50 20 50 20	re Z (m) 30 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 55 55 55 55 55 55 11 11 10 3 3 3 3	X (m) 900 4350 2100 3100 800 2500 2500 2500 4000 4000 4000 4000 40	Y (m) 4020 4550 1580 7100 1000 4380 4380 3000 3000 3000 3000 3000 1600	imension Z (m) 1080 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 600 60			

<u> </u>
2
(())
\smile
ad
(())
<u> </u>
20
((/ /))
99
5
)
(ΔD)
((U))
99
((
\bigcirc
()
40
(())
1
615
(())
C P
(\bigcirc)
~
<u></u>
(\bigcirc)
Π

	Blyde 2	Tailings	25	25	3	156	172		20
	Blyde 3	Tailings	25	25	3	155	190		23
	Blyde 4	Tailings	25	25	3	130	145		12
	Blyde 5	Tailings	25	25	3	95	60		12
	Blyde 3a	Tailings	25	25	3	120	135		7
	TGM Plant	Tailings	10	10	1.5	720	450		51
	Vaalhoek	Rock Dump	10	10	1	280	300		40
	South East	Rock Dump	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	(DGs)		IN/A	IN/A	N/A	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	
	Peach Tree	Rock Dump	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Ponieskrantz	Rock Dump	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Dukes Clewer	Rock Dump	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Block Plans	Ponieskrantz*	Portuguese	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
and/ or Block	Frankfort Theta*	Theta	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Listings	Nestor*	Sandstone	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Note: * These histo	orical mines have n	ot been converted	l yet and	are still r	nanual c	re resou	rce block li	sts.	

Estimations were carried out utilising Ordinary Kriging for the latest estimations, with the exception of the TGM Plant tailings where Inverse distance squared was seen as most appropriate. The table shows the different estimations techniques per project and the number of domains used. Domains were based on data type available and structural boundaries. The search parameters informed by the variography for the various areas are presented in the table below with the minimum and maximum number of samples used in the estimation.

Project Area	Reef	Vgram	-	Est no Sa		Type Estimation	
•		Min	Max	Min	Max	,,	
Rietfontein	Rietfontein	40	120	5	15	Ordinary Kriging	
Beta	Beta	40	297	5	20	Ordinary Kriging	
Frankfort	Bevetts	115	120	3	30	Ordinary Kriging	
CDM	Rho	383	583	10	25	Ordinary Kriging	
Olifantsgeraamte	Olifantsgeraamte					Ordinary Kriging	
Vaalhoek	Vaalhoek	68.9	174.8	4	20	Ordinary Kriging	
Vaairioek	Thelma Leaders	86.7	96.5	4	20	Ordinary Kriging	
	Beta	90.3	90.3	3	15	Ordinary Kriging	
Theta Hill & Browns	Lower Theta	99.7	99.7	3	15	Ordinary Kriging	
Hill	Upper Theta	10.4	10.4	3	15	Ordinary Kriging	
1 1111	Bevetts	89.5	89.5	3	15	Ordinary Kriging	
	Shale	79.6	79.6	3	15	Ordinary Kriging	
	Upper Theta	72	72	3	15	Ordinary Kriging	
lota section of	Lower Rho	72	72	3	15	Ordinary Kriging	
Columbia Hill	Upper Rho	126.9	126.9	3	15	Ordinary Kriging	
	Bevetts	72.2	72.2	2	10	Ordinary Kriging	
	Shale	72.2	72.2	3	15	Ordinary Kriging	
Glynn's Lydenburg	Glynn's	75	488.5	3	30	Ordinary Kriging	
Hermansburg	Eluvial	25.8	25.8	12	40	Ordinary Kriging	
DG1	Eluvial	122.5	122.5	4	15	Ordinary Kriging	
DG2	Eluvial	85.8	85.8	4	15	Ordinary Kriging	
Glynn's Lydenburg	Tailings	92.3	195.8	4	40	Ordinary Kriging	
Blyde 1	Tailings	31.8	31.8	4	40	Ordinary Kriging	
Blyde 2	Tailings	30.1	30.1	4	40	Ordinary Kriging	
Blyde 3	Tailings	25.1	25.1	4	40	Ordinary Kriging	
Blyde 4	Tailings	30.7	30.7	4	40	Ordinary Kriging	
Blyde 5	Tailings	7.1	7.1	4	40	Ordinary Kriging	
Blyde 3a	Tailings	31.6	31.6	4	40	Ordinary Kriging	
TGM Plant	Tailings					Inverse distance	
	rainnys	120	120	2	10	Squared	
Vaalhoek	Rock Dump	18.2	32.9	2	40	Ordinary Kriging	
South East (DGs)	Rock Dump					Manual/Historic	
Peach Tree	Rock Dump					Manual/Historic	
Ponieskrantz	Rock Dump					Manual/Historic	
Dukes Clewer	Rock Dump					Manual/Historic	
Ponieskrantz*	Portuguese					Manual/Historic	
Frankfort Theta*	Theta					Manual/Historic	
Nestor*	Sandstone					Manual/Historic	

The Mineral Resource was then depleted with the mining voids. The estimation techniques applied are considered appropriate. Datamine Studio[™] was utilised for the statistics, geostatistics and block model estimation.

The availability of check estimates, previous

The nature

appropriaten

ess of the

estimation

technique(s)

applied and key assumptions , including treatment of extreme grade values, domaining, interpolation parameters and maximum distance of extrapolation from data points. If a computer assisted

estimation

chosen

include a description of computer software and parameters used.

method was

and

Project Area	Reef	Historic Estimate Available
Project Area	Keel	Yes/No
Rietfontein	Rietfontein	Yes
Beta	Beta	Yes

