

26 November 2015

Company Announcement Office
 Australian Securities Exchange
 20 Bridge Street
 SYDNEY NSW 2000

Outcome of resolutions put to Annual General Meeting (“AGM”) of Shareholders – 26 November, 2015

In accordance with Listing Rule 3.13.2 and section 251AA of the Corporations Act 2001 (Cth), we advise the outcome of each resolution put to the meeting by a show of hands and from proxies received at the Company’s EGM held today.

Resolution 1 – Remuneration Report

(i)	Votes where the proxy directed to vote “for” the resolution	49,993,344
(ii)	Votes where the proxy was directed to vote “against” the resolution	17,000
(iii)	Votes where the proxy was directed to abstain from voting on the resolution	0
(iv)	Votes where the proxy was able to vote at the proxy’s discretion	0

Resolution 2A – Re-election of Paul Young as a Director of the Company

(i)	Votes where the proxy directed to vote “for” the resolution	69,758,881
(ii)	Votes where the proxy was directed to vote “against” the resolution	0
(iii)	Votes where the proxy was directed to abstain from voting on the resolution	16,220
(iv)	Votes where the proxy was able to vote at the proxy’s discretion	30,072,654

Resolution 2B – Re-election of Douglas Battersby as a Director of the Company

(i)	Votes where the proxy directed to vote “for” the resolution	69,775,101
(ii)	Votes where the proxy was directed to vote “against” the resolution	0
(iii)	Votes where the proxy was directed to abstain from voting on the resolution	0
(iv)	Votes where the proxy was able to vote at the proxy’s discretion	30,072,654

Resolution 3 – Approval of additional 10% placement capacity

(i)	Votes where the proxy directed to vote “for” the resolution	61,875,438
(ii)	Votes where the proxy was directed to vote “against” the resolution	7,858,563
(iii)	Votes where the proxy was directed to abstain from voting on the resolution	0
(iv)	Votes where the proxy was able to vote at the proxy’s discretion	30,113,754

For personal use only

Resolution 4 – Approval of Changes to Constitution (Proportional Takeover Provisions)

(i)	Votes where the proxy directed to vote “for” the resolution	69,708,231
(ii)	Votes where the proxy was directed to vote “against” the resolution	1,220
(iii)	Votes where the proxy was directed to abstain from voting on the resolution	4,550
(iv)	Votes where the proxy was able to vote at the proxy’s discretion	30,133,754

Yours sincerely



Nick Filipovic
Company Secretary

For personal use only