	estimates	Frankfort	Bevetts	Yes						
	and/or mine	Clewer, Dukes Hill	1	165	1					
	production	& Morgenzon	Rho	No -	– not a com	bined res	ource			
	records and	Olifantsgeraamte	Olifantsgeraam	te Yes						
	whether the		Vaalhoek		– not a com	plete elec	tronic res	ource		
	Mineral	Vaalhoek	Thelma Leaders	s No-	– not a com	plete elec	tronic res	ource		
	Resource	Glynn's Lydenburg	g Glynn's		– not a com					
	estimate		Beta	No						
	takes		Lower Theta	No						
	appropriate	Theta Hill &	Upper Theta	No						
	account of	Browns Hill	Bevetts	No						
	such data.		Shale	No						
7			Upper Theta	No						
		lota section of	Lower Rho	No						
		Columbia Hill	Upper Rho	No						
			Bevetts	No						
		Hermansburg	Eluvial	Yes						
		DG1	Eluvial	Yes						
		DG2	Eluvial	Yes						
		Glynn's Lydenburg		Yes						
		Blyde 1	Tailings	Yes						
		Blyde 2	Tailings	Yes						
		Blyde 3	Tailings	Yes						
		Blyde 4	Tailings	Yes						
		Blyde 5	Tailings	Yes						
		Blyde 3a	Tailings	Yes						
		TGM Plant	Tailings	No -	– not from d	rill sampli	ing			
		Vaalhoek	Rock Dump	Yes			0			
		South East (DGs)	Rock Dump	Yes						
		Peach Tree	Rock Dump	Yes						
		Ponieskrantz	Rock Dump	Yes						
		Dukes Clewer	Rock Dump	Yes						
		Dukes Clewer Ponieskrantz*	Rock Dump Portuguese	Yes	1					
		Ponieskrantz*	Portuguese	No	;					
		Ponieskrantz* Frankfort Theta*								
	The	Ponieskrantz* Frankfort Theta* Nestor* Note: * These histor	Portuguese Theta Sandstone ical mines have not	No No No been conve	erted yet and					
	The assumptions made regarding recovery of by-products. Estimation of deleterious elements or other non- grade variables of economic significance (e.g. sulphur for acid mine drainage characterisat ion). In the case	Ponieskrantz* Frankfort Theta* Nestor*	Portuguese Theta Sandstone ical mines have not as been conducte	No No been conve d with reg us elemer acterisatio	arted yet and gards seco	ndary m r non-gra	ineralisa ade varia	tion or coi	rrelation betv	
-	assumptions made regarding recovery of by-products. Estimation of deleterious elements or other non- grade variables of economic significance (e.g. sulphur for acid mine drainage characterisat ion). In the case of block	Ponieskrantz* Frankfort Theta* Neter* Note: * These histor No investigation h gold. No estimates perta sulphur for acid m	Portuguese Theta Sandstone ical mines have not i as been conducte aining to deleterio ine drainage char	No No been conve d with reg us elemer acterisatio	arted yet and gards seco	ndary m r non-gra een cond	ade varia	tion or coi	rrelation betv	
	assumptions made regarding recovery of by-products. Estimation of deleterious elements or other non- grade variables of economic significance (e.g. sulphur for acid mine drainage characterisat ion). In the case of block model	Ponieskrantz* Frankfort Theta* Neter* Note: * These histor No investigation h gold. No estimates perta sulphur for acid m	Portuguese Theta Sandstone ical mines have not as been conducte	No No been conve d with reg us elemer acterisatio	arted yet and gards seco nts or othe on) have be	ndary m r non-gra een cond	ade varia	tion or con	conomic sign	
	assumptions made regarding recovery of by-products. Estimation of deleterious elements or other non- grade variables of economic significance (e.g. sulphur for acid mine drainage characterisat ion). In the case of block model interpolation,	Ponieskrantz* Frankfort Theta* Nestor* Note: * These histor No investigation h gold. No estimates perta sulphur for acid m	Portuguese Theta Sandstone ical mines have not i as been conducte aining to deleterio ine drainage char	No No been conve d with reg us elemer acterisatio	arted yet and gards seco nts or othe on) have be	ndary m r non-gra een cond	ineralisa ade varia ducted. Model Di	tion or con ables of ec	conomic sigr	
	assumptions made regarding recovery of by-products. Estimation of deleterious elements or other non- grade variables of economic significance (e.g. sulphur for acid mine drainage characterisat ion). In the case of block model interpolation, the block	Ponieskrantz* Frankfort Theta* Nestor* Note: * These histor No investigation h gold. No estimates perta sulphur for acid m	Portuguese Theta Sandstone ical mines have not i as been conducte aining to deleterio ine drainage char	No No been conve d with reg us elemer acterisatio	arted yet and gards seco nts or othe on) have be	ndary m r non-gra een cond	ineralisa ade varia ducted. Model Di	tion or con ables of ec	conomic sigr	
	assumptions made regarding recovery of by-products. Estimation of deleterious elements or other non- grade variables of economic significance (e.g. sulphur for acid mine drainage characterisat ion). In the case of block model interpolation, the block size in	Ponieskrantz* Frankfort Theta* Nestor* Note: * These histor No investigation h gold. No estimates perta sulphur for acid m	Portuguese Theta Sandstone ical mines have not i as been conducte aining to deleterio ine drainage char	No No been conve d with reg us elemer acterisatio	erted yet and gards seco nts or othe on) have be	ndary m r non-gra een cond Block X	ade varia ducted. Model Di	tion or con ables of ec mension z	conomic sign	
	assumptions made regarding recovery of by-products. Estimation of deleterious elements or other non- grade variables of economic significance (e.g. sulphur for acid mine drainage characterisat ion). In the case of block model interpolation, the block size in relation to	Ponieskrantz* Frankfort Theta* Nestor* Note: * These histor No investigation h gold. No estimates perta sulphur for acid m Geologic al Model Type Sub- vertical discordan Kie t (cross- ein	Portuguese Theta Sandstone ical mines have not i as been conducted aining to deleterio ine drainage chara oject rea Reef tfont Rietfontei	No No been conve d with reg us elemer acterisatio	erted yet and gards seco nts or othe on) have be	ndary m r non-gra een cond	ineralisa ade varia ducted. Model Di	tion or con ables of ec	conomic sigr	
	assumptions made regarding recovery of by-products. Estimation of deleterious elements or other non- grade variables of economic significance (e.g. sulphur for acid mine drainage characterisat ion). In the case of block model interpolation, the block size in relation to the average	Ponieskrantz* Frankfort Theta* Nestor* Note: * These histor No investigation h gold. No estimates perta sulphur for acid m Geologic al Model Type Sub- vertical discordan t (cross- reef) reef	Portuguese Theta Sandstone ical mines have not i as been conducted aining to deleterio ine drainage chara oject rea Reef tfont Rietfontei	No No No been conve d with reg us elemer acterisation	erted yet and gards seco nts or othe on) have be	ndary m r non-gra een cond Block X	ade varia ducted. Model Di	tion or con ables of ec mension z	conomic sign	
	assumptions made regarding recovery of by-products. Estimation of deleterious elements or other non- grade variables of economic significance (e.g. sulphur for acid mine drainage characterisat ion). In the case of block model interpolation, the block size in relation to the average sample	Ponieskrantz* Frankfort Theta* Nestor* Note: * These histor No investigation h gold. No estimates perta sulphur for acid m Sulphur for acid m Sub- vertical discordan t (cross- reef) reef models	Portuguese Theta Sandstone ical mines have not i as been conducted aining to deleterio ine drainage charse oject rea Reef tfont Rietfontei n	No No been conve d with reg us elemer acterisation	arted yet and gards seco nts or othe on) have be k Size r Z 0 30	r non-gra een cond Block X 900	ineralisa ade varia ducted. Model Di Y 4020	tion or con ables of ec mension Z 1080	conomic sign Sample Spacing 3-5 m	
	assumptions made regarding recovery of by-products. Estimation of deleterious elements or other non- grade variables of economic significance (e.g. sulphur for acid mine drainage characterisat ion). In the case of block model interpolation, the block size in relation to the average	Ponieskrantz* Frankfort Theta* Nestor* Note: * These histor No investigation h gold. No estimates perta sulphur for acid m Sulphur for acid m Sub- vertical discordan t (cross- reef) reef models Sub- vetical discordan t (cross- reef) reef Sub- vertical discordan t (cross- reef) reef	Portuguese Theta Sandstone ical mines have not i as been conducted aining to deleterio ine drainage charse oject rea Reef tfont Rietfontei n	No No been conve d with reg us elemer acterisation Bloc X Y 20 3	erted yet and gards seco nts or othe on) have be	ndary m r non-gra een cond Block X	ade varia ducted. Model Di	tion or con ables of ec mension z	conomic sign	

	·		_										
			nt (and leader) reef models	Clewer, Dukes Hill & Morgen zon	Rho	50	50	10	3100	7100	10	3-5 m	
				Olifants geraamt e	Olifantsge raamte	20	20	1	800	1000	1	3-5 m	
				Vaalbaa	Vaalhoek	20	20	10	2500	4380	10	3-5 m	
				Vaalhoe k	Thelma Leaders	20	20	10	2500	4380	10	3-5 m	
				Glynn's Lydenb urg	Glynn's	20	20	10	7840	7440	10	3-5 m	
					Beta	20	20	5	4000	3000	600	3-100 m	
				Theta Hill &	Lower Theta	20	20	5	4000	3000	600	3-100 m	
				Browns Hill	Upper Theta	20	20	5	4000	3000	600	50-100 m	
					Bevetts	20	20	5	4000	3000	600	50-100 m	
					Shales	20	20	5	4000	3000	600	50-100 m	
				lota	Rho Upper	20	20	1	1140	1600	1820	3-75 m	
(\mathbb{D})				section of Columbi	Rho Lower Bevetts	20 20	20 20	1	1140 1140	1600 1600	1820	50-100 m 50-100 m	
20				a Hill	Upper	20	20	1	1140	1600	1820		
(0)			Tanagran	Llarman	Theta							50-100 m	
			Topograp hical	Herman sburg	Eluvial	20	20	3	240	360	87	25 m	
			surficial reef	DG1	Eluvial	20	20	3	292	432	103	25 m	
			models	DG2	Eluvial	20	20	3	58	560	213	25 m	
				Glynn's Lydenb urg	Tailings	25	25	3	360	485	19	25 m	
$(\zeta(U))$				Blyde 1	Tailings	25	25	3	340	260	20	25 m	
				Blyde 2	Tailings	25	25	3	156	172	20	25 m	
				Blyde 3	Tailings	25	25	3	155	190	23	25 m	
				Blyde 4	Tailings	25	25	3	130	145	12	25 m	I
				Blyde 5 Blyde	Tailings	25	25	3	95	60	12	25 m	
(\bigcirc)				3a	Tailings	25	25	3	120	135	7	25 m	
			Topograp hical TSF	TGM Plant	Tailings	10	10	1.5	720	450	51	50 m	
			models	Vaalhoe k	Rock Dump	10	10	1	280	300	40	25 m	
				South East	Rock	N/	N/ A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
(1)				(DGs)	Dump	A							
				Peach Tree	Rock Dump	N/ A	N/ A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
				Poniesk	Rock	N/	N/	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
				rantz Dukes	Dump Rock	A N/	A N/	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
~				Clewer	Dump	A	A						
				Poniesk	Portugue	N/	N/	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
\bigcirc			Block Plans and/ or	rantz* Frankfo rt	se Theta	A N/	A N/	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
			Block	Theta*		A	A	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	11/17		
			Listings	Nestor*	Sandston e	N/ A	N/ A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
			Note: * These I	historical m	ines have not	been co	onverte	ed yet and	l are still	manual o	re resource	block lists.	
			The Block Mc Final estimate										e above table.
			No assumptio										cted.
		assumptions											

behind modelling of

	aalaatiiya							
	selective							
	mining units.	Grade (Au off) and r	eef width wore estimate	d - no corrolation h	otwoon thick	nees and grade	was found during	
	Any assumptions	Grade (Au g/t) and reef width were estimated - no correlation between thickness and grade was found during the statistical analysis, however a cm.g/t value was calculated on a post estimation basis.						
	about							
1	correlation							
Estimation and modelling techniques (continued)	between							
	variables.	The Mineral Resource estimation has been restricted to the hard boundaries encompassed by the geological						
	Description							
	of how the	wireframes.		estimation has been restricted to the hard boundaries cheompassed by the geological				
	geological							
	interpretation							
	was used to							
	control the							
	resource							
	estimates.							
		The data sets were capped per domain and the following table indicates the minimum and maximum capping of the upper limits of the data sets. Minxcon utilised 'Cumulative Coefficient of Variation' plots to assist with the capping. Reef widths were capped in the same manner due to anomalies in the sampling thickness and generally occur between the 95 th to the 99 th percentile. CAE Studio RM [™] was utilised for the statistics, geostatistics and block model estimation. Capping ranges as depicted in the table below represent capping range for the various domains per project. These are broken up in detail in the CPR.						
		Geological Model Type	Geological Model Project Area Reef Capping			Number of Estimation Samples		
		.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			RW (cm)	Au (g/t)		
		Sub-vertical			. ,			
		discordant (cross- reef) reef models	Rietfontein	Rietfontein	236	123.5	2,262	
			Beta	Beta	170.0	300	4,566	
			Frankfort	Bevetts	200-281	46.6-57.5	4,114	
	Discussion of basis for using or not using grade cutting or capping.	Sub-horizontal concordant (and	Clewer, Dukes Hill & Morgenzon	Rho	50	314.5	24,693	
			Olifantsgeraamte	Olifantsgeraamt e	142	147.3	316	
			Vaalhoek	Vaalhoek	335.3	411.4	16,652	
				Thelma Leaders	54 -78	137-304	901	
			Glynn's Lydenburg	Glynn's	105-281	100-134	29,444	
		leader) reef		Beta	176	14.0	1,673	
		models	Theta Hill & Browns Hill	Lower Theta	176	18.2	5,609	
				Upper Theta	176	63.4	148	
				Bevetts	N/A	14.0	155	
				Shale	N/A	4.9	59	
				Upper Theta	N/A	9.1	39	
			lota section of Columbia Hill	Lower Rho	N/A	23.0	680	
				Upper Rho	N/A	212.0	208	
				Bevetts	N/A	19.4	26	
		Topographical surficial reef models	Hermansburg	Eluvial	N/A	67.1	1,076	
			DG1	Eluvial	N/A	8.55	784	
			DG2	Eluvial	N/A	22.5	234	
			Glynn's Lydenburg	Tailings	N/A	1.8	793	
		Topographical TSF models	Blyde 1	Tailings	N/A	2.2	288	
			Blyde 2	Tailings	N/A	2.1	176	
			Blyde 3	Tailings	N/A	1.0	179	
			Blyde 4	Tailings	N/A	0.9	104	
1			Blyde 5	Tailings	N/A	1.0	40	
			Blyde 3a	Tailings	N/A	0.9	27	
			TGM Plant	Tailings	N/A	2.6	288	
			Vaalhoek	Rock Dump	N/A	4.1 -16.1	80	
			South East (DGs)	Rock Dump	N/A	N/A	N/A	
			Peach Tree	Rock Dump	N/A	N/A	N/A	
			Ponieskrantz	Rock Dump	N/A	N/A	N/A	
			Dukes Clewer	Rock Dump	N/A	N/A	N/A	
			Ponieskrantz*	Portuguese	N/A	N/A	N/A	
		Block Plans and/ or Block Listings	Frankfort Theta*	Theta	N/A	N/A	N/A	
			Nestor*	Sandstone	N/A	N/A	N/A	
			1403101	oundotonio			1.0// 1	
	The process of validation, the checking process used, the comparison of model data to drillhole data, and use of reconciliation data if available. Whether the tonnages are estimated on a dry basis or with	order to check correla shows a good correlat value of a block was visually to ensure sim	ations between the tion with the sampl investigated. Histo nilar grade trends the mean sampled	projects were conducted in the east-v block modelled grades and the raw e grade. In addition, correlation betwe pric estimates (eluvials & TSFs and (between drillholes or sampling point value was compared to the mean est	sampled values. Swath analysis een the estimate and the average Difantsgeraamte) were reviewed ts and the final block models. In			
---	--	--	--	---	---			
Moisture	natural moisture, and the method of determinatio n of the moisture content.							
Cut-off	The basis of the adopted cut-off	tailings dams. The following parametric discount rate, plant re- percentile of the histor Gold Price % MCF Dilution Plant Recovery Factor Mining Costs Total Plant Cost Total Cost	ters were used for covery factor, min rical real term com ption	o underground Mineral Resources, o the declaration and pay limit calculat ng cost total plant cost. The gold pric modity prices since 1980. Unit USD/oz % % ZAR/t ZAR/t ZAR/t ZAR ff, the following parameters were use	ion: Gold price, % MCF, dilution, te of USD1,497/oz, is the 90th Value 1,500 90% 0% 90% 522 472 994			
parameter s	grade(s) or quality	Description	11-14	Value				
	parameters	Description	Unit	Value				
	applied.	Gold Price	USD/oz	1,500				
		% MCF	%	100%				
		Dilution Plant Recovery	%	0%				
		Factor	%	92%				
		Mining Costs	ZAR/t	24				
		Total Plant Cost	ZAR/t	269				
		For the tailings Minera factor which was 50% The resultant cut-offs Theta Project (econo	al Resource cut-or and the total mini were 160 cm.g/t f mic cut-off calcula	f, the parameters were the same as ng and processing cost of ZAR135/t or the underground (pay limit calcula ation) for the open pit (with in the p m and rock dumps (pay limit calculati	with a 10% discount. ation); 0.5 g/t and 0.35 g/t for the bit shell using Datamine Maxipit			
Mining factors or assumptio ns	Assumptions madeA minimum stoping width of 90 cm was assumed. Where reef width (or channel width) was less dilution was increased accordingly. Elsewhere, the stoping width was calculated by adding 20 c the Mineral Resource Estimation. No dilution was applied to the open pit Mineral Resources, Mineral Resources, with the exception of the new Theta Project where narrow reefs (<100 cm ree assumption miningMining factors or assumptionMineral Resources, with the exception of the new Theta Project where narrow reefs (<100 cm ree were diluted to 100 cm due to the drilling sample run achieved in the RC drilling programme b				ated by adding 20 cm dilution to Mineral Resources, nor the TSF w reefs (<100 cm reef thickness)			

		dimensions	
		and internal	
		(or, if	
		applicable, external)	
		mining	
		dilution. It is	
		always	
		necessary	
		as part of the	
		process of	
\geq		determining	
\sim		reasonable	
		prospects for	
		eventual economic	
		extraction to	
		consider	
		potential	
))		mining	
_		methods, but	
		the	
5		assumptions	
))		made 	
\leq		regarding	
\bigcirc		mining methods and	
Ð		parameters	
7		when	
))		estimating	
		Mineral	
		Resources	
		may not	
1		always be	
))		rigorous.	
9		Where this is	
		the case, this should	
		be reported	
		with an	
)		explanation	
2		of the basis	
		of the mining	
())		assumptions	
D		made.	All of the second like the management of a second state of a schedule state of a descended second state of a d
		The basis for assumptions	All of the ore will be be processed via cyanide leach and carbon adsorbsion as is done with most gold ores. A different recovery estimate was used for each mine and reef where applicable.
5		or	unerent recovery estimate was used for each mine and reer where applicable.
))		predictions	The recovery assumed for Beta was 86% as it is known to be a free milling ore with limited preg-robbing
2		regarding	caractaristics. Frankfort is a double refractory ore, with significant locked gold and preg-robbers. A 69%
		metallurgical	recovery was assumed. CDM also contains sulphides but historically gave fair recoveries, and 86% was
		amenability.	assumed. The Theta Project has a number of reefs and a recovey for each was assumed. Recovery for the
		It is always	Upper Theta, Lower Theta and Beta composites are assumed to be 88.78%, 95.28% and 86.54% respectively.
		necessary	Bevetts, Shale and Rho Reefs were all assumped to gve 91.56 % recovery.
	Metallurgic	as part of the process of	
	al factors	determining	
)	or	reasonable	
2	assumptio	prospects for	
	ns	eventual	
		economic	
		extraction to	
		consider	
		potential	
		metallurgical	
		methods, but the	
		assumptions	
		regarding	
		metallurgical	

_			
		treatment	
		processes	
		and	
		parameters	
		made when	
		reporting	
		Mineral	
		Resources	
		may not	
		always be	
		rigorous.	
		Where this is	
\sim		the case,	
		this should	
		be reported	
		with an	
1		explanation	
		of the basis	
		of the	
		metallurgical	
		assumptions	
		made.	
		Assumptions	No environmental factors or assumptions were applied to this Mineral Resource estimation.
)		made	
/		regarding	
		possible	
		waste and	
		process	
$\left(\right)$		residue	
		disposal	
		options. It is	
		always	
		necessary	
		as part of the	
		process of	
9		determining	
		reasonable	
		prospects for	
		eventual	
		economic	
		extraction to	
)		consider the	
/			
		potential	
)	Environme	environment	
·	ntal factors	al impacts of	
	or	the mining	
	assumptio	and	
	ns	processing	
)	110	operation.	
		While at this	
		stage the	
)		determinatio	
		n of potential	
		environment	
		al impacts,	
		particularly	
		for a	
		greenfields	
/		project, may	
		not always	
		be well	
_			
		advanced,	
		the status of	
		early	
		consideratio	
		n of these	
		potential	
		environment	
		al impacts	
		should be	
L		•	·

		reported.	
		Where these	
		aspects	
		have not	
		been	
		considered	
		this should	
		be reported	
		with an	
		explanation	
		•	
		of the	
>		environment	
D		al	
1		assumptions	
]		made.	
		Whether	No historical bulk density measurement data is available besides a tabulated summary table indicating
1		assumed or	historically applied densities for the various in situ reefs. However, bulk density tests have been carried out for
		determined.	the Theta Project reefs host lithologies. Reef samples suitable for bulk density tests were however limited due
		If assumed,	to the poor core recovery achieved in the 2017-2019 diamond drilling programme. A density of 3.6 g/cm ³ was
		the basis for	used for the calculation of in situ underground and open pit hard rock ore tonnes, in line with the value used in
		the	previous declarations. A density of 2.84 g/cm ³ , which is the average density of dolomite, was used for the waste
		assumptions	or dilution tonnes. The Rietfontein estimate uses a 2.9 t/m ³ based on historical assumptions and estimates.
		. lf	
)		determined,	The Theta Project uses a bulk density of 2.75 t/m ³ for the estimation in areas where there was new drilling data.
		the method	The historical 3.6 t/m ³ for reef and 2.84 t/m ³ for the dolomites were still used in the historical areas as there
		used,	was no new data. In these areas the diluted reef density is in the region of 3.1 t/m ³ . The 2.75 t/m ³ is based on
)		whether wet	the field testing of the core samples only as the RC chips could not be used due to the weathered nature and
		or dry, the	fine material in the samples. 156 density readings were taken on the available reef core of which 27 were not
2		frequency of	reliable due to high clay (WAD) content and fine material. For the 129 representative core samples the density
)		the	was 2.69 t/m ³ and for the solid core (53 samples) it was 2.78 t/m ³ . Therefore, a density of 2.75 t/m ³ was utilised.
		measuremen	More work is required on the density with further drilling campaigns to obtain more readings and a higher level
		ts, the	of confidence in the density. The density is one of the reasons that the Mineral Resource categories in the
		nature, size	Theta Project are only Indicated and Inferred with no Measured Mineral Resources. Densities were determined
1		and	utilising the Archimedes principle.
		representativ	
)		eness of the	Bulk density for the eluvial deposits was assumed at 2.3 t/m3 based on typical unconsolidated material
1		samples.	densities.
1			Minxcon used an SG of 1.4 t/m ³ for the modelling of all of the historical TSFs, with the exception of the TGM
			Plant TSF, where SG measurements were conducted utilising the "pipe method". The SG for this TSF was
			calculated at 1.54 t/m ³ from a total of 40 samples taken at various locations all over the TSF. In Minxcon's view
/	_		this SG may be considered to representative for this TSF.
	Bulk	The bulk	The pipe method (as utilised on the TGM Plant TSF) of measuring bulk density is utilised on soft sediments
	density	density for	and is conducted in such a manner as to ensure that little to no compaction of the material within the pipe
		bulk material	occurs. This serves to preserve the inherent sediment porosity.
		must have	
		been	
		measured by	
)		methods that	
		adequately	
		account for	
		void spaces	
		(vugs,	
		porosity,	
_		etc.),	
_		moisture and	
		differences	
)		between	
/		rock and	
		alteration	
		zones within	
		the deposit.	
		Discuss	No historical bulk density measurement data is available besides a tabulated summary table indicating
		assumptions	historically applied densities for the various in situ reefs. However, bulk density tests have been carried out for
		for bulk	the Theta Project reefs host lithologies. Reef samples suitable for bulk density tests were however limited due
		density	to the poor core recovery achieved in the 2017-2019 diamond drilling programme. A density of 3.6 g/cm3 was
		estimates	used for the calculation of in situ underground and open pit hard rock ore tonnes, in line with the value used in
		used in the	previous declarations. A density of 2.84 g/cm3, which is the average density of dolomite, was used for the
		evaluation	waste or dilution tonnes. The Rietfontein estimate uses a 2.9 t/m3 based on historical assumptions and
		process of	estimates.

		1
	the different materials.	The Theta Project uses a bulk density of 2.75 t/m3 for the estimation in areas where there was new drilling data. The historical 3.6 t/m3 for reef and 2.84 t/m3 for the dolomites were still used in the historical areas as there was no new data. In these areas the diluted reef density is in the region of 3.1 t/m3. The 2.75 t/m3 is based on the field testing of the core samples only as the RC chips could not be used due to the weathered nature and fine material in the samples. 156 density readings were taken on the available reef core of which 27 were not reliable due to high clay (WAD) content and fine material. For the 129 representative core samples the density was 2.69 t/m3 and for the solid core (53 samples) it was 2.78 t/m3. Therefore, a density of 2.75 t/m ³ was utilised. More work is required on the density with further drilling campaigns to obtain more readings and a higher level of confidence in the density. The density is one of the reasons that the Mineral Resource categories in the Theta Project are only Indicated and Inferred with no Measured Mineral Resources. Densities were determined utilising the Archimedes principle.
		Bulk density for the eluvial deposits was assumed at 2.3 t/m ³ based on typical unconsolidated material densities.
		Minxcon used an SG of 1.4 t/m ³ for the modelling of all of the historical TSFs, with the exception of the TGM Plant TSF, where SG measurements were conducted utilising the "pipe method". The SG for this TSF was calculated at 1.54 t/m ³ from a total of 40 samples taken at various locations all over the TSF. In Minxcon's view this SG may be considered to representative for this TSF.
	The basis for the classification of the	The Mineral Resource classification for the all the block models is based on a positive kriging efficiency, calculated variogram ranges and number of samples informing the estimation. Where confidence in the historical sampling values or position were low the classification was downgraded to Inferred Mineral Resource.
Classificati on	Mineral Resources into varying confidence categories.	At the Theta Project, the highest Mineral Resource classification applied was Indicated (regardless of data spacing: 1) Historical nature associated with the chip sampling dataset, stretch values and block values and around the historical drillholes. 2) The low availability of detailed bulk density data 3) the low volume of diamond drilling conducted at the Project.
	Whether appropriate account has been taken of all relevant factors (i.e. relative confidence	Mineral Resources were only classified as Indicated and Inferred Mineral Resources in the vast majority of cases due to the age and spacing of the data utilised. Measured Mineral Resources were only identified on a small portion of Frankfort due to the recent nature of some areas of the channel chip sampling data. Minxcon utilised a combination of variogram ranges, spread in confidence limits and minimum number of samples to be utilised in the estimate, in conjunction with geological continuity to assign Mineral Resource categories. At the Theta Project, the highest Mineral Resource classification applied was Indicated (regardless of data spacing: 1) Historical nature associated with the chip sampling dataset, stretch values and block values and around the historical drillholes. 2) The low availability of detailed bulk density data 3) the low volume of diamond
	in tonnage/gra de estimations, reliability of input data, confidence in continuity of geology and metal values, quality, quantity and distribution of the data).	drilling conducted at the Project. The additional rock dumps (South East (DGs), Peach Tree, Ponieskrantz and Dukes Clewer) have all been classified as Inferred Mineral Resources due to the historical nature of the database. A bulk sampling programme would have to be undertaken to confirm the Mineral Resource in order for them to be converted to an Indicated Mineral Resource.
	Whether the result appropriately reflects the Competent Person's view of the deposit.	It is the Competent Person's opinion the Mineral Resource estimation conducted by Minxcon is appropriate and presents a reasonable result in line with accepted industrial practices.
Audits or reviews	The results of any audits or reviews of Mineral Resource estimates.	Minxcon, as well as the Competent Person, conducted internal reviews of the Mineral Resource estimate, geological modelling and the data transformations from 2D to 3D.
Discussion of relative	Where appropriate a statement	Upon completion of the estimations, the older block models were visually checked with regards to the drillholes and sample points to the estimated values. Swath plot analysis was carried out on the newly estimated block models, comparing the chip samples and drillholes in a particular swath to the estimation block model also

accuracy/	of the	falling within the same swath. The swath plots produce a good correlation with regards the estimation and the
confidence	relative	data in both the north-south plots and the east-west plots. The Competent Person deems the Mineral Resource
	accuracy	estimate for the current estimated projects. The estimation conducted at the Theta Project underwent similar
	and	swath and visual checks as the historical Mineral Resource block model estimates.
	confidence	
	level in the	The Competent Person deems the Mineral Resource estimate for the Current Estimated Projects to reflect the
	Mineral	relative accuracy relative to the Mineral Resource categories as required by the Code for the purposes of
	Resource	declaration and is of the opinion that the methodologies employed in the Mineral Resource estimation, based
	estimate	upon the data received may be considered appropriate.
	using an	
	approach or	
	procedure	
	deemed	
	appropriate	
	by the	
	Competent	
	Person. For	
	example, the	
	application	
	of statistical	
	or	
	geostatistical	
	procedures	
	to quantify	
	the relative	
	accuracy of	
	the resource	
	within stated	
	confidence	
	limits, or, if	
	such an	
	approach is	
	not deemed	
	appropriate,	
	a qualitative discussion of	
	the factors	
	that could	
	affect the	
	relative	
	accuracy	
	and	
	confidence	
	of the	
	estimate.	
	The	Regional accuracy is considered acceptable as evidenced by the swath plots, and direct sample point versus
	statement	block model checks have ensured acceptable local accuracy with regards the estimated Projects.
	should	
	specify	
	whether it	
	relates to	
	global or	
	local	
	estimates,	
	and, if local,	
	state the	
	relevant	
	tonnages,	
	which should	
	be relevant	
	to technical	
	and	
	economic	
	evaluation.	
	Documentati	
	on should	
	include	
	assumptions	
	made and	
	the	

	procedures	
	used.	
	These	Accuracy of the estimate relative to production data (historical projects) cannot be ascertained at this point as
	statements	the project is still in the exploration phase. Accurate historical production figures are not readily available. At
	of relative	the Theta Project, a feasibility study has been completed with no accurate production data being available from
	accuracy	the historical workings for the various reefs. Production has not commenced, thus "ground-truthing" at this point
	and	is not possible. Also, proposed open pit mining methods are not aligned to the historical underground mining
	confidence	methods employed.
	of the	
	estimate	
	should be	
	compared	
	with	
	production	
	data, where	
	available.	
\bigcirc		

(15)		SECTION 4: ESTIMAT		
	Criteria	Explanation		
	Mineral Resource estimate for conversion to Ore Reserves	Description of the Mineral Resource estimate used as a basis for the conversion to an Ore Reserve.		
		Clear statement as to whether the Mineral Resources are reported additional to, or inclusive of, the Ore Reserves.		
	Site visits	Comment on any site visits undertaken by the Competent Person and the outcome of those visits.		
		If no site visits have been undertaken indicate why this is the case.		
	Study status	The type and level of study undertaken to enable Mineral Resources to be converted to Ore Reserves.		

	SECTION 4: ESTIMATIO	ON AND REPORTING OF ORE RESERVES
Criteria	Explanation	Detail
Mineral Resource estimate for conversion to Ore Reserves	Description of the Mineral Resource estimate used as a basis for the conversion to an Ore Reserve.	Ore Reserves and mining were investigated for the Beta, Frankfort and CDM underground operations and the Theta Project (Theta Hill, Browns Hill and lota Pit). The Ore Reserve estimation utilises the same Mineral Resource models used for the Mineral Resource classification. No Mineral Reserve cut-offs have been applied to the underground operations. The Theta Project conversion to Ore Reserves includes an Ore Reserve grade cut-off determined during the pit optimisation process with the relevant geological losses applied as part of the conversion factors.
	Clear statement as to whether the Mineral Resources are reported additional to, or inclusive of, the Ore Reserves.	All Mineral Resources are stated as inclusive of the Ore Reserves.
Site visits	Comment on any site visits undertaken by the Competent Person and the outcome of those visits.	The Competent Person Mr van Heerden has conducted a number of site visits of the gold properties held by TGM in the Sabie-Pilgrims Rest area since 2007. Mr van Heerden vistied Project Area throughout 2019 to become familiar with project location and state of the land. From the site visits, an understanding of the potential layouts of the pits, infrastructure and infrastructure routes was formulated, as well as a general understanding of the practical design consideration. Further site visits were conducted on 7 March 2019 and 5 November 2019 with the purpose of introducing the potential mining contractors with the areas of interest, plant and pit areas, infrastructure build requirements and rock characteristics. On 22 September 2019, the Rietfontein Project was also visited with the purpose to identify access options for underground operations. Site visits have taken place, as described above.
	undertaken indicate why this is the case.	
Study status	The type and level of study undertaken to enable Mineral Resources to be converted to Ore Reserves.	The Frankfort Mine is the only underground operation for which Measured Mineral Resources have been declared. The underground operations are at a Pre-Feasibility Level of Study and Measured Mineral Resources and Indicated Mineral Resources have been converted to Proved and Probable Ore Reserves respectively, using the appropriate modifying factors. No Measured Mineral Resources have been declared for the Theta Project. The Theta Project is at a Pre-Feasibility Study Level and Indicated Mineral Resources in the Theta Project have been converted to Probable Ore Reserves by having applied the required modifying factors.
	The Code requires that a study to at least Prefeasibility Study level has been undertaken to convert Mineral Resources to Ore Reserves. Such studies will	Detailed LoM plans and schedules have been completed for the underground operations and the Theta Project. Some components are at a Feasibility Study Level with other components such as a geotechnical study at Pre-

	SECTION 4: ESTIMATI	ON AND REPORTING OF ORE RESERVES
Criteria	Explanation	Detail
	have been carried out and will have determined a mine plan that is technically achievable and economically viable, and that material Modifying Factors have been considered.	 Feasibility Study Level. The studies conducted on the underground operations and Theta Project have been deemed at an overall PFS Level. Life of mine plans to a feasibility level of detail was the basis of the Ore Reserve classification. The mine plans take into consideration all relevant modifying factors and productivities. A financial valuation was conducted on the life of mine plans and was found econically viable.
Cut-off parameters	The basis of the cut-off grade(s) or quality parameters applied.	No cut-off was applied to the Beta, Frankfort and CDM Mines. A planning pay limit for each of the underground operations was calculated using current economic planning parameters. The planning pay limit was applied to the Mineral Resource model and blocks above the planning pay limit were included in the LoM designs. The planning pay limits applied to the underground operations are: Beta Mine: 170 cm.g/t; Frankfort Mine: 163 cm.g/t; and CDM Mine: 121 cm.g/t The cut-off parameters was determined by completing a pit optimisation. The pit optimisation determines a range of economically viable pits from the pit optimisation inputs. A separate pit selection process followed where an economically viable pit shell was selected to be used as a template for mine design. The cut-off for the pit optimisation results determined in the optimisation software is 0.42 g/t.
		Understanding that all the tonnes in the pits will be mined an additional cut- off was calculated to determine the processing cut-off grade of 0.4 g/t which is applied as the Ore Reserve cut-off.
	The method and assumptions used as reported in the Pre- Feasibility or Feasibility Study to convert the Mineral Resource to an Ore Reserve (i.e. either by application of appropriate factors by optimisation or by preliminary or detailed design).	Only Measured and Indicated Mineral Resources have been converted to Proved and Probable Ore Reserves, respectively. No Inferred Mineral Resources have been included in the Ore Reserve estimation. The basis of the Ore Reserve estimation is detailed LoM designs and schedules for both the underground operations and the Theta Project. The Mineral Resource to Ore Reserve conversion requires application of appropriate factors which would account for any changes to the Mineral Resources in the life of mine plan as a result of mining the ore. As part of the technical studies the Ore Reserve conversion factors were determined and applied to the Mineral Resources in the LoM plan available for conversion to
Mining factors or assumptions	The choice, nature and appropriateness of the selected mining method(s) and other mining parameters including associated design issues such as pre-strip, access, etc.	reserves. The mining method selected to be implemented on the undergournd operations at Beta Mine, Frankfort Mine and CDM Mine, is mechanised long hole drilling applied to a narrow reef orebody. The mining method requires pre-development of a mining block in preparation for stoping operations. Resue mining will be applied to the development ends allowing separate extraction of the reef and waste cuts. The selected mining method allows for minimal dilution. The mining method selected for the Theta is modified terrace mining and is suited to the mountainous profile of the current topography. The orebodies are considered stratified and on an inclined mountain. The steeply dipping nature of the mountain and relatively small scale of the operation eliminated the use of draglines and conventional strip mining. To overcome the steeply dipping orientation, the ore will be extracted on a flat surface whereby all the ore are extracted on the horizontal plane via ripping, loading and hauling.
	The assumptions made regarding geotechnical parameters (e.g. pit slopes, stope sizes, etc.), grade control and pre-production drilling.	Geotechnical studies for the Frankfort and Beta Mines have been completed at a PFS level. The recommendations as per the geotechnical reports have been applied to the Mineral Resources in the IoM plan to account for Pillar Losses. No geotechnical studies for the CDM Mine has been conducted and a Pillar Loss of 10% which is similar to the Beta and Frankfort operations have been applied. A combined overall slope angle of 40° was selected to accommodate all the rock type in the Theta Project. The selected slope angle is well in the range of the recommended slope angles.
	The major assumptions made and Mineral Resource model used for pit and stope optimisation (if appropriate).	Geological Losses applied to the underground operations are 0 % for Measured Mineral Resources, 5 % for Indicated Mineral Resources and 10 % for Inferred Mineral Resources.

	SECTION 4: ESTIMAT	TION AND REPOR	TING OF C	ORE RESERVES	S			
Criteria	Explanation			Deta				
	Geological Losses applied to the Theta Project are 5% for the Indica Mineral Resources, and 10% for the Inferred Mineral Resources.							
		The Ore Reserve conversion factors applied to the underground operations are detailed in the table below.						
		Ore Reserv Area		<i>ion Factors Ap</i> ining Factors	plied to	Underground Unit	Value	
		Alea		s Beta and CDN	4	%	10	
		Undergroun		s Frankfort	/1	%	10	
		d	Oreloss			%	0.5	
		Operations	Dilution			%	1	
	The mining dilution factors	the Beta and C derived from the	DM operat e geotechn tors applied	ions. The pillar ical study condu	loss app icted.	olied to the Fra	ar loss applied to ankfort Mine was neta Project open	
	used.	Ore Re:	serve Con	version Factors Avg. Reef	s Applie	ed to the Thet	a Project	
		Orebo	-	Width	Ore	e Loss	Dilution	
		Descript	ions	cm		%	%	
		Beta		100		10.00%	10.00%	
		Upper Theta		100		10.00%	10.00%	
		Lower Theta		100		10.00%	10.00%	
		Bevetts		229		4.37%	4.37%	
		Upper Theta		100		10.00%	10.00%	
		Lower Theta		100		10.00%	10.00%	
		Bevetts		184		5.43%	5.43%	
		Shales		206		5.43%	5.43%	
		Lower Theta		114		8.77%	8.77%	
		Bevetts		114		8.77%	8.77%	
		Upper Rho		361		2.77%	2.77%	
		Lower Rho 550 1.82% 1.82%						
	The mining recovery factors used.	A MCF of 100% was applied to the Theta Project as the product accounted for and product called for will have the necessary measuring methods in place so that all the product will be accounted for in the Theta Project.A MCF of 85 % was applied to the underground operations which was derived from similar operations using a similar mining layout and mining method.						
	Any minimum mining widths used.	A minimum mining width of 60 cm was applied in the design of the underground operations. A 10 cm hangingwall and 10 cm footwall dilution is included in the 60 cm mining width that will be used in the development end resue mining and stoping operations.						
		No minimum mining widths was used in the design of the Theta Project as the ripping of the dozers can rip the minimum orebody widths.						
	The manner in which Inferred Mineral Resources are utilised in mining studies and the	The underground LoM designs and schedules of the Beta, Frankfort and CDM mines includes a portion of Inferred Mineral Resources. The Inferred Mineral Resources have been excluded from the Ore Reserve estimate and the economic analysis. The Inferred Mineral Resources in the LoM plan for the underground operations are: Beta Mine: 3.83%; Beta Mine: 3.4000(
	sensitivity of the outcome to their inclusion.	 Frankfort Mine: 21.92% CDM Mine: 25.71% The Inferred Mineral Resources in the Theta Project contain 8.10% of the total 2,355 kt Mineral Resource which adds up to 191 kt. The Inferred Mineral Resources cannot be included as Ore Reserves and were excluded from the economic analysis. 						
	The infrastructure requirements of the selected mining methods.	Min offic con Adr Unc	ing contrac ces, chang tractor's sit ninistrative	ected mining me etor site – Earth ing facilities, fu e power and wa and other office rackless mining	Moving uel stora iter supp s and fa	y Vehicle works age facility, w oly; acilities;	ash bay and	

Į		SECTION 4: ESTIMATION	ION AND REPORTING OF ORE RESERVES				
	Criteria	Explanation	Detail				
			 Waste rock dumps ("WRDs"); Strategic ore stockpile; 				
			 Strategic ore stockpile; RoM stockpile; 				
			Topsoil stockpile;				
			Surface water management infrastructure – Dirty and clean water				
			 separation and storage and pit dewatering system. Underground water management infrastructure – Dewatering 				
			system and water storage facilities.				
			Water supply and distribution infrastructure;				
			 Power supply and distribution infrastructure; Underground ore transport (Conveyor systems and Incline Winding 				
)			Plant;				
			 Surface ore load out and storage facilities; and Low level river crossing. 				
			The OP-Plant wil treat the free milling ore from the Theta Project with the				
		The metallurgical process	conventional CIL process.				
		proposed and the	Refractory Frankfort ore will be upgraded with DMS to reject some of the				
		appropriateness of that process to the style of mineralisation.	waste rock before the ore is trucked from the shaft to the plant. The UG-Plant will firstly remove the preg-robber and then with Ultrafine Grinding to liberate				
			the sullphide locked gold.				
		Whether the metallurgical	Most of the gold ore in the world are cyanide leached and adsorbed onto				
		process is well-tested	activated carbon is either a CIL or CIP configuration. DMS is frequently used to concentrate ores, including gold. Ultrafine grinding				
		technology or novel in nature.	is widely used in gold and other commodities to extract metals from sulphides.				
			One grab sample was taken from the Beta mine and subjected to XRD and				
			diagnostic leach.				
		The nature, amount and	Four grab samples were taken from the available faces at the Frankfort mine and subjected to XRD and diagnostic leach by MSA. Following the poor				
		representativeness of	recoveries achieved from the diagnostic leach the samples were sent for				
		metallurgical test work	ultrafine grinding and then a bottle roll cyanide leach.				
		undertaken, the nature of the metallurgical domaining applied	No recent metallurgical testwork data was available for CDM. The daily				
		and the corresponding	production report from the old plant for May 2006 was used to estimate the				
		metallurgical recovery factors	recovery. Composite samples were mode from RC Drilling chips to represent Upper				
	Metallurgical	applied.	Theta, Lower Theta and Beta. A master composite of these three was also				
	factors or assumptions		tested. Tested done included diagnostic leach, kinetic leach and the effect of				
			grind. The significant amounts of preg-robbers in the Frankfort ore will be removed				
			by a flotation circuit. Additionally, the Frankfort ore will be treated in a				
		Any assumptions or allowances	intensive CIL which will further reduce the effect of the preg-robber.				
		made for deleterious elements.	A cyanide destruction circuit was included in the plant design which will ensure that the weak acid dissociable ("WAD") cyanide concentration in the				
			tailings fraction that will be pumped to the TSF does not exceed the stipulated				
			maximum level of 50 ppm.				
		The existence of any bulk sample or pilot scale test work					
		and the degree to which such					
		samples are considered	No bulk sampling was completed.				
		representative of the orebody					
		as a whole. For minerals that are defined by					
		a specification, has the ore	Operations are not applicable. The weakers will be called as weld D. ()				
		reserve estimation been based	Specifications are not applicable. The product will be sold as gold Doré to Rand Refinery with payability calculated based on the final gold content.				
		on the appropriate mineralogy	the second payability balance based on the intel gold content.				
		to meet the specifications?	Owing to topography and the environmentally sensitive nature of the Theta				
		The status of studies of potential environmental impacts	Project Area a number of locations have been considered for the placement				
		of the mining and processing	of WRDs for the open pit mining operation. The Theta Project Area has been				
		operation. Details of waste rock	sub-divided into two main areas. The first being the Browns Hill and Theta Hill area and the second the lota area. Two WRD locations has been considered				
		characterisation and the	for each of these areas. All options have been designed in CAD mine design				
	Environmental	consideration of potential sites,	software and a preferred option chosen from a mining and engineering				
		status of design options considered and, where	perspective.				
		applicable, the status of	Wests rock from the TCM underground projects considered in the detailed				
		approvals for process residue	Waste rock from the TGM underground projects considered in the detailed studies will be placed on existing WRD's located at the CDM operation. Waste				
		storage and waste dumps	from the underground operations will be very limited as it will be placed in the				
		should be reported.	stoping back areas and all development will be conducted on reef.				

Criteria		ION AND REPORTING OF ORE RESERVES Detail
Infrastructure	Explanation The existence of appropriate infrastructure: availability of land for plant development, power, water, transportation (particularly for bulk commodities), labour, accommodation; or the ease with which the infrastructure can be provided, or accessed.	Detail Two options have been considered for the disposal of mine resude or tailings, and they will be used at the same time. There is an existing TSF that will be used for the initial deposition. This TSF will be brought up to the latest standards such as inclusion of an HOPE line. Deposition on the TSF will be be be oth hydraulic placement and dry stacking. The second disposal option is storage of tailings underground as a cemented paste backfill in the mined-out sections of the Beta Mine. Both these options will require relvant approvals which are still in progress. The Theta Project Area is well established. Access roads are available and in a serviceable condition. The TGM underground projects considered in the detailed studies are historical project with established access roads leading to the individual project areas. Road require some minor repairs and upgrades in areas. Power supply to the Theta project is available on site and with some expansion / upgrades on the power supply system power supply capacity to the project will be sufficient. The TGM underground projects considered in the detailed studies does not currently grid power supply available. Power will be supplied to the CDM and Frankfort underground projects will be supplied with power from diseal generators and once the grid power supply in the area have been upgraded, grid power supply available. Newsensing plant and TSF) the project will be water positive during the suspoint of the supplet of the treatment of excess water as well as for a pumping system to supply any short falls of water. Additional make up water sourced from the Blyde River. Additional make up water sourced from the Blyde River is well with the allowable limits as stipulated in the existing water use licence ("WUL"). The TGM underground projects considered in the detailed studies will mainly be supplied with
	The derivation of, or	Towns such as Lydenburg, Graskop and Sabie are well developed with facilities such as hospitals, police stations, schools and churches. These towns are located within 57 km of the Theta project and can thus provide accommodation to employees of the project. Capital costs were estimated from first principles and engineering designs. Bills of quantities were utilised to obtain quotations for the capital cost
	assumptions made, regarding projected capital costs in the study.	estimation. The project capital has a base date of February 2021 and an exchange rate of ZAR/USD 15.06 were utilised where applicable to convert to USD terms. The mining and central services operating costs for the underground
Costs	The methodology used to estimate operating costs.	operations were derived from first principles cost estimations with some factoring.

Criteria	SECTION 4: ESTIMATI Explanation	ON AND REPORTING OF ORE RESERVES Detail
Unteria	Explanation	Detail
		The plant operating costs were completed from first principles with consumable supplier quotes utilised were necessary.
		The corporate overheads were provided by TGM.
		Environmental and Social costs were calculated using the quatums provided by the Client as part of the Environmental Authorisation process.
	Allowances made for the content of deleterious elements.	Allowance has been made for the costs associated with removal of deleterious elements (WAD cyanide) prior to deposition onto the TSF.
	The derivation of assumptions made of metal or commodity price(s), for the principal minerals and co-products.	The price forecasts are based on forecasts from Consensus Economics which considers various brokers and analyst forecasts; the long-term price was derived using an in-house model based on the real historic price trends.
	The source of exchange rates used in the study.	The exchange rate forecasts are based on forecasts sourced from various South African banks (ABSA, Investec, First National Bank and Nedbank) with the long-term exchange rate calculated using an in-house model based on the historic purchasing price parity of the Rand to the Dollar.
	Derivation of transportation charges.	Transport costs are based on indicative rates sourced from Rand Refinery; a conservative estimate has been used.
	The basis for forecasting or source of treatment and refining charges, penalties for failure to meet specification, etc.	Gold specification, refining charges and penalties are as per refining offer from Rand Refinery.
	The allowances made for royalties payable, both Government and private.	The refined Mineral and Petroleum Resources Royalty Act formula was used for this Project.
Revenue factors	The derivation of, or assumptions made regarding revenue factors including head grade, metal or commodity price(s) exchange rates, transportation and treatment charges, penalties, net smelter returns, etc.	The head-grade is based on an Ore Reserve LoM plan. The price forecasts are based on forecasts from Consensus Economics which considers various brokers and analyst forecasts; the long-term price was derived using an in- house model based on the real historic price trends. The exchange rate forecasts are based on forecasts sourced from various South African banks (ABSA, Investec, First National Bank and Nedbank) with the long-term exchange rate calculated using an in-house model based on the historic purchasing price parity of the Rand to the Dollar. Transport costs based on indicative rates sourced from Rand Refinery, conservative estimate used. Gold specification, refining charges, penalties and payabilities as per refining offer from Rand Refinery.
	The derivation of assumptions made of metal or commodity price(s), for the principal metals, minerals and co- products.	No co-products.
Market assessment	The demand, supply and stock situation for the particular commodity, consumption trends and factors likely to affect supply and demand into the future.	 Gold demand fell by 10% year-on-year ("y-o-y") in the first three quarters of 2020 compared to 2019 primarily due to a slump in consumer demand as the world continues to fight the Covid-19 pandemic. Global central bank reserves grew by 247 t (-53% y-o-y), with Q3 seeing net sales for the first time since 2010. Total gold supply declined by 5% to y-o-y in the first three quarters of 2020 to 3,394 t primarily attributed to Covid-19 restrictions hampering both mining and recycling production. The gold price averaged USD1,770/oz in 2020, and in August 2020 broke the USD2,000/oz barrier for the first time. The gold price ended the year at USD1,883/oz. The elevated pricing was driven largely by global uncertainty and investors looking for safe-haven assets.
		IMF having projected a 4.9% contraction in global growth in 2020. Economic recovery is also unlikely to be swift, with a U-shaped recovery or even W-shaped recovery due to recurring waves of infection being the most realistic outcome (World Gold Council, 2020). The high levels of uncertainty coupled with long-lasting impact to investor portfolio performance make gold an attractive asset.

	Onitonia		ON AND REPORTING OF ORE RESERVES
	Criteria	Explanation	Detail
			2,500 2,500
)		A customer and competitor analysis along with the identification of likely market windows for the product.	AcA Surface Operations Moab Khotsong Moab Khotsong South Deep South Deep Tshepong ops
			0 250 500 750 1,000 1,250 1,500 1,750 2,000 Cumulative Production (oz '000)
			Note: Various operations are inclusive of waste rock dumps mining or tailings retreatment operations which could Gold dorè will be produced for sale. In the case of the Theta Project, Rand Refinery shall refine the material and if requested - sell, on their behalf. When compared to South African gold miners, the TGM operations are in the lower quartile on an AISC basis with an AISC of USD905/oz and USD1,042/oz for the UG operations and OP operations, respectively (excluding initial capital).
	Price and volume forecasts and the basis for these forecasts.	Volume forecasts based on reserve LoM plan. The price forecasts are based on forecasts from Consensus Economics which considers various brokers and analyst forecasts; the long-term price was derived using an in-house model based on the real historic price trends.	
		For industrial minerals the customer specification, testing and acceptance requirements prior to a supply contract.	N/A
1	Economic	The inputs to the economic analysis to produce the net present value (NPV) in the study, the source and confidence of these economic inputs including estimated inflation, discount rate, etc.	 In generating the financial model and deriving the valuations, the following were considered:- This Report details the optimised cash flow model with economic input parameters. The cash flow model is in real money terms and completed in ZAR. The DCF valuation was set up in months starting April 2021, but also subsequently converted to calendar years. The annual ZAR cash flow was converted to USD using real term forecast exchange rates (Median of bank forecasts) to provide real results in this currency. A company hurdle rate of 5.0% (in real terms) was utilised for the discount factor. The impact of the Mineral Royalties Act using the formula for refined metals was included. Sensitivity analyses were performed to ascertain the impact of discount factors, commodity prices, exchange rate, grade, operating costs and capital expenditures. Valuation of the tax entity was performed on a stand-alone basis. The full NPV of the operation was reported for the economic analysis.
		NPV ranges and sensitivity to variations in the significant assumptions and inputs.	

	SECTION 4: ESTIMATIO	ON AND REPORTING C	OF ORE RES	ERVES			
Criteria	Explanation			Detail			
		UG Opera	tions		OP O	perations	
				±15% (±15% (
		Commodity Price		-	Commodity Price		
		Exchange Rate			Exchange Rate		
		Grade		-	Grade		
		Mining OPEX			Mining OPEX		
		Plant OPEX			Plant OPEX		
		Plant & Other CAPEX Mining CAPEX			lant & Other CAPEX Other OPEX		
		Other OPEX		Ĩ	Mining CAPEX		
		-60.0	-40.0 -20.0	0.		0.0 -20.0 -10.0	
			Change in N		5	Change in NPV (USD	
The Project is most sensitive to the gold price, exchange rate followed by mining and plant operating costs. The project is le to capital and other operating costs.				-			
		Real Discount Rate	Unit	UG Oper	ations	OP Operations	
		NPV @ 0%	USDm	122.9		34.1	
		NPV @ 2.5%	USDm	105.7		27.4	
		NPV @ 5%	LIOD	91.2		21.9	
			USDm	70.0		17 /	
		NPV @ 7.5% NPV @ 10%	USDm USDm	79.0 67.6		17.4 13.4	
		NPV @ 10%	USDm	59.7		10.7	
		NPV @ 15%	USDm	52.1		8.2	
		A public participation	process has	s taken plac	ce as part o	f the 83MR Section	
Social	The status of agreements with key stakeholders and matters leading to social licence to operate.	community views a upliftment measures until such time as th submitted. It is noted that as at t the CDM site. This m arrangement for the t	into the socia he EA has b the effective of hay delay CD	al strategy. been appro date, illegal M project c	Social enga ved. A revis	agement is ongoing sed SLP has been rations are active at ent and appropriate	
	To the extent relevant, the impact of the following on the project and/or on the estimation and classification of the Ore Reserves:	-					
	Any identified material naturally occurring risks.	No material naturally occurring risks have been identified.					
	The status of material legal agreements and marketing arrangements.	There are no legal or	marketing a	greements	in place for t	the Project.	
Other	The status of governmental agreements and approvals critical to the viability of the project, such as mineral tenement status, and government and statutory approvals. There must be reasonable grounds to expect that all necessary Government approvals will be received within the timeframes anticipated in the Pre- Feasibility or Feasibility study. Highlight and discuss the materiality of any unresolved matter that is dependent on a third party on which extraction of the reserve is contingent.				the Theta Project. e anticipated water		
Classification	The basis for the classification of the Ore Reserves into varying confidence categories.	The appropriate cate relevant level of conf estimate, which inclu	idence in the	Mineral R	esource. Th	e Mineral Resource	

Criteria	Explanation	Detail
er nor lu	Laplanatori	Ore Reserve estimation for the Theta Project. The level of confidence in the
		Indicated Mineral Resource is sufficient to convert to Probable Ore Reserves.
	Whether the result	The results as presented appropriately reflect the CP's view of the deposit.
	appropriately reflects the	
	Competent Person's view of the	
	deposit.	
	The proportion of Probable Ore	No Measured Mineral Resources was converted to Probable Ore Reserves.
	Reserves that have been	
	derived from Measured Mineral	
	Resources (if any).	
	The results of any audits or	No external audits or reviews of the Theta Project Ore Reserves have been
Audits or reviews	reviews of Ore Reserve	conducted.
	estimates.	
	Where appropriate a statement	The appropriate category of Ore Reserve is determined primarily by the
	of the relative accuracy and	relevant level of confidence in the Mineral Resource. The global Mineral
	confidence level in the Ore	Resource estimate, which includes all the project areas for TGM, was the
	Reserve estimate using an	basis of the local Ore Reserve estimation for the Theta Project. The level of
	approach or procedure deemed	confidence in the Indicated Mineral Resource is sufficient to convert to
	appropriate by the Competent	Probable Ore Reserves.
	Person. For example, the	
	application of statistical or	
	geostatistical procedures to	
	quantify the relative accuracy of	
	the reserve within stated	
	confidence limits, or, if such an	
	approach is not deemed	
	appropriate, a qualitative	
	discussion of the factors which	
	could affect the relative	
	accuracy and confidence of the	
	estimate.	
	The statement should specify	The global Mineral Resource estimate, which includes all the project areas
	whether it relates to global or	for TGM, was the basis of the local Ore Reserve estimation for the Theta
Discussion of	local estimates, and, if local,	Project.
relative accuracy/	state the relevant tonnages,	
confidence	which should be relevant to technical and economic	
	evaluation. Documentation	
	should include assumptions	
	made and the procedures used.	
	Accuracy and confidence	The modifying factors applied were determined by technical studies at the
	discussions should extend to	appropriate level of confidence producing a mine plan and production
	specific discussions of any	schedule that is technically achievable and economically viable.
	applied Modifying Factors that	
	may have a material impact on	The overall slope angles was determined with limited geotechnical
	Ore Reserve viability, or for	information and requires additional technical work before project execution.
	which there are remaining	A conservative approach was followed with the selection of the slope angles
	areas of uncertainty at the	and any changes will have a minimal impact on the overall project.
	current study stage.	
	It is recognised that this may	No previous Ore Reserve statements are available. However, the modifying
	not be possible or appropriate	factors were determined by technical studies and based on current operations
	in all circumstances. These	utilising the selected mining method and are at the appropriate level of
	statements of relative accuracy	confidence to produce a mine plan and production schedule that is technically
	and confidence of the estimate	achievable and economically viable.
	should be compared with	
	production data, where	
	available.	